

A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy II

Tom Barnet-Lamb
Department of Mathematics,
Harvard University,
Cambridge,
MA 02138,
U.S.A.

David Geraghty
Department of Mathematics,
Harvard University,
Cambridge,
MA 02138,
U.S.A.

Michael Harris ¹
Department of Mathematics,
University of Paris 7,
Paris,
France.

Richard Taylor ²
Department of Mathematics,
Harvard University,
Cambridge,
MA 02138,
U.S.A.

July 6, 2009

¹Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, U.M.R. 7586 du CNRS, member Institut Universitaire de France

²Partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-0600716

Introduction

In this paper we prove potential modularity theorems for n -dimensional essentially self-dual l -adic representations of the absolute Galois group of a totally real field which extend those of [HSBT]. In the ordinary case we prove quite a general result. We also prove a result in the ‘niveau two’ case, but one with much more restrictive hypotheses. The combination of these two results does however suffice to show that all the symmetric powers of any non-CM, regular algebraic (i.e. holomorphic weight ≥ 2 in the classical language) cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ (cuspidal newform in the classical language) are potentially cuspidal automorphic. This in turns proves the Sato-Tate conjecture for any non-CM, regular algebraic, cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. (In passing we also remark that the Sato-Tate conjecture can also now be proved for any elliptic curve over a totally real field.)

We will now state some of our theorems more precisely.

Theorem A *Suppose that F is a totally real field and that n is a positive integer. Suppose also that $l > 2n$ is a rational prime.*

Suppose also that

$$r : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_n(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l})$$

is a continuous representation which is unramified at all but finitely many primes. Suppose also that there is a perfect pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^n$ and a character $\mu : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}^\times$ such that

$$\langle gx, gy \rangle = \mu(g)\langle x, y \rangle$$

for all $x, y \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^n$ and all $g \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$. Let \bar{r} denote the semisimplification of the reduction of r modulo the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}$. Suppose moreover that r enjoys the following properties.

1. [odd] *There is an $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that*
 - *for every place $v|\infty$ of F we have $\mu(c_v) = \varepsilon$,*
 - *and for every $x, y \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^n$ we have $\langle y, x \rangle = \varepsilon\langle x, y \rangle$.*
2. [regular and ordinary] *For all $v|l$ a place of F there is a $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)$ -invariant decreasing filtration Fil_v^i on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^n$ such that for $i = 1, \dots, n$ the graded piece $\text{gr}_v^i \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^n$ is one dimensional and $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)$ acts on it by a*

character $\chi_{v,i}$. Moreover for $v \nmid l$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$ there is an open subgroup of F_v^\times on which we have

$$\chi_{v,i}(\text{Art}_{F_v} \alpha) = \prod_{\tau: F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l} (\tau \alpha)^{b_{\tau,i}}$$

for some rational integers

$$b_{\tau,1} < b_{\tau,2} < \dots < b_{\tau,n}.$$

3. $[\overline{F}^{\ker \text{ad } \bar{r}}(\zeta_l) : \overline{F}^{\ker \text{ad } \bar{r}}] > 2$.
4. $H^0(\bar{r}\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l)), \text{ad } \bar{r}) = \mathbb{F}$ and $H^1((\bar{r}\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l)), \text{ad } \bar{r})) = (0)$.
5. For all irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l[\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)]$ -submodules W of $\text{ad } \bar{r}$ we can find $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ and $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l$ with the following properties:
 - the element α is a simple root of the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{r}(\sigma)$ and if β is another root then $\alpha^2 \neq \beta^2$;
 - $\pi_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} \circ W \circ i_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} \neq (0)$ (where $i_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha}$ (resp. $\pi_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha}$) denotes the inclusion of (resp. $\bar{r}(\sigma)$ -equivariant projection to) the α -eigenspace of $\bar{r}(\sigma)$).

Then there is a Galois totally real extension F'/F such that $r|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F')}$ is automorphic.

See theorem 7.5. We remark that the fourth and fifth conditions will be satisfied if, for instance, the following three conditions hold: l is sufficiently large compared to n and $[\mathbb{F} : \mathbb{F}_l]$; and $\bar{r}\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ has no l -power quotient; and $\bar{r}\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \supset \text{Symm}^{n-1} SL_2(\mathbb{F}_l)$. (See lemma 7.4.)

Theorem B Suppose that f is a holomorphic, elliptic modular newform of weight $k \geq 2$, level N and nebentypus character $\psi : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Write

$$f(z) = e^{2\pi iz} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi inz},$$

and for $p \nmid N$ a prime write $\{\alpha_p, \beta_p\}$ for the roots of

$$X^2 - a_p X + \psi(p)p^{k-1}.$$

If $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and if $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a Dirichlet character we will write $L^{(NM)}((\text{Symm}^m f) \times \chi, s)$ for the partial L -function

$$\prod_{p \nmid NM} (1 - \alpha_p^m \chi(p)/p^s)^{-1} (1 - \alpha_p^{m-1} \beta_p \chi(p)/p^s)^{-1} \dots (1 - \beta_p^m \chi(p)/p^s)^{-1}$$

which converges absolutely (uniformly on compact sets) to a holomorphic function in $\text{Re } s > m(k-1)/2 + 1$. Also write ${}^c f$ for the newform

$${}^c f(z) = e^{2\pi iz} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} {}^c a_n e^{2\pi inz}.$$

Suppose further that f is not CM, i.e. there does not exist an imaginary quadratic field M such that $a_p = 0$ for all $p \nmid N$ which are inert in M .

1. If $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ then there is a Galois totally real number field F/\mathbb{Q} and a cuspidal automorphic representation $S_F^m(f)$ of $GL_{m+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that for all primes $v|p \nmid N$ of F , the local component $S_F^m(f)_v$ is unramified and has Satake parameters

$$\{\alpha_p^{m[k(v):\mathbb{F}_p]}, \alpha_p^{(m-1)[k(v):\mathbb{F}_p]} \beta_p^{[k(v):\mathbb{F}_p]}, \dots, \beta_p^{m[k(v):\mathbb{F}_p]}\}.$$

2. If $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and if $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a Dirichlet character then the L -function $L^{(NM)}((\text{Symm}^m f) \times \chi, s)$ has meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane, and is holomorphic and non-zero in $\text{Re } s \geq m(k-1)/2 + 1$. Moreover the expected functional equation relating $L^{(NM)}((\text{Symm}^m f) \times \chi, s)$ to $L^{(NM)}((\text{Symm}^m ({}^c f)) \times \chi^{-1}, m(k-1)+1-s)$ holds.
3. If ζ is a root of unity with ζ^2 in the image of ψ then, as p varies over primes with $\psi(p) = \zeta^2$, the numbers $a_p/(2p^{(k-1)/2}\zeta) \in \mathbb{R}$ are equidistributed in $[-1, 1]$ with respect to the measure $(2/\pi)\sqrt{1-t^2}dt$.

See section 8. This theorem was proved in [HSBT] in the special case that $k = 2$ and there exists a prime p which exactly divides N but does not divide the conductor of ψ . In [Gee] this was extended to the case that $k = 3$ and there exists a prime p which exactly divides N but does not divide the conductor of ψ .

As examples of theorem B we mention the following special cases, though many similar consequences are also available.

Corollary C Write

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)q^n = q \prod_n (1 - q^n)^{24},$$

i.e. $\tau(n)$ denotes Ramanujan's τ function. Then the numbers $\tau(p)/2p^{11/2}$ are equidistributed in $[-1, 1]$ with respect to the measure $(2/\pi)\sqrt{1-t^2}dt$.

Corollary D Let $N_{12}(n)$ denote the number of elements of \mathbb{Z}^{12} with Euclidean norm \sqrt{n} , *i.e.* the number of ways n can be written as the sum of 12 perfect squares (where the order matters). As p runs over prime numbers

$$(N_{12}(p) - 8(p^5 + 1))/(32p^{5/2})$$

lies in $[-1, 1]$ and these numbers are equidistributed in $[-1, 1]$ with respect to the measure $(2/\pi)\sqrt{1-t^2}dt$.

There are four main advances which make these results possible, none original to this paper:

1. The construction of Galois representations for *all* regular algebraic, essentially conjugate self-dual, cuspidal automorphic representations of GL_n of the adèles of a CM field. This was possible because of work of Laumon and Ngo [LN] and of Waldspurger (see for instance [W]). It was carried out on the one hand by Shin [Sh] and on the other by a group of mathematicians including Chenevier, Clozel, Labesse and M.H. working together (see [BP]). (This allows Guerberoff [Gu] to remove the ‘discrete series at a finite place’ hypothesis in [CHT] and [Tay].)
2. The generalization by D.G. (see [Ger]) of the modularity lifting theorems of [CHT] and [Tay] to the ordinary case. This generalization has two important advantages for applications to potential modularity theorems. Firstly it allows one to work with l -adic representations of the absolute Galois group of a totally number field in which l ramifies. Secondly it allows one to use Hida families to “change weight”.
3. The analysis of the whole of the cohomology of members of the Dwork family of hypersurfaces that was begun in [Ka2] and carried further in [GHK], [BL1] and [BL2].
4. The invention of the “tensoring with an ‘induced from character’ representation” trick by M.H. in [H].

Given these innovations the writing of this paper was relatively routine, although there are a number of tricky, sometimes even subtle, points to take care of.

In the first section of this paper we recall the results of [Sh] and [BP] on the construction of Galois representations regular algebraic, essentially conjugate self-dual, cuspidal automorphic representations of GL_n of the adèles of a CM field. In the second section we recall the results of D.G. on modularity lifting theorems in the ordinary case as well as Guerberoff's [Gu] extension of the results of [CHT] and [Tay]. In the third section we take the opportunity to record some corrections to our earlier papers [CHT], [Tay] and [HSBT]. In the fourth and fifth sections we collect the information we will need about Dwork hypersurfaces. In section four we use transcendental methods to prove proposition 4.2. Our arguments follow those of [GHK]. In section five we collect some more arithmetic information about Dwork hypersurfaces.

We are then finally in a position to prove some potential modularity theorems. In the sixth section we follow the method of [HSBT]. We are able to prove theorems for symplectic representations with multiplier a specific power of the cyclotomic character. In the ordinary case we can do this in any (regular) weight and any coefficients (see theorem 6.3). We also consider what one might call the 'niveau two case', but here we can only treat minimal weight and coefficients \mathbb{Q}_l (see theorem 6.4). There is even a slight restriction on which primes l we can treat. In section seven we use the trick of [H] to improve these potential modularity results. In particular we can relax the condition that the self-duality is symplectic (and the concomitant condition that we had an even dimensional Galois representation) and the condition on the multiplier character (see theorems 7.5 and 7.6). In the 'niveau two case' we can also somewhat relax the condition on the weight (we can treat 'equally spaced weights'), but in this case we also have to pay a price: we end up with a much more serious restriction on l . Finally in the seventh section we apply these potential modularity theorems to study symmetric powers of two dimensional Galois representations and the Sato-Tate conjecture.

M.H. wishes to thank Magdalen College and the Mathematical Institute of Oxford for providing extraordinary living and working conditions during the preparation of this paper. We would all like to thank Jean-Pierre Labesse for revising his paper [La] at short notice so that it covered exactly the results (corollary 5.3 and theorem 5.4) we needed.

Notation

Let establish some notation that we will use throughout the paper.

We will let c denote complex conjugation (or sometimes c_v if we wish to make clear to which totally real place v it is attached).

If F is a CM field then F^+ is its maximal totally real subfield, and if $R \subset F$ is a subring then we will write R^+ for $F^+ \cap R$.

If v is a prime or a point of a scheme we will let $k(v)$ denote its residue field.

For any positive integer N let ζ_N denote a primitive N^{th} root of unity. We will let ϵ_l denote the l -adic cyclotomic character defined by

$$\sigma \zeta_{l^r} = \zeta_{l^r}^{\epsilon_l(\sigma) \bmod l^r}$$

for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and any σ in the Galois group. If M is a \mathbb{Z}_l -module with Galois action we will write $M(n)$ for the n^{th} Tate twist of M , i.e. $M(\epsilon_l^n)$.

If F is a p -adic field with valuation v then F^{nr} will denote its maximal unramified extension and $\text{Frob}_v \in \text{Gal}(F^{\text{nr}}/F)$ will denote geometric Frobenius. Moreover $\text{Art}_F : F^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)^{\text{ab}}$ will denote the Artin map (normalized to take uniformizers to geometric Frobenius elements). We will let F^0 denote the maximal subfield of F which is unramified over \mathbb{Q}_p . Also let B_{DR} , B_{cris} and B_{st} denote Fontaine's rings. Thus B_{DR} is a topological \overline{F} -algebra with a filtration and a semi-linear action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ which preserves the filtration. Similarly B_{cris} and B_{st} are topological $(F^0)^{\text{nr}}$ -algebras with a continuous semi-linear action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ and a commuting Frob_p^{-1} semi-linear endomorphism ϕ . In the case of B_{st} there is a second endomorphism N which commutes with the action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ and satisfies $p\phi N = N\phi$.

Continue to suppose that F is a p -adic field. By a *Steinberg representation* of $GL_n(F)$ we shall mean a representation $\text{Sp}_n(\psi)$ (in the notation of section I.3 of [HT]) where ψ is an unramified character of F^\times . We will write rec_F for the the local Langlands correspondence - a bijection from irreducible smooth representations of $GL_n(F)$ over \mathbb{C} to n -dimensional Frobenius semi-simple Weil-Deligne representations of the Weil group W_F of F . (See the introduction and/or section VII.2 of [HT].) If π (resp. σ) is an irreducible smooth representation of $GL_n(F)$ (resp. $GL_m(F)$) then $\pi \boxplus \sigma$ is the irreducible admissible representation of $GL_{n+m}(F)$ with $\text{rec}_F(\pi \boxplus \sigma) = \text{rec}_F(\pi) \oplus \text{rec}_F(\sigma)$. If $l \neq p$ is another prime and if $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ then we will write $r_l(\iota^{-1}\pi)^\vee(1-n)$ for the Frobenius semi-simple continuous representation of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ into $GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ which is associated to the Weil-Deligne representation $\iota^{-1}\text{rec}_F(\pi \otimes |\det|_F^{(1-n)/2})$. (See section 3.1 of [CHT]. We apologise for this horrible notation. We are using it to maintain consistent normalizations with some of our previous papers.)

If $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} one can make a similar definition of \boxplus . We will also write $\text{Art}_F : F^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ and denote by sgn the unique surjection $\mathbb{R}^\times \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$.

Let $\mathbb{Q}_{l^2}/\mathbb{Q}_l$ denote the unramified quadratic extension, let \mathbb{Z}_{l^2} denote its ring of integers and let

$$\omega_2 : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l/\mathbb{Q}_{l^2}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l^\times$$

be the character defined by

$$\omega_2(\sigma) = (\sigma^{i^2-1}\sqrt{l}) / i^{i^2-1}\sqrt{l} \pmod{l}.$$

Also let

$$\epsilon_l^{(2)} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l/\mathbb{Q}_{l^2}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{l^2}^\times$$

denote the continuous character such that $(\epsilon_l^{(2)} \circ \text{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_{l^2}})(l) = 1$ and

$$(\epsilon_l^{(2)} \circ \text{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}_{l^2}})(u) = u$$

for $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{l^2}^\times$. Then

$$\omega_2 = \epsilon_l^{(2)} \pmod{l}.$$

If F is a number field then

$$\text{Art}_F = \prod_v \text{Art}_{F_v} : \mathbb{A}_F^\times / \overline{F^\times (F_\infty^\times)^0} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)^{\text{ab}}$$

will denote the Artin map. If π_1, \dots, π_r are cuspidal automorphic representations of $GL_{n_1}(\mathbb{A}_F), \dots, GL_{n_r}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ then we will denote by $\pi_1 \boxplus \dots \boxplus \pi_r$ the irreducible representation of $GL_{n_1+\dots+n_r}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ (or strictly speaking irreducible $GL_{n_1+\dots+n_r}(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty) \times (\text{Lie } GL_{n_1+\dots+n_r}(F_\infty), U_\infty)$ -module) with

$$(\pi_1 \boxplus \dots \boxplus \pi_r)_v \cong \pi_{1,v} \boxplus \dots \boxplus \pi_{r,v}$$

for all places v of F .

Suppose that V is a finite dimensional vector space over a field k ; that g is a k -linear automorphism of V ; and that $\alpha \in k$ is an eigenvalue of g . We will write $V_{g,\alpha}$ for the α -generalized eigenspace of g . We will also write $i_{g,\alpha}$ for the canonical inclusion $V_{g,\alpha} \hookrightarrow V$ and $\pi_{g,\alpha}$ for the α -equivariant projection $V \twoheadrightarrow V_{g,\alpha}$. Thus $\pi_{g,\alpha} \circ i_{g,\alpha} = 1_{V_{g,\alpha}}$. If $h \in \text{End}_k(V)$ then

$$\pi_{g,\alpha} h i_{g,\alpha} \in \text{End}_k(V_{g,\alpha}).$$

In the special case that α is a simple root of the characteristic polynomial of g we may think of

$$\pi_{g,\alpha} h i_{g,\alpha} \in k.$$

We will let \mathfrak{gl}_n denote the space of $n \times n$ -matrices with the adjoint action of GL_n and \mathfrak{gl}_n^0 the subspace of trace zero matrices.

1 Galois Representations for Automorphic Forms

In this section we recall recent improvements to our ability to attach Galois representations to automorphic forms. None of the results are original to this paper, but we state them here, partly for the reader's convenience and partly to establish notations.

Suppose that F is a number field and

$$\chi : \mathbb{A}_F^\times / F^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$$

is a continuous character for which there exists $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})}$ such that

$$\chi|_{(F_\infty^\times)^0} : x \longmapsto \prod_{\tau \in \text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})} (\tau x)^{a_\tau}$$

(i.e. an algebraic grossencharacter). Suppose also that $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$. Then we define

$$r_{l, \iota}(\chi) : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$$

to be the continuous character such that

$$\iota \left((r_{l, \iota}(\chi) \circ \text{Art}_F)(x) \prod_{\tau \in \text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})} (\iota^{-1} \tau)(x_l)^{-a_\tau} \right) = \chi(x) \prod_{\tau \in \text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})} (\tau x)^{-a_\tau}.$$

Now let F be a totally real field. By a *RAESDC* (regular, algebraic, essentially self dual, cuspidal) automorphic representation π of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ we mean a cuspidal automorphic representation such that

- $\pi^\vee \cong \pi \otimes (\chi \circ \det)$ for some continuous character $\chi : F^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ with $\chi_v(-1)$ independent of $v|\infty$, and
- π_∞ has the same infinitesimal character as some irreducible algebraic representation of the restriction of scalars from F to \mathbb{Q} of GL_n .

Note that χ is necessarily algebraic.

Now let F be an imaginary CM real field. By a *RAECSDC* (regular, algebraic, essentially conjugate self dual, cuspidal) automorphic representation π of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ we mean a cuspidal automorphic representation such that

- there is a continuous character $\chi : \mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\times / (F^+)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ with $\chi_v(-1)$ independent of $v|\infty$ such that

$$\pi^\vee \cong \pi^c \otimes (\chi \circ \mathbf{N}_{F/F^+} \circ \det);$$

- and π_∞ has the same infinitesimal character as some irreducible algebraic representation of the restriction of scalars from F to \mathbb{Q} of GL_n .

Again note that χ is necessarily algebraic.

If F is CM or totally real we will write $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C}), +}$ for the set of $a = (a_{\tau, i}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})}$ satisfying

$$a_{\tau, 1} \geq \dots \geq a_{\tau, n}.$$

If F'/F is a finite totally real extension we define $a_{F'} \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\text{Hom}(F', \mathbb{C}), +}$ by

$$(a_{F'})_{\tau, i} = a_{\tau|_F, i}.$$

If $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C}), +}$, let Ξ_a denote the irreducible algebraic representation of $GL_n^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})}$ which is the tensor product over τ of the irreducible representations of GL_n with highest weights a_τ . We will say that a RAESDC (resp. RAECSDC) automorphic representation π of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ has *weight* a if π_∞ has the same infinitesimal character as Ξ_a^\vee .

The next two results are improvements to one of the main theorems of [HT]. Following the proof of the the fundamental lemma for endoscopy of unitary groups [LN] it was fairly clear to experts how to attempt to establish this improvement. One of us (M.H.) has organized a group of mathematicians to inter alia write a complete proof of this theorem (see [BP]). Meanwhile Shin gave a complete proof in the case that a is sufficiently regular (theorem 7.5 of [Sh]). The general case is deduced from this by Chenevier and Harris [CH] (see also [C]).

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$. Let F be a totally real field. Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C}), +}$. Suppose that π is a RAESDC automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of weight a . Specifically suppose that $\pi^\vee \cong \pi\chi$ where $\chi : \mathbb{A}_F^\times / (F)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ with $\chi_v(-1)$ independent of $v|\infty$. Then there is a continuous semisimple representation*

$$r_{l, \iota}(\pi) : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

with the following properties.

1. For every prime $v \nmid l$ of F we have

$$r_{l, \iota}(\pi)|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)}^{\text{ss}} = r_l(\iota^{-1}\pi_v)^\vee(1-n)^{\text{ss}}.$$

2. $r_{l, \iota}(\pi)^\vee = r_{l, \iota}(\pi)\epsilon^{n-1}r_{l, \iota}(\chi)$.

3. If $v|l$ is a prime of F then the restriction $r_{l,i}(\pi)|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)}$ is potentially semistable. Moreover if π_v is unramified, if F_v^0 denotes the maximal unramified subextension of F_v/\mathbb{Q}_l and if $\tau : F_v^0 \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ then $r_{l,i}(\pi)|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)}$ is crystalline and the characteristic polynomial of $\phi^{[F_v^0:\mathbb{Q}_l]}$ on

$$(r_{l,i}(\pi) \otimes_{\tau, F_v^0} B_{\text{cris}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)}$$

equals the characteristic polynomial of $i^{-1}\text{rec}_{F_v}(\pi_v \otimes |\det|_v^{(1-n)/2})(\text{Frob}_v)$.

4. If $v|l$ is a prime of F and if $\tau : F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ lies above v then

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}} \text{gr}^i(r_{l,i}(\pi) \otimes_{\tau, F_v} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} = 0$$

unless $i = a_{v\tau, j} + n - j$ for some $j = 1, \dots, n$ in which case

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}} \text{gr}^i(r_{l,i}(\pi) \otimes_{\tau, F_v} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} = 1.$$

This follows from theorem 3.2.5 of [CH] in exactly the same way that proposition 4.3.1 of [CHT] was deduced from proposition 4.2.1 of that paper.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$. Let F be an imaginary CM field. Let $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C}), +}$. Suppose that π is a RAECSDC automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of weight a . Specifically suppose that $\pi^\vee \cong \pi^c(\chi \circ \mathbf{N}_{F/F^+})$ where $\chi : \mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\times / (F^+)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a continuous character with $\chi_v(-1)$ independent of $v|\infty$. Then there is a continuous semisimple representation*

$$r_{l,i}(\pi) : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l})$$

with the following properties.

1. For every prime $v \nmid l$ of F we have

$$r_{l,i}(\pi)|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)}^{\text{ss}} = r_l(i^{-1}\pi_v)^\vee(1-n)^{\text{ss}}.$$

2. $r_{l,i}(\pi)^\vee = r_{l,i}(\pi)^c \epsilon^{n-1} r_{l,i}(\chi)|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)}$.

3. If $v|l$ is a prime of F then the restriction $r_{l,i}(\pi)|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)}$ is potentially semistable. Moreover if π_v is unramified, if F_v^0 denotes the maximal unramified subextension of F_v/\mathbb{Q}_l and if $\tau : F_v^0 \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ then $r_{l,i}(\pi)|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)}$ is crystalline and the characteristic polynomial of $\phi^{[F_v^0:\mathbb{Q}_l]}$ on

$$(r_{l,i}(\pi) \otimes_{\tau, F_v^0} B_{\text{cris}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)}$$

equals the characteristic polynomial of $i^{-1}\text{rec}_{F_v}(\pi_v \otimes |\det|_v^{(1-n)/2})(\text{Frob}_v)$.

4. If $v|l$ is a prime of F and if $\tau : F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ lies above v then

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l} \mathrm{gr}^i(r_{l,i}(\pi) \otimes_{\tau, F_v} B_{\mathrm{DR}})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} = 0$$

unless $i = a_{v\tau, j} + n - j$ for some $j = 1, \dots, n$ in which case

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l} \mathrm{gr}^i(r_{l,i}(\pi) \otimes_{\tau, F_v} B_{\mathrm{DR}})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} = 1.$$

Proof: Note that χ is algebraic. By lemma 4.1.4 of [CHT] we can find an algebraic character ψ of $\mathbb{A}_F^\times/F^\times$ such that

$$\chi \circ \mathbf{N}_{F/F^+} = \psi\psi^c.$$

Then

$$(\pi\psi)^c \cong (\pi\psi)^\vee.$$

Theorem 3.2.5 of [CH] tells us that one can associate a Galois representation $r_{l,i}(\pi\psi)$ to $\pi\psi$ as in the theorem. Finally set

$$r_{l,i}(\pi) = r_{l,i}(\pi\psi) \otimes r_{l,i}(\psi)^{-1}.$$

□

In both cases the representation $r_{l,i}(\pi)$ can be taken to be valued in $GL_n(\mathcal{O})$ where \mathcal{O} is the ring of integers of some finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_l . Thus we can reduce it modulo the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O} and semisimplify to obtain a continuous semisimple representation

$$\bar{r}_{l,i}(\pi) : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l)$$

which is independent of the choices made.

Write $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\mathrm{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l), +}$ for the set of $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\mathrm{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}$ satisfying

$$a_{\tau,1} \geq \dots \geq a_{\tau,n}$$

for all $\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. If F'/F is a finite totally real extension we define $a_{F'} \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\mathrm{Hom}(F', \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l), +}$ by

$$(a_{F'})_{\tau, i} = a_{\tau|_F, i}.$$

If $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ and $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\mathrm{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l), +}$, then we define $\iota_* a \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\mathrm{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C}), +}$ by

$$(\iota_* a)_{\iota\tau, i} = a_{\tau, i}.$$

Suppose that F is either totally real or imaginary CM and that $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\text{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l), +}$ satisfies the conditions of the previous paragraph. We will call a continuous semisimple representation

$$r : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

(resp.

$$\bar{r} : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l))$$

automorphic of weight a if there is an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ and a RAESDC automorphic representation π of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of weight $\iota_* a$ (resp. of weight $\iota_* a$ and with π_l unramified) such that $r \cong r_{l, \iota}(\pi)$ (resp. $\bar{r} \cong \bar{r}_{l, \iota}(\pi)$). We will say that r is automorphic of weight a and level prime to l if there is an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ and a RAESDC automorphic representation π of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of weight $\iota_* a$ and with π_l unramified such that $r \cong r_{l, \iota}(\pi)$.

The following standard lemmas extend and correct lemmas 4.2.2, 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 of [CHT], which were stated slightly incorrectly in [CHT].

Lemma 1.3 *Suppose that E/F is a soluble Galois extension of totally real fields; that*

$$\chi : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$$

is a continuous character whose value at complex conjugations, $\chi(c_v)$, is independent of the infinite place v ; and that

$$r : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

is a continuous semisimple representation with

$$r^\vee \cong r \otimes \chi.$$

Suppose also that $r|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/E)}$ is irreducible and automorphic of weight a . Then we have the following.

1. $a_\tau = a_{\tau'}$ if $\tau|_F = \tau'|_F$ so we can define a_F by $a_{F, \sigma} = a_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ for any extension $\tilde{\sigma}$ of σ to E .
2. r is automorphic over F of weight a_F .

Proof: Inductively we may reduce to the case that E/F is cyclic of prime order. Suppose that $\text{Gal}(E/F) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ and that $r|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/E)} = r_{l, \iota}(\pi)$, for π a RAESDC automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ of weight a . Then $r|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/E)}^\sigma \cong r|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/E)}$ so that $\pi^\sigma \cong \pi$. By theorem 4.2 of [AC] π descends to

a cuspidal automorphic representation π_F of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$. If $v|\infty$ is a place of E then $\pi_{F,v|_F} \cong \pi_v$, so that the first assertion of the lemma follows and π_F has weight a_F .

Suppose that $\chi = r_{l,i}(\tilde{\chi})$. Then

$$\pi^\vee \cong \pi \otimes (\tilde{\chi}|_F^{1-n}) \circ \mathbf{N}_{E/F} \circ \det).$$

It follows that

$$\pi_F^\vee \cong \pi_F \otimes ((\tilde{\chi}|_F^{1-n}\mu) \circ \det)$$

for some character μ of $\mathbb{A}_F^\times/F^\times(\mathbf{N}_{E/F}\mathbb{A}_E^\times)$. Thus π_F is a RAESDC automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$. As r and $r_{l,i}(\pi_F)$ are irreducible and have the same restriction to $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/E)$ we see that $r = r_{l,i}(\pi_F) \otimes \psi = r_{l,i}(\pi_F \otimes (i \circ \psi \circ \text{Art}_F))$ for some character ψ of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$. The lemma follows. \square

Lemma 1.4 *Suppose that E/F is a soluble Galois extension of imaginary CM fields; that*

$$\chi : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F^+) \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$$

is a continuous character with $\chi(c_v)$ independent of $v|\infty$; and that

$$r : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

is a continuous semisimple representation with

$$r^\vee \cong r \otimes \chi.$$

Suppose also that $r|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/E)}$ is irreducible and automorphic of weight a . Then we have the following.

1. $a_\tau = a_{\tau'}$ if $\tau|_F = \tau'|_F$ so we can define a_F by $a_{F,\sigma} = a_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ for any extension $\tilde{\sigma}$ of σ to E .
2. r is automorphic over F of weight a_F .

Proof: Inductively we may reduce to the case that E/F is cyclic of prime order. Suppose that $\text{Gal}(E/F) = \langle \sigma \rangle$ and that $r|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/E)} = r_{l,i}(\pi)$, for π a RAESDC automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ of weight a . Then $r|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/E)}^\sigma \cong r|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/E)}$ so that $\pi^\sigma = \pi$. By theorem 4.2 of [AC] π descends to a cuspidal automorphic representation π_F of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$. If $v|\infty$ is a place of E then $\pi_{F,v|_F} \cong \pi_v$, so that the first assertion of the lemma follows and π_F has weight a_F .

Suppose that $\chi = r_{l,\iota}(\tilde{\chi})$. Then

$$\pi^\vee \cong \pi^c \otimes (\tilde{\chi}|_{F^+}^{1-n}) \circ \mathbf{N}_{E/F^+} \circ \det).$$

It follows that

$$\pi_F^\vee \cong \pi_F^c \otimes ((\tilde{\chi}|^{1-n}\mu) \circ \mathbf{N}_{F/F^+} \circ \det)$$

for some character μ of

$$\mathbb{A}_{\bar{F}}^\times / F^\times (\mathbf{N}_{E/F} \mathbb{A}_E^\times) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\times / (F^+)^\times (\mathbf{N}_{E^+/F^+} \mathbb{A}_{E^+}^\times)$$

(via the norm map \mathbf{N}_{F/F^+}). Thus π_F is a RAECSDC automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$. As r and $r_{l,\iota}(\pi_F)$ are irreducible and have the same restriction to $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/E)$ we see that $r = r_{l,\iota}(\pi_F) \otimes \psi = r_{l,\iota}(\pi_F \otimes (\iota \circ \psi \circ \text{Art}_F))$ for some character ψ of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$. The lemma follows. \square

Lemma 1.5 *Suppose that F is an imaginary CM field; that*

$$\chi : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F^+) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$$

is a continuous character whose value at complex conjugations, $\chi(c_v)$, is independent of the infinite place v ; and that

$$r : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F^+) \longrightarrow GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

is a continuous semisimple representation with

$$r^\vee \cong r \otimes \chi.$$

Suppose also that $r|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)}$ is irreducible and automorphic of weight a . Then we have the following.

1. $a_\tau = a_{\tau c}$ so we can define a_{F^+} by $a_{F^+,\sigma} = a_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ for any extension $\tilde{\sigma}$ of σ to F .
2. r is automorphic over F^+ of weight a_{F^+} .

Proof: Suppose that $r|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)} = r_{l,\iota}(\pi)$, for π a RAECSDC automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of weight a . As $r|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)}^c \cong r|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)}$ we have $\pi^c = \pi$. By theorem 4.2 of [AC] π descends to a cuspidal automorphic representation π_{F^+} of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$. If $v|\infty$ then $\pi_v \cong \pi_v^c$, so that the first assertion of the lemma follows and π_{F^+} has weight a_{F^+} .

Suppose that $\chi = r_{l,i}(\tilde{\chi})$. Then

$$\pi^\vee \cong \pi^c \otimes (\tilde{\chi}|_{F^+}^{1-n}) \circ \mathbf{N}_{F/F^+} \circ \det).$$

It follows that

$$\pi_{F^+}^\vee \cong \pi_{F^+} \otimes ((\tilde{\chi}|_{F^+}^{1-n} \mu) \circ \det)$$

for some character μ of $\mathbb{A}_{F^+}^\times / (F^+)^\times (\mathbf{N}_{F/F^+} \mathbb{A}_F^\times)$. As F is CM $\mu_v(-1)$ is independent of $v|\infty$. Thus π_{F^+} is a RAESDC automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_{F^+})$. As r and $r_{l,i}(\pi_{F^+})$ are irreducible and have the same restriction to $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ we see that $r = r_{l,i}(\pi_{F^+}) \otimes \psi = r_{l,i}(\pi_{F^+} \otimes (\iota \circ \psi \circ \text{Art}_{F^+}))$ for some character ψ of $\text{Gal}(F/F^+)$. The lemma follows. \square

2 Automorphy Lifting Theorems.

In this section we recall two improvements to the modularity lifting theorems of [CHT] and [Tay]. The first is due to Guerberoff [Gu] and the second to David Geraghty [Ger].

The next theorem is theorem 5.2 of [Gu].

Theorem 2.1 (Guerberoff) *Let F be a totally real field. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and let $l > n$ be a prime which is unramified in F . Let*

$$r : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

be a continuous irreducible representation with the following properties. Let \bar{r} denote the semisimplification of the reduction of r .

1. $r^\vee \cong r\epsilon^{n-1}\chi$ for some character $\chi : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$ with $\chi(c_v)$ independent of $v|\infty$. (Here c_v denotes a complex conjugation at v .)
2. r ramifies at only finitely many primes.
3. For all places $v|l$ of F , $r|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v)}$ is crystalline.
4. There is an element $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\text{Hom}(F, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l), +}$ such that
 - for all $\tau \in \text{Hom}(F, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ we have

$$0 \leq a_{\tau,1} + n - a_{\tau,n} \leq l - 1;$$

- for all $\tau \in \text{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ above a prime $v|l$ of F ,

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l} \text{gr}^i(r \otimes_{\tau, F_v} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} = 0$$

unless $i = a_{\tau, j} + n - j$ for some $j = 1, \dots, n$ in which case

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l} \text{gr}^i(r \otimes_{\tau, F_v} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} = 1.$$

5. $\zeta_l \notin (\overline{F})^{\ker \text{ad } \bar{r}}$.

6. The image $\bar{r}(\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l)))$ is big (see definition 2.5.1 of [CHT], which is the same as 1-big as defined in 7.2 below).

7. \bar{r} is automorphic of weight a .

Then r is automorphic of weight a and level prime to l .

If $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\text{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l), +}$, we call a continuous representation

$$r : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

ordinary of weight a if for all $v|l$ a prime of F the following conditions are satisfied:

- there is a $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)$ -invariant decreasing filtration Fil_v^i on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^n$ such that for $i = 1, \dots, n$ the graded piece $\text{gr}_v^i \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^n$ is one dimensional and $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)$ acts on it by a character $\chi_{v, i}$;
- and there is an open subgroup of F_v^\times on which we have

$$\chi_{v, i}(\text{Art}_{F_v} \alpha) = \prod_{\tau: F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l} (\tau \alpha)^{i-n-a_{\tau, i}}.$$

The first part of the following lemma is lemma 2.7.6 of [Ger], the second part is proved in a similar way.

Lemma 2.2 1. Suppose that $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\text{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l), +}$ and that

$$r : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

is crystalline at all primes $v|l$. We think of v as a valuation $v : F_v^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. If $\tau : F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ lies above v suppose that

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l} \text{gr}^i(r \otimes_{\tau, F_v} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} = 0$$

unless $i = a_{v\tau,j} + n - j$ for some $j = 1, \dots, n$ in which case

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l} \text{gr}^i(r \otimes_{\tau, F_v} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} = 1.$$

For $v|l$ let $\alpha_{v,1}, \dots, \alpha_{v,n}$ denote the roots of the characteristic polynomial of $\phi^{[F_v^0:\mathbb{Q}_l]}$ on

$$(r \otimes_{\tau, F_v^0} B_{\text{cris}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)},$$

for any $\tau : F_v^0 \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. (This characteristic polynomial is independent of the choice of τ .) Let val_v denote the valuation on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ normalized by $\text{val}_v(l) = v(l)$. (Thus $\text{val}_v \circ \tau = v$ for any $\tau : F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$.) Arrange the $\alpha_{v,i}$'s so that

$$\text{val}_v(\alpha_{v,1}) \geq \text{val}_v(\alpha_{v,2}) \geq \dots \geq \text{val}_v(\alpha_{v,n}).$$

Then r is ordinary of weight a if and only if for all $v|l$ and all $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$\text{val}_v(\alpha_{v,i}) = \sum_{\tau} (a_{\tau,i} + n - i)$$

where τ runs over embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ above v .

2. Suppose that

$$r : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

is semi-stable at all primes $v|l$. If $\tau : F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ lies above v suppose that

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l} \text{gr}^i(r \otimes_{\tau, F_v} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} = 0$$

unless $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$ in which case

$$\dim_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l} \text{gr}^i(r \otimes_{\tau, F_v} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} = 1.$$

Suppose moreover that for some (and hence every) $\tau : F_v^0 \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ and for each $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ the kernel of N^j on

$$(r \otimes_{\tau, F_v^0} B_{\text{st}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)}$$

has $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ -dimension j . Then r is ordinary of weight 0.

If F is a totally real field we will call a continuous semisimple representation

$$\bar{r} : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l)$$

ordinarily automorphic of level prime to l if there is $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\text{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l), +}$, an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ and a RAESDC automorphic representation π of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of weight $\iota_* a$, with π_l unramified, such that $r_{l, \iota}(\pi)$ is ordinary of weight a and $\bar{r} \cong \bar{r}_{l, \iota}(\pi)$. We will call \bar{r} *ordinarily Steinberg automorphic* if there is an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ and a RAESDC automorphic representation π of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ of weight 0, with π_v a Steinberg representation for all $v|l$, such that $\bar{r} \cong \bar{r}_{l, \iota}(\pi)$.

The following is a slightly special case of theorem 5.4.2 of [Ger].

Theorem 2.3 (Geraghty) *Let F be a totally real field. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and let $l > n$ be a prime. Let*

$$r : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

be a continuous irreducible representation with the following properties. Let \bar{r} denote the semisimplification of the reduction of r .

1. $r^v \cong r\epsilon^{n-1}\chi$ for some character $\chi : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^\times$ with $\chi(c_v)$ independent of $v|\infty$. (Here c_v denotes a complex conjugation at v .)
2. r ramifies at only finitely many primes.
3. r is ordinary of weight a for some $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\text{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l), +}$.
4. $(\overline{F})^{\ker \text{ad } \bar{r}}$ does not contain $F(\zeta_l)$.
5. The image $\bar{r}(\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l)))$ is big (see definition 2.5.1 of [CHT]).
6. \bar{r} is ordinarily automorphic of level prime to l or ordinarily Steinberg automorphic.

Then r is automorphic of weight a .

3 Corrections to [CHT], [HSBT] and [Tay].

In this section we will digress to record some minor corrections to [CHT] and [Tay].

[CHT]

The first assertion of lemma 2.2.3 of [CHT] is false. No proof was offered in [CHT] beyond “the first assertion is clear”. We thank Shrenik Shah for asking a question that brought this to our attention. This part of lemma 2.2.3 was not in fact used elsewhere in [CHT] or [Tay], but for completeness will give a corrected version below.

Lemma 3.1 *Suppose \mathcal{O} is the ring of integers of a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_l with residue field k . Suppose R is a reduced, noetherian, complete noetherian local \mathcal{O} -algebra with residue field k , but that $R \neq k$. Suppose that $F \in R[[X_1, \dots, X_m]]$ is a power series and that $F(a_1, \dots, a_m) = 0$ for $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathfrak{m}_R$. Then $F = 0$.*

Proof: By induction we may reduce to the case $m = 1$. We may also reduce to the case that R is a domain (as R embeds in the product of R/\mathfrak{p} as \mathfrak{p} runs over non-maximal primes). Suppose $F(X) \neq 0$. Writing

$$F(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} F_i X^i,$$

we may further suppose that $F_0 \neq 0$ (if not consider $F(X)/X^r$ for a suitable r). Then replacing F by $F_0^{-1}F(F_0X)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{m}_R - \{0\}$ we may further suppose that $F_0 = 1$. In this case $F(a) \neq 0$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{m}_R$, and as long as $\mathfrak{m}_R \neq (0)$ we are done. \square

Now we state our corrected lemma. (In [CHT] the conditions in the third sentence of the lemma below were missed.)

Lemma 3.2 *Keep the notation and assumptions of section 2.2 of [CHT]. Suppose that \mathcal{I} is a $1_n + M_{n \times n}(\mathfrak{m}_{R_q^{\text{loc}}})$ -invariant ideal of R_q^{loc} . Assume also that $R_q^{\text{loc}}/\mathcal{I}$ is reduced and that $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathfrak{m}_{R_q^{\text{loc}}}$. Then the collection $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{I}}$ of all liftings r of $\bar{r}|_{\Delta_q}$ such that the kernel of the corresponding map $R_q^{\text{loc}} \rightarrow R$ contains \mathcal{I} is a deformation problem.*

Proof: The only non-trivial thing to check is that if $r : \Delta_q \rightarrow GL_n(R)$ is a lifting of $\bar{r}|_{\Delta_q}$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{I}}$ and if $g \in \ker(GL_n(R) \rightarrow GL_n(k))$ then grg^{-1} also belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{I}}$. One immediately reduces to the (universal) special case that

$$R = (R_q^{\text{loc}}/\mathcal{I})[[X_{i,j}]]_{i,j=1,\dots,n},$$

that r is the push-forward of r_q^{univ} and that $g = 1_n + (X_{i,j})$. Let

$$\phi : R_q^{\text{loc}} \longrightarrow (R_q^{\text{loc}}/\mathcal{I})[[X_{i,j}]]$$

be the morphism corresponding to

$$(1_n + (X_{i,j}))r_q^{\text{univ}}(1_n + (X_{i,j}))^{-1}.$$

We must show that

$$\phi\mathcal{I} = (0).$$

However for all $a_{i,j} \in \mathfrak{m}_{R_q^{\text{loc}}}$ we know that the map $R_q^{\text{loc}} \rightarrow R_q^{\text{loc}}/\mathcal{I}$ which is the composite of ϕ with evaluation $X_{i,j} \mapsto a_{i,j}$ sends \mathcal{I} to (0) . The result now follows from the previous lemma. \square

As already remarked there are slight errors in the statements of lemmas 4.2.2, 4.3.2 and 4.3.3 of [CHT]. Corrected versions, along with proofs, can be found in lemmas 1.4, 1.3 and 1.5 of this paper.

[Tay]

D.G. pointed out that the assertions of the first paragraph of page 218 of [Tay] are not fully justified. The ring $R_{\{\chi_v\},\infty}^{\square r}$ is a completed tensor product of rings for which the corresponding assertions were proved in section 2.4 of [CHT] and proposition 3.1 of [Tay]. What is missing is a justification that these properties are preserved under completed tensor products. R.T. has been able to locate few references for this sort of question, so we give here a proof of the required properties of completed tensor products.

Until the end of the proof of lemma 3.3 \mathcal{O} will denote a complete DVR with perfect residue field k , maximal ideal λ and field of fractions L . We will let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{noeth}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_k^{\text{noeth}}$) the category of complete noetherian local \mathcal{O} -algebras (resp. k -algebras) with residue field k . Note that if A and B are objects of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{noeth}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_k^{\text{noeth}}$) then so is $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B$ (resp. $A \widehat{\otimes}_k B$). We will call an object A of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{noeth}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_k^{\text{noeth}}$) *geometrically integral* if for all finite extensions L'/L (resp. k'/k) the algebra $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ (resp. $A \otimes_k k'$) is an integral domain. We will call an object A of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{noeth}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_k^{\text{noeth}}$) *geometrically irreducible* if for all finite extensions L'/L (resp. k'/k) the affine scheme $\text{Spec } A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ (resp. $\text{Spec } A \otimes_k k'$) is irreducible.

Lemma 3.3 *1. If A is an object of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{noeth}}$ and \mathfrak{p} is a maximal ideal of $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L$ then the residue field $k(\mathfrak{p})$ is a finite extension of L and the image of A in $k(\mathfrak{p})$ is an order (i.e. a \mathcal{O} -subalgebra which is finitely generated as a \mathcal{O} -module which spans $k(\mathfrak{p})$ as an L -vector space). Moreover any prime ideal of $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L$ is the intersection of the maximal ideals containing it.*

2. If A and B are objects of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{noeth}}$ which are flat over \mathcal{O} and integral then the Krull dimension of $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B$ is one less than the sum of the Krull dimensions of A and of B .
3. If two objects A and B of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{noeth}}$ are flat over \mathcal{O} and geometrically integral (resp. irreducible) then $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B$ is flat over \mathcal{O} and geometrically integral (resp. irreducible).
4. If two objects A and B of $\mathcal{C}_k^{\text{noeth}}$ are geometrically integral (resp. irreducible) then $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B$ is geometrically integral (resp. irreducible).
5. Suppose A (resp. B) is an object of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{noeth}}$ with minimal prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ (resp. $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_s$). Suppose also that the rings A/\mathfrak{p}_i and B/\mathfrak{q}_j are all flat over \mathcal{O} and geometrically integral. Then the distinct minimal primes of $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B$ are the

$$\mathfrak{p}_i(A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B) + \mathfrak{q}_j(A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $j = 1, \dots, s$.

6. Suppose A (resp. B) is an object of $\mathcal{C}_k^{\text{noeth}}$ with minimal ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ (resp. $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_s$). Suppose also that the rings A/\mathfrak{p}_i and B/\mathfrak{q}_j are all geometrically integral. Then the distinct minimal primes of $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B$ are the

$$\mathfrak{p}_i(A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B) + \mathfrak{q}_j(A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $j = 1, \dots, s$.

7. Suppose that A and B are two objects of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\text{noeth}}$. Suppose also that for each minimal prime \mathfrak{p} of A (resp. B) the quotient A/\mathfrak{p} (resp. B/\mathfrak{p}) is flat over \mathcal{O} and geometrically integral. Suppose moreover that for each prime \mathfrak{q} of A (resp. B) which is minimal over λA (resp. λB) the the quotient A/\mathfrak{q} (resp. B/\mathfrak{q}) is geometrically integral. Suppose finally that for each prime \mathfrak{q} of A (resp. B) which is minimal over λA (resp. λB), \mathfrak{q} contains a unique minimal prime of A (resp. B). Then each prime of $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B$ which is minimal over $\lambda(A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B)$ contains a unique minimal prime of $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B$.

8. Suppose that $X/\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}$ is a flat scheme of finite type and that $x \in X$ is a closed point with residue field k . Then there is a finite extension L'/L such that for every minimal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\wedge} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ the algebra $(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\wedge} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{L'})/\mathfrak{p}$ is flat and geometrically integral.

9. Suppose that $X/\text{Spec } k$ is a scheme of finite type and that $x \in X$ is a closed point with residue field k . Then there is a finite extension k'/k such that for every minimal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^\wedge \otimes_k k'$ the algebra $(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^\wedge \otimes_k k')/\mathfrak{p}$ is geometrically integral.

Proof: The first part is proved exactly in exactly the same way lemma 2.6 of [Tay]. For the second part note that $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B$ is flat over A (as in the first paragraph of the proof of lemma 3.4.12 of [Ki]). Thus the Krull dimension of $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B$ equals the Krull dimension of A plus the Krull dimension of

$$k \otimes_A (A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B) \cong k \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B.$$

As B is flat over \mathcal{O} this latter quantity is one less than the Krull dimension of B . The third part is proved in exactly the same way as lemma 3.4.12 of [Ki], with the reference to [deJ] replaced by a reference to the first part of this lemma.

For the fourth part note that $A[[T]]$ and $B[[T]]$ are flat over $k[[T]]$, geometrically integral (resp. irreducible) objects of $\mathcal{C}_{k[[T]]}^{\text{noeth}}$. (If $L'/k((T))$ is a finite extension then $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$ is a complete local Dedekind domain, and hence isomorphic to $k'[[S]]$ for some finite extension k'/k (see [M] (28.J)). Thus $A[[T]] \otimes_{k[[T]]} \mathcal{O}_{L'} \cong (A \otimes_k k')[[S]]$ is integral (resp. irreducible).) Thus by the second part $(A[[T]] \widehat{\otimes}_{k[[T]]} B[[T]]) \otimes_{k[[T]]} k'[[T]]$ is an integral domain (respectively has irreducible spectrum) for any finite extension k'/k . However $(A[[T]] \widehat{\otimes}_{k[[T]]} B[[T]]) \otimes_{k[[T]]} k'[[T]] \cong ((A \widehat{\otimes}_k B) \otimes_k k')[[T]]$ (one can write explicit maps in both directions), and so we deduce that $(A \widehat{\otimes}_k B) \otimes_k k'$ is an integral domain (resp. has irreducible spectrum) for any finite extension k'/k .

The fifth and sixth parts are proved in the same way, so we will just describe the proof of the fifth part. There are exact sequences

$$(0) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}_i(A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B) \longrightarrow A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B \longrightarrow (A/\mathfrak{p}_i) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B \longrightarrow (0)$$

and

$$(0) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{q}_j((A/\mathfrak{p}_i) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B) \longrightarrow (A/\mathfrak{p}_i) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B \longrightarrow (A/\mathfrak{p}_i) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} (B/\mathfrak{q}_j) \longrightarrow (0),$$

so that

$$(A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B)/(\mathfrak{p}_i(A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B) + \mathfrak{q}_j(A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (A/\mathfrak{p}_i) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} (B/\mathfrak{q}_j),$$

and $\mathfrak{p}_i(A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B) + \mathfrak{q}_j(A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B)$ is a prime ideal of $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B$. On the other hand if \mathfrak{P} is any prime ideal of $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B$ the the contraction of \mathfrak{P} to A contains some \mathfrak{p}_i and the contraction to B some \mathfrak{q}_j so that $\mathfrak{P} \supset \mathfrak{p}_i(A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B) + \mathfrak{q}_j(A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} B)$. Finally

we must show that no $\mathfrak{p}_i(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B) + \mathfrak{q}_j(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B)$ contain another. This follows because the map $A/\mathfrak{p}_i \rightarrow (A/\mathfrak{p}_i)\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}(B/\mathfrak{q}_j)$ is injective (because the algebra $(A/\mathfrak{p}_i)\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}(B/\mathfrak{q}_j)$ is flat over A/\mathfrak{p}_i by the argument of the first paragraph of the proof of lemma 3.4.12 of [Ki]).

For the seventh part note that any prime of $A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B$ which is minimal over $\lambda(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B)$ has the form $\mathfrak{p}'(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B) + \mathfrak{q}'(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B)$, where \mathfrak{p}' (resp. \mathfrak{q}') is a prime of A (resp. B) minimal over λA (resp. λB). Similarly any minimal prime of $A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B$ has the form $\mathfrak{p}(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B) + \mathfrak{q}(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B)$, where \mathfrak{p} (resp. \mathfrak{q}) is a minimal prime of A (resp. B). It suffices to show that if

$$\mathfrak{p}'(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B) + \mathfrak{q}'(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B) \supset \mathfrak{p}(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B) + \mathfrak{q}(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B)$$

then $\mathfrak{p}' \supset \mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}' \supset \mathfrak{q}$. However as

$$A/\mathfrak{p}' \hookrightarrow (A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B)/(\mathfrak{p}'(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B) + \mathfrak{q}'(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B))$$

we see that the composite

$$\begin{aligned} A/\mathfrak{p} &\longrightarrow (A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B)/(\mathfrak{p}(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B) + \mathfrak{q}(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B)) \\ &\longrightarrow (A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B)/(\mathfrak{p}'(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B) + \mathfrak{q}'(A\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}}B)) \end{aligned}$$

factors through A/\mathfrak{p}' , i.e. $\mathfrak{p}' \supset \mathfrak{p}$. Similarly $\mathfrak{q}' \supset \mathfrak{q}$.

Again the eighth and ninth parts are proved in the same way and we will just describe the eighth part. Suppose L''/L is a finite extension and that x'' is the unique closed point of $X \times \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L''}$ above x . From the proof of lemma 2.7 of [Tay] we know that the minimal prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_{X \times \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L''}, x''}^{\wedge} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X, x}^{\wedge} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{L''}$ are exactly the kernels of the maps

$$\mathcal{O}_{X \times \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L''}, x''}^{\wedge} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_i, y}^{\wedge}$$

where \widetilde{X}_i runs over the normalizations of the irreducible components of $X \times_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{L''}$ and where y runs over the points of \widetilde{X}_i over x'' . Now there is a finite extension L'/L such that if $\widetilde{X}_i/\mathcal{O}_{L'}$ are the normalizations of the irreducible components of $X \times \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ then for any finite extension L''/L' the $\widetilde{X}_i \times \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L''}$ are the normalizations of the irreducible components of $X \times \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L''}$. (If $X = \text{Spec } A$ let \widetilde{B} denote the integral closure of the image of $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ in its total quotient ring. Let $\widetilde{B} = (A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{L'})[b_1, \dots, b_r]$ and let f_1, \dots, f_r be monic polynomials in $(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{L'})[T]$ such that $f_i(b_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Let L'/L be a finite extension such that the coefficients of each f_i lie in $A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}_{L'}$. This field L' suffices.) Let x' be the unique point of $X \times \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L'}$ above x . We

may further assume that every point of each \tilde{X}_i over x' is actually defined over $\mathcal{O}_{L'}/\lambda'$ (where λ' is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$). Let $\mathfrak{p}_{i,y}$ denote the kernel of

$$\mathcal{O}_{X \times \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L',x'}}^\wedge \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_i,y}^\wedge.$$

If L''/L' is a finite extension and x'' is the unique point of $X \times \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L''}$ above x then we see that $\mathfrak{p}_{i,y} \mathcal{O}_{X \otimes \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L'',x''}}^\wedge = \mathfrak{p}_{i,y}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L',x'}}^\wedge \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L'}} \mathcal{O}_{L''})$ is the kernel of

$$\mathcal{O}_{X \otimes \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L',x'}}^\wedge \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L'}} \mathcal{O}_{L''} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_i,y}^\wedge \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L'}} \mathcal{O}_{L''} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}_i \times \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L'',y''}}^\wedge$$

where y'' denotes the unique point of $\tilde{X}_i \times \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L''}$ above y . Thus the ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{i,y}(\mathcal{O}_{X \times \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L',x'}}^\wedge \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L'}} \mathcal{O}_{L''})$ is prime and

$$(\mathcal{O}_{X \times \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{L',x'}}^\wedge / \mathfrak{p}_{i,y}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{L'}} \mathcal{O}_{L''}$$

is integral. \square

Now we return to the properties of

$$R_{\{\chi_v\},\infty}^{\square T} = \left(\widehat{\bigotimes_{v \in T} R_v^{\text{loc}} / \mathcal{I}_v} \right) [[Y_1, \dots, Y_{q'}]].$$

We can calculate the Krull dimension of all irreducible components of this ring using the second part of the previous lemma and the calculation of the Krull dimension of all irreducible components of the $R_v^{\text{loc}} / \mathcal{I}_v$ in section 2.4 [CHT] and proposition 3.1 of [Tay] (and the fact, also proved there, that the generic point of all these components has characteristic 0). For $v \notin R \cup S(B)_1$ it is shown in section 2.4 of [CHT] that $R_v^{\text{loc}} / \mathcal{I}_v$ is a power series ring over \mathcal{O} and hence geometrically integral. If $v \in S(B)_1$ then proposition 3.1 of [Tay] shows that $R_v^{\text{loc}} / \mathcal{I}_v$ is irreducible, and as we can replace \mathcal{O} by the ring of integers of any finite extension of L in that proposition, it is in fact geometrically irreducible. If $v \in R$ and all the $\chi_{v,i}$ are distinct for $i = 1, \dots, n$ then it follows from proposition 3.1 of [Tay] that $R_v^{\text{loc}} / \mathcal{I}_v$ is geometrically irreducible (again because in that proposition we can replace \mathcal{O} by the ring of integers of any finite extension L'/L). We deduce that if for all $v \in R$ the characters $\chi_{v,i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ are distinct then $R_{\{\chi_v\},\infty}^{\square T}$ is irreducible.

We will only prove the last assertion of the first paragraph on page 218 of [Tay] under the additional assumptions that L is large enough so that for each $v \in R \cup S(B)_1$ and each \mathfrak{p} a minimal prime of $R_v^{\text{loc}} / \mathcal{I}_v$ (resp. of $R_v^{\text{loc}} / (\lambda, \mathcal{I}_v)$) the ring $(R_v^{\text{loc}} / \mathcal{I}_v) / \mathfrak{p}$ (resp. $(R_v^{\text{loc}} / (\lambda, \mathcal{I}_v)) / \mathfrak{p}$) is geometrically integral. This assumption is harmless because we can make a finite extension of L before proving theorem 4.1 of [Tay] (i.e. theorem 4.1 for one L implies the same theorem for all other possible L 's). In this case the last assertion of the first paragraph on page 218 of [Tay] follows from part seven of the above lemma.

[HSBT]

T.B.-L. has pointed out that in theorem 3.3 of [HSBT] we should have assumed that r has multiplier ϵ_i^{1-n} . The assumptions of the theorem as stated do not seem to be sufficient to conclude that the image $\bar{r}(\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F_1))$ is big. It will be in the case that \bar{r} is surjective, and so theorem B of the introduction of [HSBT] is OK. See theorems 7.5 and 7.6 of this paper for results for other multipliers.

4 The Dwork Family I.

In this section we will follow the arguments of section 4 of [GHK] in a slightly more general setting.

Let $N > n + 1$ denote positive integers with n even and N odd. (The case $N = n + 1$, which was treated in [HSBT] and [GHK], is very similar, but for simplicity of exposition we exclude it here.) Recall that $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]^+$ denotes the subring of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]$ consisting of elements fixed by complex conjugation. Let T_0 denote $\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{\infty\} \cup \mu_N) / \mathbb{Z}[1/N]$ with co-ordinate t . Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{N-1} \times T_0$ denote the family of hypersurfaces

$$X_1^N + X_2^N + \dots + X_N^N = NtX_1X_2\dots X_N$$

where t is the coordinate on T_0 . Thus $\pi : Y \rightarrow T_0$ is smooth of relative dimension $N - 2$. Let ς denote the automorphism of Y with $\varsigma^*X_j = X_{N+1-j}$. Let $H = \mu_N^N / \mu_N$ (diagonal embedding) and let H_0 be the subgroup

$$\{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) \in \mu_N^N : \xi_1\xi_2\dots\xi_N = 1\} / \mu_N \subset H.$$

The scheme $Y \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/N, \zeta_N]$ has a natural action of the group H , given by

$$(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)(X_1 : \dots : X_N) = (\xi_1X_1 : \dots : \xi_NX_N),$$

compatible with the action on T_0 given by

$$(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)t = (\xi_1\dots\xi_N)^{-1}t.$$

Thus H_0 acts on Y over $T_0 \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/N, \zeta_N]$. Consider the idempotent

$$e = (1/(2\#H_0))(\varsigma + 1) \sum_{\xi \in H_0} (\xi_1^1 \xi_2^2 \dots \xi_{(N-n-1)/2}^{(N-n-1)/2} \xi_{(N+n+1)/2}^{(N+n+1)/2} \dots \xi_N^{N-1} + \xi_1^{-1} \xi_2^{-2} \dots \xi_{(N-n-1)/2}^{-(N-n-1)/2} \xi_{(N+n+3)/2}^{(N-n-1)/2} \dots \xi_N^1) \xi$$

in the group algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+$ of the semidirect product of H_0 by $\{1, \varsigma\}$. The idempotent e defines a self correspondence $[e]$ with coefficients from $\mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]^+$ on Y over $T_0 \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/N, \zeta_N]^+$.

If $\lambda|l$ is a prime of $\mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]^+$ (resp. if \mathfrak{n} is a non-zero ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]^+$) then we define a lisse sheaf $V_\lambda/(T_0 \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/(2Nl), \zeta_N]^+)_{\text{et}}$ (resp. $V[\mathfrak{n}]/(T_0 \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/(2N(\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{n})), \zeta_N]^+)_{\text{et}}$) by

$$V_\lambda = [e]^* R^{N-2} \pi_* \mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]_\lambda^+$$

(resp.

$$V[\mathfrak{n}] = [e]^* R^{N-2} \pi_* \mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]^+ / \mathfrak{n}.$$

Similarly define lisse sheaves U_λ over $(T_0 \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/(2Nl), \zeta_N])_{\text{et}}$ and $U[\mathfrak{n}]$ over $(T_0 \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/(2N(\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{n})), \zeta_N])_{\text{et}}$ to be the direct summands of the sheaves $R^{N-2} \pi_* \mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]_\lambda$ and $R^{N-2} \pi_* \mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N] / \mathfrak{n}$ where H_0 acts via

$$\xi \longmapsto \xi_1 \xi_2^2 \cdots \xi_{(N-n-1)/2}^{(N-n-1)/2} \xi_{(N+n+1)/2}^{(N+n+1)/2} \cdots \xi_N^{N-1}.$$

Then H_0 -equivariant projection defines isomorphisms

$$V_\lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]_\lambda^+} \mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} U_\lambda$$

over $(T_0 \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/(2Nl), \zeta_N])_{\text{et}}$, and

$$V[\mathfrak{n}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]^+ / \mathfrak{n}} \mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N] / \mathfrak{n} \xrightarrow{\sim} U[\mathfrak{n}]$$

over $(T_0 \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/(2N(\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{n})), \zeta_N])_{\text{et}}$.

The action of H_0 on the fibre Y_0 extends to an action of H . Thus we can decompose the fibres

$$U_{\lambda,0} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N U_{i,\lambda}$$

and

$$U[\mathfrak{n}]_0 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N U_i[\mathfrak{n}]$$

where $U_{i,\lambda}$ (resp. $U_i[\mathfrak{n}]$) is the direct summand where $\xi \in H$ acts by

$$\xi_1^{1+i} \xi_2^{2+i} \cdots \xi_{(N-n-1)/2}^{(N-n-1)/2+i} (\xi_{(N-n+1)/2} \cdots \xi_{(N+n+1)/2})^i \xi_{(N+n+1)/2}^{(N+n+1)/2+i} \cdots \xi_N^{N-1+i}.$$

Lemma 4.1 *1. $U_{i,\lambda}$ (resp. $U_i[\mathfrak{n}]$) is free rank 1 over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N 1/(2N)]_\lambda$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)] / \mathfrak{n}$) for $(N+1-n)/2 \leq i \leq (N-1+n)/2$, and otherwise it is trivial. Moreover if $\mathfrak{n} = \prod_\lambda \lambda^{a_\lambda}$ then*

$$U_i[\mathfrak{n}] \cong \prod_\lambda U_{i,\lambda} / \lambda^{a_\lambda}.$$

2. The sheaf V_λ (resp. $V[\mathfrak{n}]$) is locally free rank n over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_\lambda^+$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+/\mathfrak{n}$). Moreover if $\mathfrak{n} = \prod_\lambda \lambda^{a_\lambda}$ then

$$V[\mathfrak{n}] \cong \prod_\lambda V_\lambda/\lambda^{a_\lambda}.$$

Proof: The first part follows by the method of proof of proposition I.7.4 of [DMOS]. The second part need only be checked on the fibre at 0, where it follows from the first part. \square

The cup product induces perfect alternating pairings:

$$V_\lambda((N-1-n)/2) \times V_\lambda((N-1-n)/2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_\lambda^+(1-n)$$

and

$$V[\mathfrak{n}]((N-1-n)/2) \times V[\mathfrak{n}]((N-1-n)/2) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+/\mathfrak{n})(1-n).$$

Now let $F/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)^+$ be a finite extension and \mathfrak{n} is a non-zero ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+$. Suppose that W is a finite free $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+/\mathfrak{n}$ module of rank n with a continuous action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ and a perfect, alternating pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W : W \times W \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+/\mathfrak{n})(n-1)$$

such that

$$\langle gw_1, gw_2 \rangle = g\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$$

for all $g \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ and all $w_1, w_2 \in W$. Then W can be thought of as a lisse sheaf on $(\text{Spec } F)_{\text{et}}$. Consider the functor which sends a scheme $S/(T_0 \times_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]} F)$ to the set of isomorphisms $W_S \xrightarrow{\sim} V[\mathfrak{n}]((N-1-n)/2)$. It is represented by a finite etale cover $T_W/(T_0 \times_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]} F)$.

The only purpose of the rest of this section is to prove the next proposition. A reader willing to accept it without proof can pass directly to the next section. The proof of the proposition is transcendental. It will be complete once we have established corollaries 4.5 and 4.8 below.

Proposition 4.2 *Keep the above notation and assumptions.*

1. If \mathfrak{n} is square free and if no two distinct prime factors of \mathfrak{n} have the same residue characteristic then T_W is geometrically connected.
2. If $t \in T_0(\mathbb{C})$ then the image of a generator of monodromy at infinity in $T_0(\mathbb{C})$ in $Sp(V_\lambda) \subset GL_n(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_\lambda^+)$ has minimal polynomial $(X-1)^n$, i.e. it is unipotent with just one Jordan block.

Fix $\tau_0 : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that $\tau_0(\zeta_N) = e^{2\pi i/N}$. Let $V_B/T_0(\mathbb{C})$ denote the locally constant sheaf

$$V_B = [e]^* R^{N-2} \pi_* \mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]^+.$$

The sheaf V_B has a natural perfect, alternating pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V_B \times V_B \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+.$$

Also let $U_B/T_0(\mathbb{C})$ denote the direct summand of $R^{N-2} \pi_* \mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]$ where $\xi \in H_0$ acts as

$$\xi_1 \xi_2^2 \cdots \xi_{(N-n-1)/2}^{(N-n-1)/2} \xi_{(N+n+3)/2}^{(N-n-1)/2} \cdots \xi_N^{N-1}.$$

Thus projection again gives an isomorphism

$$V_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]^+} \mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N] \xrightarrow{\sim} U_B.$$

The sheaf $V_{B,\lambda}$ (resp. $V_B/\mathfrak{n}V_B$, resp. $U_{B,\lambda}$, resp. U_B/\mathfrak{n}) is the Betti sheaf corresponding to the base change to $(T_0 \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(N!)]^+, \tau_0^*} \text{Spec } \mathbb{C})_{\text{et}}$ (resp. $(T_0 \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(N\mathfrak{n})]^+, \tau_0^*} \text{Spec } \mathbb{C})_{\text{et}}$, resp. $(T_0 \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(N!)]^+, \tau_0^*} \text{Spec } \mathbb{C})_{\text{et}}$, resp. $(T_0 \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(N\mathfrak{n})]^+, \tau_0^*} \text{Spec } \mathbb{C})_{\text{et}}$) of V_λ (resp. $V[\mathfrak{n}]$, resp. U_λ , resp. $U[\mathfrak{n}]$).

Let \tilde{T}_0 denote $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ with co-ordinate \tilde{t} . We will consider $T_0 - \{0\}$ as a scheme over \tilde{T}_0 via $\tilde{t} = t^N$. Also let $\tilde{Y} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N-1} \times \tilde{T}_0$ denote the family of hypersurfaces

$$\tilde{X}_1^N + \cdots + \tilde{X}_{(N-1)/2}^N + \tilde{t}^{-1} \tilde{X}_{(N+1)/2}^N + \tilde{X}_{(N+3)/2}^N + \cdots + \tilde{X}_N^N = N \tilde{X}_1 \tilde{X}_2 \cdots \tilde{X}_N.$$

Thus $\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{T}_0$ is smooth of relative dimension $N - 2$. Moreover the pull-back of \tilde{Y} to $T_0 - \{0\}$ is isomorphic to Y via $\tilde{X}_{(N+1)/2} = t X_{(N+1)/2}$ and $\tilde{X}_j = X_j$ for $j \neq (N+1)/2$. Let $\tilde{\zeta}$ denote the automorphism of \tilde{Y} with $\tilde{\zeta}^* \tilde{X}_j = \tilde{X}_{N+1-j}$. Then ς and $\tilde{\zeta}$ are compatible with the map $Y - Y_0 \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$. Let

$$\tilde{H}_0 = \{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{(N-1)/2}, \xi_{(N+3)/2}, \dots, \xi_N) \in \mu_N^{N-1}\} / \mu_N.$$

There is an isomorphism $\tilde{H}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} H_0$ given by

$$(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{(N-1)/2}, \xi_{(N+3)/2}, \dots, \xi_N) \mapsto (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{(N-1)/2}, (\xi_1 \cdots \xi_N)^{-1}, \xi_{(N+3)/2}, \dots, \xi_N).$$

The hypersurface $\tilde{Y} \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/N, \zeta_N]$ has a natural action of the group \tilde{H}_0 , given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) (\tilde{X}_1 : \dots : \tilde{X}_N) &= \\ (\xi_1 \tilde{X}_1 : \dots : \xi_{(N-1)/2} \tilde{X}_{(N-1)/2} : \tilde{X}_{(N+1)/2} : \xi_{(N+3)/2} \tilde{X}_{(N+3)/2} : \dots : \xi_N \tilde{X}_N). \end{aligned}$$

This action is compatible with the maps $\tilde{H}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} H_0$ and $Y - Y_0 \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ and the action of H_0 on Y . If we embed $\mu_N \hookrightarrow H$ by injection onto the $((N+1)/2)^{th}$ coordinate, then $\tilde{Y} \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ is identified with $((Y - Y_0) \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))/\mu_N$ and $\tilde{T}_0 \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ with $((T_0 - \{0\}) \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N))/\mu_N$.

Consider the idempotent

$$\tilde{e} = (1/(2\#\tilde{H}_0))(\zeta + 1) \sum_{\xi \in \tilde{H}_0} (\xi_1^1 \xi_2^2 \cdots \xi_{(N-n-1)/2}^{(N-n-1)/2} \xi_{(N+n+3)/2}^{(N+n+1)/2} \cdots \xi_N^{N-1} + \xi_1^{-1} \xi_2^{-2} \cdots \xi_{(N-n-1)/2}^{-(N-n-1)/2} \xi_{(N+n+3)/2}^{(N-n-1)/2} \cdots \xi_N^1) \xi$$

in the group algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+$ of the semidirect product of \tilde{H}_0 by $\{1, \zeta\}$. The idempotent \tilde{e} defines a self correspondence $[\tilde{e}]$ with coefficients from $\mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]^+$ on \tilde{Y} over $\tilde{T}_0 \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/N, \zeta_N]^+$. Let $\tilde{V}_B/\tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C})$ denote the locally constant sheaf

$$\tilde{V}_B = [\tilde{e}]^* R^{N-2} \tilde{\pi}_* \mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]^+.$$

The sheaf \tilde{V}_B has a natural perfect, alternating pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \tilde{V}_B \times \tilde{V}_B \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+.$$

Similarly let \tilde{U}_B denote the direct summand of $R^{N-2} \tilde{\pi}_* \mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]$ on which $\xi \in \tilde{H}_0$ acts by

$$\xi_1^1 \xi_2^2 \cdots \xi_{(N-n-1)/2}^{(N-n-1)/2} \xi_{(N+n+3)/2}^{(N+n+1)/2} \cdots \xi_N^{N-1},$$

so that projection gives an isomorphism

$$\tilde{V}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]^+} \mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N] \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{U}_B.$$

The pull back of the pair $(\tilde{V}_B, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ from $\tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C})$ to $T_0(\mathbb{C}) - \{0\}$ is naturally identified to the pair $(V_B, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$.

If $\tilde{t} \in \tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C})$ then the fundamental group $\pi_1(\tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{t})$ is generated by elements γ_0, γ_1 and γ_∞ subject only to the relation $\gamma_0 \gamma_1 \gamma_\infty = 1$. Moreover γ_x is a generator of the monodromy group around x for $x = 0, 1$ or ∞ . We get a representation

$$\rho_{\tilde{t}} : \pi_1(\tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{t}) \longrightarrow Sp_n(\tilde{V}_{B, \tilde{t}}).$$

Lemma 4.3 *Keep the above notation and assumptions.*

1. $\rho_t(\gamma_0)$ has characteristic polynomial $\prod_{j=(N-n+1)/2}^{(N+n-1)/2} (X - \zeta_N^j)$.
2. $\rho_t(\gamma_1)$ has characteristic polynomial $(X - 1)^n$, and $\ker(\rho_t(\gamma_1) - 1)$ has rank at least $n - 1$.

3. $\rho_t(\gamma_\infty)$ has characteristic polynomial $(X - 1)^n$.

Proof: We may work with $\tilde{U}_B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[1/(2N), \zeta_N]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ instead of \tilde{V}_B .

The image of γ_0 in $\mu_N = \text{Gal}(T_0(\mathbb{C}) - \{0\}, \tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C}))$ is $\zeta_N^{\pm 1} = \tau_0^{-1}(e^{\pm 2\pi i/N})$. Thus the action of γ_0 on $\tilde{U}_{B, \tilde{t}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ is equivalent to the action of $\zeta_N^{\pm 1}$ on $U_{B,0} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$. The first part now follows from lemma 4.1.

As $T_0(\mathbb{C}) - \{0\} \rightarrow \tilde{T}(\mathbb{C})$ is etale in a neighbourhood of $t = 1$ the second part will follow if we can show the same for the action of a generator of monodromy around 1 on $U_{B,t}$, where t is a preimage of \tilde{t} in $T_0(\mathbb{C}) - \{0\}$. Picard-Lefschetz theory (see [SGA7]) gives an H_0 -orbit Δ of elements of $H^{n-1}(Y_t(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ and an exact sequence

$$(0) \longrightarrow H^{n-1}(Y_1(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{n-1}(Y_t(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^\Delta.$$

If $x \in H^{n-1}(Y_t(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ maps to $(x_\delta) \in \mathbb{Z}^\Delta$ then the monodromy operator sends x to $x \pm \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} x_\delta \delta$. As the action of H_0 commutes with the action of inertia we see that for $\xi \in H_0$ we have $(\xi x)_\delta = x_{\xi^{-1}\delta}$. Thus picking $\delta_0 \in \Delta$ we see that x maps to

$$x \pm \#\Delta/\#H_0 \sum_{\xi \in H_0} (\xi^{-1}x)_{\delta_0} \xi \delta_0.$$

Thus if x transforms under a character χ under the action of H_0 it maps to

$$x \pm x_{\delta_0} \#\Delta(\#H_0)^{-1} \sum_{\xi \in H_0} \chi(\xi)^{-1} \xi \delta_0.$$

Thus $\ker(\gamma_1 - 1)$ has rank at least $n - 1$ on $U_{B,t}$. As γ_1 acts as a symplectic transformation we conclude that it has characteristic polynomial $(X - 1)^n$.

For the third part one can refer to the combination of theorem 5.3 of [Ka2] and theorem 8.4.11 of [Ka1]. However it would seem to us more elegant to find a direct argument which does not go via hypergeometric sheaves. \square

Write

$$(X - 1)^n = X^n + A_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + A_n$$

and

$$\prod_{j=(N-n+1)/2}^{(N+n-1)/2} (X - \zeta_N^j) = X^n + B_1 X^{n-1} + \dots + B_n$$

and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -A_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -A_{n-1} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -A_{n-2} \\ & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -A_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -B_n \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -B_{n-1} \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -B_{n-2} \\ & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -B_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then a theorem 1.1 of [Le] (but see also theorem 3.5 of [BH]) and proposition 3.3 of [BH] give us the following corollary.

Corollary 4.4 *Keep the above notation and assumptions and fix $Sp_n(\tilde{V}_{B,\tilde{t}}) \subset GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. As a representation into $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ the representation ρ_t is conjugate to one sending γ_∞ to A ; γ_1 to BA^{-1} ; and γ_0 to B^{-1} . Moreover this representation is irreducible.*

Corollary 4.5 *Keep the above notation and assumptions. Then $\rho_t(\gamma_\infty)$ has minimal polynomial $(X-1)^n$, i.e. it has just one Jordan block. Hence the same is true for the image of a generator of monodromy at infinity in $Sp(V_{B,t}) \subset GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ (for any $t \in T_0(\mathbb{C})$).*

Lemma 4.6 *Keep the above notation and assumptions. Suppose that λ is a non-zero prime of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+$ and let $k(\lambda)$ denote its residue field. Then the image of $\rho_{\tilde{t}} \bmod \lambda$ is $Sp(\tilde{V}_{B,t}/\lambda)$.*

Proof: Let $\rho : \pi_1(\tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{t}) \longrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+)$ be the representation sending γ_0 to B^{-1} and γ_∞ to A . The argument for proposition 3.3 of [BH] shows that $(\rho \bmod \lambda)$ is absolutely irreducible. On the other hand, by the last but one corollary $\rho_t \bmod \lambda$ and $\rho \bmod \lambda$ have the same trace and so they have equivalent semi-simplifications. Thus $\rho_{\tilde{t}} \bmod \lambda$ is absolutely irreducible.

Let $\Delta \subset \pi_1(\tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{t})$ denote the normal subgroup generated by γ_1 . Then $\pi_1(\tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{t})/\Delta$ is cyclic generated by $\gamma_0\Delta = \gamma_\infty^{-1}\Delta$. Thus the index $[(\rho_{\tilde{t}} \bmod \lambda)(\pi_1(\tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{t})) : (\rho_{\tilde{t}} \bmod \lambda)(\Delta)]$ divides both the order of $(\rho_{\tilde{t}} \bmod \lambda)(\gamma_0)$ and $(\rho_{\tilde{t}} \bmod \lambda)(\gamma_\infty)$, i.e. divides both N and a power of the characteristic of $k(\lambda)$. Thus $(\rho_{\tilde{t}} \bmod \lambda)(\pi_1(\tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{t})) = (\rho_{\tilde{t}} \bmod \lambda)(\Delta)$ and $(\rho_{\tilde{t}} \bmod \lambda)(\pi_1(\tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{t}))$ is generated by elements C with characteristic polynomial $(X-1)^n$ and $\dim \ker(C-1) \geq n-1$. It follows from the main theorem of [SZ] that $(\rho_{\tilde{t}} \bmod \lambda)(\pi_1(\tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{t}))$ is conjugate in $GL_n(k(\lambda))$ to one of the groups $SL_n(k)$, $Sp_n(k)$ or $SU(n, k)$ for some subfield $k \subset k(\lambda)$. (Here $SU(n, k)$ is only defined if k has even degree over its prime subfield and then it equals the subgroup of $SL_n(k)$ consisting of matrices g with $\sigma(g)^t g = 1_n$, where

σ is the unique field automorphism of k of order exactly 2.) Because the image is contained in a conjugate of $Sp_n(k(\lambda))$ we see that the possibilities $SL_n(k(\lambda))$ and $SU(n, k(\lambda))$ can not occur unless $n = 2$. (If $\alpha, \beta \in k(\lambda)$ satisfy $\sigma(\alpha)\alpha = \sigma(\beta)\beta = 1$ then the diagonal matrix $(\alpha, \beta, (\alpha\beta)^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1)$ lies in $SU(n, k(\lambda))$ but can only be conjugate to an element of $Sp_n(k(\lambda))$ if one of α, β or $\alpha\beta$ equals 1.) Also note that $Sp_2(k(\lambda)) = SL_2(k(\lambda))$ and that $\text{tr } SU(2, k(\lambda)) \subset k(\lambda)^{\sigma=1}$. (If $g \in SU(2, k(\lambda))$ then $\text{tr } g = \text{tr } g^{-1} = \text{tr } \sigma(g) = \sigma(\text{tr } g)$.) Thus it suffices to show that the characteristic polynomials of the elements of $(\rho_{\tilde{t}} \bmod \lambda)(\pi_1(\tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{t}))$ do not all lie in $k[X]$ for some proper subfield $k \subset k(\lambda)$.

Considering just the element $(\rho_{\tilde{t}} \bmod \lambda)(\gamma_0)$ we see that it suffices to check that if $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \{\alpha j : j = (N+1-n)/2, \dots, (N-1+n)/2\} = \\ \{j : j = (N+1-n)/2, \dots, (N-1+n)/2\} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

then $\alpha = \pm 1$. (See part 1 of lemma 4.3 for the characteristic polynomial of $(\rho_{\tilde{t}} \bmod \lambda)(\gamma_0)$.) The case $n = 2$ is easy, so we suppose $n > 2$. We may assume that α has a representative $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 < a < N/2$, and we wish to prove $a = 1$. Choose j_1 from amongst $(N+1-n)/2, \dots, (N-3+n)/2$ and j_2 from amongst $(N+1-n)/2, \dots, (N+1)/2$ with $\alpha j_1 \equiv j_2 \pmod{N}$. (This is possible as $n > 2$.) Then $\alpha(j_1 + 1) \equiv j_2 + a \pmod{N}$ and $j_2 + a \leq N$, so that we must have $j_2 + a \leq (N-1+n)/2$, which implies that $a < n$. Now if $j = 0, \dots, (N-1-n)/2$ and $\alpha^{-1}j \not\equiv (N-1-n)/2 \pmod{N}$ then $\alpha(\alpha^{-1}j + 1) \equiv j + a \pmod{N}$ and $j + a < (N-1+n)/2$, so that we must have $j + a < (N+1-n)/2$. Thus indeed we must have $a = 1$, and the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 4.7 *Suppose that $t \in T_0(\mathbb{C})$. Let λ be a non-zero prime of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+$. Then the natural map*

$$\pi_1(T_0(\mathbb{C}), t) \longrightarrow Sp(V_{B,t}/\lambda)$$

is surjective.

Proof: We may reduce to the case $t \neq 0$. Then it suffices to show that the composite

$$\pi_1(T_0(\mathbb{C}) - \{0\}, t) \twoheadrightarrow \pi_1(T_0(\mathbb{C}), t) \longrightarrow Sp(V_{B,t}/\lambda)$$

is surjective. However if \tilde{t} denotes the image of t in $\tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C})$ then $\pi_1(T_0(\mathbb{C}) - \{0\}, t)$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(\tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{t})$ with quotient cyclic of order N . As

$$\pi_1(\tilde{T}_0(\mathbb{C}), \tilde{t}) \twoheadrightarrow Sp(\tilde{V}_{B, \tilde{t}}/\lambda) \cong Sp(V_{B, t}/\lambda)$$

it suffices to show that if $l \nmid 2N$ is a prime then $Sp_n(\mathbb{F}_{l^r})$ has no cyclic quotient of order dividing N . However the only cyclic composition factors of $Sp_n(\mathbb{F}_{l^r})$ have order 2 or 3, and a cyclic composition factor of order 3 only occurs if $l^r = 3$ and $n = 2$. \square

Corollary 4.8 *If \mathfrak{n} is a square-free ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+$ such that any two distinct prime factors of \mathfrak{n} have distinct residue characteristics, then*

$$\pi_1(T_0(\mathbb{C}), t) \twoheadrightarrow Sp(V_{B, t}/\mathfrak{n}).$$

Proof: Suppose $l > 2$ is a prime and that $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and that either $n > 2$ or $l^r \neq 3$, then the only non-trivial quotient of $Sp_n(\mathbb{F}_{l^r})$ is $PSp_n(\mathbb{F}_{l^r})$, which is an insoluble simple group. On the other hand $Sp_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ is soluble. Moreover for positive integers r, s and for primes $l \neq l'$ both greater than 2 the groups $PSp_n(\mathbb{F}_{l^r})$ and $PSp_n(\mathbb{F}_{(l')^s})$ are not isomorphic. This corollary then follows from the previous corollary and Goursat's lemma. \square

One can ask if this corollary remains true for any non-zero ideal \mathfrak{n} of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+$. We have now completed the proof of proposition 4.2.

5 The Dwork Family II

We now turn to an analysis of the action of Galois groups of local fields on the spaces $V_{\lambda, t}$. First we consider the case that t lies in a p -adic field with p different from the residue characteristic of λ . The next lemma follows from proposition 4.2 as in the proof of lemma 1.15 of [HSBT].

Lemma 5.1 *Suppose that \mathfrak{q} and λ are non-zero primes of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+$ with distinct residue characteristics. Suppose also that $F/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_{\mathfrak{q}}^+$ is a finite extension and that $t \in F$ with $t^N \neq 1$.*

1. *If $t \in \mathcal{O}_F$ but $t^N - 1$ is not in the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_F then the action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ on $V_{\lambda, t}$ is unramified.*

2. If $t \in F - \mathcal{O}_F$ then the action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ on $V_{\lambda,t}$ is tamely ramified. A generator of tame inertia acts via a unipotent matrix with minimal polynomial $(X - 1)^n$. A Frobenius lift has characteristic polynomial

$$(X - \alpha)(X - \alpha \#k(\mathfrak{q})) \dots (X - \alpha(\#k(\mathfrak{q}))^{n-1})$$

for some $\alpha = \pm 1$.

We next turn to the case that t lies in an l -adic field, where l equals the residue characteristic of λ . The rest of this section is entirely devoted to the proof of lemma 5.3. A reader who is prepared to take that lemma on trust may simply read its statement and skip to the next section.

The proof of lemma 5.3 will depend on the use of Fontaine's functors to reduce the statements to questions about de Rham cohomology, so we must first study the de Rham cohomology of the varieties Y_t . The analysis is complicated because we have to work with 'de Rham cohomology with coefficients' and these coefficients are embeddable in the field containing t , but there is *not* a canonical embedding.

The relative de Rham cohomology

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{DR}} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{DR}}^{N-2}(Y/(T_0 \times_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)))$$

is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{T_0 \times_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}$ -module (for the Zariski topology on $T_0 \times_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$). It comes with a decreasing filtration $F^j \mathcal{H}_{\text{DR}}$ by local direct summands (with $F^0 \mathcal{H}_{\text{DR}} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{DR}}$). Moreover there are compatible decompositions

$$F^j \mathcal{H}_{\text{DR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q})} F^j \mathcal{H}_{\text{DR},\sigma},$$

where

$$F^j \mathcal{H}_{\text{DR},\sigma} = (F^j \mathcal{H}_{\text{DR}}) \otimes_{\sigma^{-1}, \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N).$$

Here we think of the second factor $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ as 'coefficients'. Define U_{DR} , $F^j U_{\text{DR}}$, $U_{\text{DR},\sigma}$ and $F^j U_{\text{DR},\sigma}$ to be the direct summand of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{DR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$, $F^j \mathcal{H}_{\text{DR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{DR},\sigma}$ and $F^j \mathcal{H}_{\text{DR},\sigma}$ where $\xi \in H_0$ acts by

$$\xi_1^1 \xi_2^2 \dots \xi_{(N-n-1)/2}^{(N-n-1)/2} \xi_{(N+n+1)/2}^{(N+n+1)/2} \dots \xi_N^{N-1}$$

(acting through the right hand 'coefficient' factor of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$). Again we can decompose the fibres

$$U_{\text{DR},0} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N U_{i,\text{DR}}$$

and

$$U_{\text{DR},\sigma,0} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^N U_{i,\text{DR},\sigma}$$

where $U_{i,\text{DR}}$ (resp. $U_{i,\text{DR},\sigma}$) is the direct summand where $\xi \in H$ acts by

$$\xi_1^{1+i} \xi_2^{2+i} \cdots \xi_{(N-n-1)/2}^{(N-n-1)/2+i} (\xi_{(N-n+1)/2} \cdots \xi_{(N+n+1)/2})^i \xi_{(N+n+3)/2}^{(N+n+1)/2+i} \cdots \xi_N^{N-1+i}.$$

If λ and v are primes of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/N]$ both with residue characteristic l ; if $F/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_v$ is a finite extension; if $t \in T_0(F)$; and if $\sigma : F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_\lambda}$ then

$$((U_{\lambda,t} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/N]_\lambda} \overline{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_\lambda}) \otimes_{\sigma,F} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)} \cong U_{\text{DR},\sigma|_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_v},t} \otimes_{F,\sigma} \overline{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_\lambda}.$$

Similarly for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $\sigma : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_\lambda}$ we have

$$((U_{i,\lambda} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/N]_\lambda} \overline{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_\lambda}) \otimes_{\sigma,\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_v} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_\lambda}/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_\lambda)} \cong U_{i,\text{DR},\sigma} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_v,\sigma} \overline{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_\lambda}.$$

If $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ we will write \bar{a} for the integer in the range $1 \leq \bar{a} \leq N$ which is congruent to a modulo N . Let $\tau_0 : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\tau_0(\zeta_N) = e^{2\pi i/N}$.

Lemma 5.2 1. *Suppose that $\sigma^{-1}(\zeta_N) = \zeta_N^a$. Then $U_{i,\text{DR},\sigma} = (0)$ unless $(N+1-n)/2 \leq i \leq (N-1+n)/2$. If $(N+1-n)/2 \leq i \leq (N-1+n)/2$ then $U_{i,\text{DR},\sigma}$ is a one dimensional $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ -vector space and $\text{gr}^j U_{i,\text{DR},\sigma}$ is non-zero only for*

$$j = (N-n-3)/2 + \#\{(N+1-n)/2 \leq b \leq (N-1+n)/2 : \bar{ab} \leq \bar{ai}\}.$$

2. *$U_{\text{DR},\sigma}$ is a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{T_0 \times_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}$ -modules of rank n . If $(N-1-n)/2 \leq j \leq (N+n-3)/2$ then $\text{gr}^j U_{\text{DR},\sigma}$ is locally free rank one over $\mathcal{O}_{T_0 \times_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}$. Otherwise it is trivial.*

Proof: As $\text{gr}^j U_{\text{DR},\sigma}$ is locally free over $\mathcal{O}_{T_0 \times_{\mathbb{Z}[1/N]} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}$, the second part follows from the first. Now consider the first part. The space

$$\text{gr}^j U_{i,\text{DR},\sigma} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N), \tau_0 \sigma^{-1}} \mathbb{C}$$

is identified with the space

$$H^{j, N-2-j}(Y(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})_{(a(1+i), a(2+i), \dots, a((N-n-1)/2+i), ai, \dots, ai, a((N+n+1)/2+i), \dots, a(N-1+i))}$$

of section I.7 of [DMOS] (where we define $Y(\mathbb{C})$ via $\tau_0 : \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$). By propositions I.7.4 and I.7.6 of [DMOS] this is non-zero if and only if none of

the entries of $(a(1+i), a(2+i), \dots, a((N-n-1)/2+i), ai, \dots, ai, a((N+n+1)/2+i), \dots, a(N-1+i))$ are congruent to zero modulo N and

$$j+1 = \frac{\overline{a(1+i)} + \overline{a(2+i)} + \dots + \overline{a((N-n-1)/2+i)} + \overline{ai} + \dots + \overline{ai} + \overline{a((N+n+1)/2+i)} + \dots + \overline{a(N-1+i)}}{N}.$$

Define $j(0) = (N-n-1)/2$ and

$$j(d) = \frac{\overline{a+d} + \overline{2a+d} + \dots + \overline{a(N-n-1)/2+d} + \overline{d} + \dots + \overline{d} + \overline{a(N+n+1)/2+d} + \dots + \overline{a(N-1)+d}}{N-1}$$

for $d > 0$. For $d = 1, \dots, N-1$ we have $j(d) = j(d-1) + 1$ if $d-1 \equiv ai \pmod{N}$ for some $(N+1-n)/2 \leq i \leq (N-1+n)/2$, and otherwise $j(d) = j(d-1)$. The first part follows. \square

Lemma 5.3 *Suppose that λ is a non-zero prime of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+$ which has residue characteristic l . Suppose that v is also a place of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)^+$ with residue characteristic l . (The place v might, or might not, equal the place λ .) Let $F/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_v^+$ be a finite extension and let $t \in F$ with $t^N \neq 1$.*

1. $V_{\lambda,t}((N-1-n)/2)$ is a de Rham representation of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$. Moreover for each continuous embedding $\tau : F \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_\lambda^+}$ the Hodge-Tate numbers of $V_{\lambda,t}((N-1-n)/2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_\lambda^+} \overline{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_\lambda^+}$ with respect to τ are $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$.
2. If $t \in \mathcal{O}_F$ and $t^N - 1$ is not in the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_F then $V_{\lambda,t}((N-1-n)/2)$ is crystalline.
3. If $l \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ and t is in the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_F then $V_{\lambda,t}((N-1-n)/2)$ is ordinary of weight 0.
4. If $l \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ is an odd prime and if v is a place of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ above l then

$$V[\lambda]((N-1-n)/2)_0 \cong \mathbb{F}_l \oplus \mathbb{F}_l(-1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{F}_l(1-n)$$

as a module for $I_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_v^+}$.

5. If $l \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$ then

$$V[\lambda]((N-1-n)/2)_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]^+/\lambda} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l \cong \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l(\omega_2^{1-n}) \oplus \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l(\omega_2^{-l+2-n}) \oplus \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l(\omega_2^{-2l+3-n}) \oplus \dots \oplus \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l(\omega_2^{l(1-n)})$$

as a module for $I_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_v^+}$.

Proof: The first part follows from lemma 5.2 and the comparison isomorphism discussed just before the statement of that lemma. The second part follows as for these values of t the variety Y_t has good reduction at v .

For the third and fourth part it suffices to show that if $l \equiv 1 \pmod N$ then

$$V_\lambda((N-1-n)/2)_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_\lambda^+} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \oplus \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l(-1) \oplus \dots \oplus \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l(1-n)$$

as modules for $I_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_v^+}$. (Note that for t in the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_F we have

$$(V_{\lambda,0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_\lambda^+} B_{\text{cris}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)} \cong (V_{\lambda,t} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_\lambda^+} B_{\text{cris}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)},$$

as both can be calculated from the crystalline cohomology of the base change of Y_0 to the residue field of \mathcal{O}_F . Thus, by lemma 2.2, $V_{\lambda,0}$ is ordinary if and only if $V_{\lambda,t}$ is ordinary.) However if λ' is a prime of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ above λ then

$$\begin{aligned} & V_\lambda((N-1-n)/2)_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_\lambda^+} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \\ & \bigoplus_{i=(N+1-n)/2}^{(N-1+n)/2} U_{i,\lambda'}((N-1-n)/2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_{\lambda'}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l, \end{aligned}$$

and each $U_{i,\lambda'}((N-1-n)/2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_{\lambda'}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ is crystalline. Suppose that v' is a place of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ above v ; that $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q})$ is such that λ' corresponds to $v' \circ \sigma^{-1}$; and that $\sigma^{-1}(\zeta_N) = \zeta_N^a$. Then the Hodge-Tate number of $U_{i,\lambda'}((N-1-n)/2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_{\lambda'}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ as a module for $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)}_{v'}/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_{v'})$ is

$$\#\{(N+1-n)/2 \leq b \leq (N-n+1)/2 : \overline{ab} \leq \overline{ai}\} - 1.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & U_{i,\lambda'}((N-1-n)/2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_{\lambda'}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \\ & \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l(1 - \#\{(N+1-n)/2 \leq b \leq (N-n+1)/2 : \overline{ab} \leq \overline{ai}\}) \end{aligned}$$

as a module for $I_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_{v'}}$. The third and fourth parts follow.

For the fifth part it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & V_\lambda((N-1-n)/2)_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_\lambda^+} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \\ & (\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l((\epsilon_l^{(2)})^{1-n}) \oplus \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l((\epsilon_l^{(2)})^{2-n}(\epsilon_l^{(2), \text{Frob}^l})^{-1}) \oplus \dots \oplus \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l((\epsilon_l^{(2), \text{Frob}^l})^{1-n})). \end{aligned}$$

However

$$\begin{aligned} & V_\lambda((N-1-n)/2)_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_\lambda^+} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \\ & \bigoplus_{i=(N+1-n)/2}^{(N-1+n)/2} U_{i,\lambda}((N-1-n)/2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_\lambda} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l, \end{aligned}$$

and each $U_{i,\lambda}((N-1-n)/2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_\lambda} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ is crystalline. Suppose $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q})$ and λ corresponds to $v \circ \sigma^{-1}$ and $\sigma^{-1}(\zeta_N) = \zeta_N^a$. There are two continuous embeddings $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_v$ into $\overline{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_\lambda}$, one being the continuous extension of σ and the other $c\sigma = \sigma \text{Frob}_l$. Then the Hodge-Tate number of $U_{i,\lambda}((N-1-n)/2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_\lambda} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ with respect to σ (resp. σFrob_l) as a module for $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_v}/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_v)$ is

$$\#\{(N+1-n)/2 \leq b \leq (N-n+1)/2 : \overline{ab} \leq \overline{ai}\} - 1$$

(resp.

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{(N+1-n)/2 \leq b \leq (N-n+1)/2 : \overline{-ab} \leq \overline{-ai}\} - 1 = \\ & n - \#\{(N+1-n)/2 \leq b \leq (N-n+1)/2 : \overline{ab} \leq \overline{ai}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$U_{i,\lambda}((N-1-n)/2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N, 1/(2N)]_\lambda} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l((\sigma\epsilon_l^{(2)})^{1-j}(\sigma \text{Frob}_l \epsilon_l^{(2)})^{j-n})$$

as a module for $I_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)_v}$, where

$$j = \#\{(N+1-n)/2 \leq b \leq (N-n+1)/2 : \overline{ab} \leq \overline{ai}\}.$$

□

6 Potential modularity I

The arguments of this section follow those of [HSBT]. However we will make use of the improvements to [HT], [CHT] and [Tay] which have been made in [Sh], [CH], [Gu] and [Ger] (which we have recalled in sections 1 and 2) in order to in turn improve upon the results of [HSBT] (and also simplify some of the arguments in [HSBT]).

We start with a result from elementary number theory.

Lemma 6.1 *Suppose that m is a positive integer, l is a rational prime and S is a finite set of rational primes. Then we can find a positive integer N not divisible by any prime in S such that if $l^s \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ then $m|s$.*

Proof: We may assume that $m = p^t$ is a prime power. Moreover we may assume that $t > 1$ and that for each prime in $q \in S \cup \{p\}$ either q divides none of the numbers $l^{p^u} - 1$ (for $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) or $q|(l^{p^{t-2}} - 1)$. If a prime r divides $l^{p^{t-1}} - 1$ and $l^{p^{t-1}(p-1)} + \dots + l^{p^{t-1}} + 1$ then $r = p$. Moreover in that case

$$l^{p^{t-1}(p-1)} + \dots + l^{p^{t-1}} + 1 \equiv p \pmod{p^2}.$$

We conclude that it suffices to take N to be any prime divisor of

$$(l^{p^{t-1}(p-1)} + \dots + l^{p^{t-1}} + 1)/(p, l^{p^{t-1}(p-1)} + \dots + l^{p^{t-1}} + 1).$$

□

We will need yet another very minor variant of a theorem of Moret-Bailly [MB] (see also [GPR]).

Proposition 6.2 *Let F be a number field and let $S = S_1 \amalg S_2 \amalg S_3$ be a finite set of places of F , so that every element of S_2 is non-archimedean. Suppose that T/F is a smooth, geometrically connected variety. Suppose also that*

- *for $v \in S_1$, $\Omega_v \subset T(F_v)$ is a non-empty open (for the v -topology) subset;*
- *for $v \in S_2$, $\Omega_v \subset T(F_v^{\text{nr}})$ is a non-empty open $\text{Gal}(F_v^{\text{nr}}/F_v)$ -invariant subset;*
- *and for $v \in S_3$, $\Omega_v \subset T(\overline{F}_v)$ is a non-empty open $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)$ -invariant subset.*

Suppose that M/F is a finite Galois extension so that every element of S_1 splits completely in M and every element of S_2 is unramified in M . Suppose finally that L/F is a finite Galois extension linearly disjoint from M .

Then there is a finite Galois extension F'/F and a point $P \in T(F')$ such that

- *$F' \supset M$;*
- *F'/F is linearly disjoint from L/F ;*
- *every place v of S_1 splits completely in F' and if w is a prime of F' above v then $P \in \Omega_v \subset T(F'_w)$;*
- *every place v of S_2 is unramified in F' and if w is a prime of F' above v then $P \in \Omega_v \cap T(F'_w)$;*
- *and if w is a prime of F' above an element of $v \in S_3$ then $P \in \Omega_v \cap T(F'_w)$.*

Proof: Let L_1, \dots, L_r denote the intermediate fields $L \supset L_i \supset F$ with L_i/F Galois with simple Galois group. Combining Hensel's lemma with the Weil bounds we see that T has an F_v rational point for all but finitely many primes v of F . Thus enlarging S_1 to include, for each i , one sufficiently large prime that is split completely in M but not split in L_i (the prime may depend on i), we may suppress the second condition on F' .

Let F''/F be a finite Galois extension such that

- $F'' \supset M$;
- all places in S_1 split completely in F'' ;
- every place v of S_2 is unramified in F'' and if w is a prime of F'' above v then $\Omega_v \cap T(F''_w) \neq \emptyset$;
- and if w is a prime of F'' above an element of $v \in S_3$ then $\Omega_v \cap T(F''_w) \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 1.3 of [MB] tells us that we can find a finite Galois extension F'''/F'' and a point $P \in T(F''''')$ such that

- every place v of S_1 splits completely in F''' and if w is a prime of F''' above v then $P \in \Omega_v \subset T(F'''_w)$;
- every place v of S_2 is unramified in F''' and if w is a prime of F''' above v then $P \in \Omega_v \cap T(F'''_w)$;
- and if w is a prime of F''' above an element of $v \in S_3$ then $P \in \Omega_v \cap T(F'''_w)$.

Now take F' to be the normal closure of F''' over F . \square

We now turn to our first modularity lifting theorem in the ordinary case.

Theorem 6.3 *Suppose that F is a totally real field and that n is an even positive integer. Suppose that $l > n$ is a rational prime and that L/\mathbb{Q}_l is a finite extension with ring of integers \mathcal{O} and residue field \mathbb{F} .*

Suppose also that

$$r : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow \text{GSp}_n(\mathcal{O})$$

is a continuous representation which is unramified at all but finitely many primes. Let \bar{r} denote the semisimplification of the reduction of r modulo the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O} . Suppose moreover that r enjoys the following properties.

1. \bar{r} has multiplier ϵ^{1-n} .
2. The image $\bar{r}\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))$ is big (in $GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l)$) and $\zeta_l \notin \overline{F}^{\ker \text{ad } \bar{r}}$.
3. r is ordinary of weight a for some $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\text{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l), +}$.

Then there is a Galois totally real extension F'/F such that $r|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F')}$ is automorphic of weight $a_{F'}$.

Proof: The strategy is to find an N (as at the start of section 4) and primes λ, λ' of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)^+$ (with say $\lambda' | l'$) and $t \in T_0(F')$ such that $V[\lambda]_t \cong \bar{r}|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F')}$ while $V[\lambda']_t \cong \bar{r}'|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F')}$, where $r' : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_{l'})$ is an ordinary weight 0 representation which is induced from a character θ of $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/FM)$ for a suitable CM field M . We will first choose N . Then we will choose M and a character ϕ of \mathbb{A}_M^\times valued in a number field $M' \supset M$. Then we choose l' to be a prime that splits in M' and take θ to be the l' -adic character associated to ϕ . Having made these choices the bulk of the proof will be spent checking that \bar{r}' satisfies the conditions of theorem 2.3. To enable us to check (inter alia) that $\bar{r}' \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ is big we arrange that ϕ , and hence θ , ramifies in a particular way above an auxiliary prime q . We then argue that as r' is automorphic over F' , so is $\bar{r}' \cong V[\lambda']_t$, and hence by theorem 2.3 so is $V_{\lambda', t}$. Then $\bar{r} \cong V[\lambda]_t$ is automorphic over F' and hence, by theorem 2.3 again, so is r .

Step 1: Choosing $N, \lambda, M, q, \phi, l', \lambda', \theta$ and r' .

Choose an odd positive integer N divisible only by primes which do not ramify in $\bar{F}^{\ker \bar{r}}(\zeta_l)$ and a prime $\lambda | l$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)^+$ such that there is an embedding $\mathbb{F} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_N]^+/\lambda$. (Use lemma 6.1.) Fix such an embedding. Note that $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ is linearly disjoint from $\bar{F}^{\ker \bar{r}}(\zeta_l)$ over \mathbb{Q} .

Choose an imaginary CM field M which is cyclic Galois over \mathbb{Q} of degree n in which all primes which ramify in $\bar{F}^{\ker \bar{r}}(\zeta_{Nl})$ are unramified. Let τ denote a generator of $\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q})$. Choose an odd rational prime $q \nmid Nl$ which splits completely in M and is unramified in F , and a prime \mathfrak{q} of M above q . Choose a finite extension M'/M and a character with open kernel

$$\phi : \mathbb{A}_M^\times \longrightarrow (M')^\times$$

such that

- if $\alpha \in M^\times$ then

$$\phi(\alpha) = \prod_{i=0}^{n/2-1} \tau^i(\alpha)^i \tau^i(c\alpha)^{n-1-i};$$

- $\phi(\phi \circ c) = \prod_v \not\mid_{\infty} | \cdot |_v^{1-n}$;
- ϕ is unramified above lN ;
- ϕ is unramified at all primes above q except \mathfrak{q} and \mathfrak{q}^c , but $q | \# \phi(\mathcal{O}_{M, \mathfrak{q}}^\times)$.

(See lemma 2.2 of [HSBT].) Then choose a rational prime l' such that

- l' splits completely in $M'(\zeta_N)$;
- l' unramified in F ;
- ϕ unramified at l' ;
- $l' \nmid lq$;
- and $l' > n + 1$.

Also choose a prime $\lambda_1 | l'$ of M' and a prime $\lambda' | l'$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)^+$. Let

$$\theta : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M', \lambda_1}^\times = \mathbb{Z}_{l'}^\times$$

be the character defined by

$$\theta(\text{Art } \alpha) = \phi(\alpha) \prod_{i=0}^{n/2-1} \tau^i(\alpha_{l'})^{-i} (\tau^i c)(\alpha_{l'})^{i+1-n},$$

and let $\bar{\theta}$ denote the reduction of θ modulo λ_1 . Note that $\theta\theta^c = \epsilon_{l'}^{1-n}$.

Looking at inertia above q we see that if $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and if

$$\overline{\theta\theta}^{\tau^k} = \overline{\theta}^{\tau^i} \overline{\theta}^{\tau^j}$$

on $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/FM(\zeta_{l'}))$ then we have one of the following alternatives (\ddagger):

- either $\{0, k\} = \{i, j\} \subset \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$,
- or $k = i - j = n/2 \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

(Recall that $q \nmid \#\bar{\theta}(I_{M_q})$ but that $\bar{\theta}$ is unramified at all other primes of M above q except \mathfrak{q} . Note also that $\overline{\theta\theta}^c$ is unramified above q .)

Let $\tilde{\tau} \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_{l'}))$ lift $\tau \in \text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q})$. Then

$$\bar{\theta}(\tilde{\tau}^n) = \bar{\theta}(c(c\tilde{\tau}^{n/2})c(c\tilde{\tau}^{n/2})) = (\overline{\theta\theta}^c)(c\tilde{\tau}^{n/2}) = -1.$$

Also let r' denote the induction of θ from $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/M)$ to $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and let \bar{r}' denote the reduction of r' modulo λ_1 . The representation r' has a basis e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} where

$$r'(\sigma)e_i = \theta^{\tau^i}(\sigma)e_i$$

for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/M)$, where

$$r'(\tilde{\tau})e_i = e_{i-1}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, and where

$$r'(\tilde{\tau})e_0 = -e_{n-1}.$$

Define a perfect alternating pairing on r' by

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = i + n/2 \\ -1 & \text{if } i = j + n/2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This pairing is preserved by r up to scalar multiples and we see that

$$r' : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{GSp}_n(\mathbb{Z}_{l'})$$

with multiplier $\epsilon_{l'}^{1-n}$.

Note that $\overline{F}^{\ker(\tilde{r} \times \tilde{r}')}(\zeta_{l'})$ is linearly disjoint from $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ over \mathbb{Q} (as they ramify at disjoint sets of primes).

Step 2: Checking the conditions of theorem 2.3 for \tilde{r}' .

Let f_0, \dots, f_{n-1} denote the basis of $\text{Hom}(r', \mathbb{Z}_{l'})$ dual to e_0, \dots, e_{n-1} , so that $\{e_i \otimes f_j\}$ is a basis of $\text{ad } r'$. Then we can decompose

$$\text{ad } r' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{l'}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{l'} = \left(\bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}), \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{l'})} W_\chi \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} W_i \right),$$

where

- W_χ is the span of $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \chi(\tau^i) e_i \otimes f_i$,
- and W_i is the span of $\{e_j \otimes f_{i+j}\}_{j=0, \dots, n-1}$.

Thus $W_\chi \cong \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{l'}(\chi)$ and

$$W_i \cong \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/M)}^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/\mathbb{Q})} \overline{\theta} / \overline{\theta}^i.$$

For $i, j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ we have $\overline{\theta} / \overline{\theta}^i \neq \overline{\theta}^j / \overline{\theta}^{\tau^{i+j}}$ on $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM(\zeta_{l'}))$ (see alternative (‡) above) and so we deduce that W_i is an irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{l'}[\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_{l'}))]$ -module. If $i, i' = 1, \dots, n - 1$, $j = 0, \dots, n - 1$ and $\overline{\theta} / \overline{\theta}^i = \overline{\theta}^j / \overline{\theta}^{\tau^{i'+j}}$ on $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM(\zeta_{l'}))$ then we see that either $i = i'$ or $i + i' = n$ (see alternative (‡) above). Thus $W_i \cong W_{i'}$ as $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_{l'}))$ -modules (if and) only if $i = i'$ or $i + i' = n$.

We can choose $\sigma_0 \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/M(\zeta_{l'}))$ such that $\bar{\theta}(\sigma_0)$ has order divisible by q but $\bar{\theta}^i(\sigma_0) = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n/2 - 1$. (For instance choose σ_0 in the inertia group at \mathfrak{q} and recall that $q \mid \#\bar{\theta}(I_{M_{\mathfrak{q}}})$.) Then

$$\pi_{\bar{r}'(\sigma_0), \bar{\theta}(\sigma_0)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \chi(\tau^i) e_i \otimes f_i \right) i_{\bar{r}'(\sigma_0), \bar{\theta}(\sigma_0)} = 1$$

and so

$$\pi_{\bar{r}'(\sigma_0), \bar{\theta}(\sigma_0)} W_{\chi} i_{\bar{r}'(\sigma_0), \bar{\theta}(\sigma_0)} \neq (0)$$

for all $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}), \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{l'}^{\times})$. If W is any other irreducible $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_{l'}))$ -submodule of $\text{ad } r \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{l'}$ then it contains a vector either of the form

$$\alpha e_0 \otimes f_i + \beta e_{n/2+i} \otimes f_{n/2}$$

for some $i = 1, \dots, n/2 - 1$, or of the form

$$e_0 \otimes f_{n/2}.$$

Let ζ denote a non-trivial n^{th} root of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{l'}$ with, in the former case, $\alpha + \zeta^{-2i}\beta \neq 0$. Then either

$$\pi_{\bar{r}'(\bar{\tau}), \zeta}(\alpha e_0 \otimes f_i + \beta e_{n/2+i} \otimes f_{n/2}) i_{\bar{r}'(\bar{\tau}), \zeta} = \zeta^i(\alpha + \zeta^{-2i}\beta)/n \neq 0,$$

or

$$\pi_{\bar{r}'(\bar{\tau}), \zeta}(e_0 \otimes f_{n/2}) i_{\bar{r}'(\bar{\tau}), \zeta} = \zeta^{n/2}/n.$$

As l does not divide $\#\bar{r}'(\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_{l'})))$, we conclude that

$$\bar{r}'(\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_{l'})))$$

is big.

Choose $\sigma_1 \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM)$ such that $\epsilon_{l'}(\sigma_1) \bmod l'$ has order $l' - 1$ (e.g. choose a suitable element of an inertia group above l'). Let

$$\sigma_2 = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \tilde{\tau}^j \sigma_1 \tilde{\tau}^{-j}.$$

Then

$$\bar{\theta}^i(\sigma_2) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \bar{\theta}^{\tau^j}(\sigma_1)$$

is independent of i so that $\text{ad } \bar{r}'(\sigma_2) = 1$. However

$$\epsilon_{l'}(\sigma_2) \bmod l' = \epsilon_{l'}(\sigma_1)^n \bmod l' \neq 1.$$

Thus $\zeta_{l'} \notin \bar{F}^{(\ker \text{ad } \bar{r}') \cap \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)}$.

Step 3: Completion of the proof.

Let T_0 be the scheme described in section 4 corresponding to N and let V_λ and $V_{\lambda'}$ be the sheaves on $(T_0 \times \text{Spec } F)_{\text{et}}$ corresponding to N and n . We can choose a Galois extension F'/F and a point $t \in T_0(F')$ such that

- $F' \supset F(\zeta_N)^+$;
- F' is totally real;
- F'/F is linearly disjoint from $\bar{F}^{\ker(\bar{r} \times \bar{r}')}(\zeta_{ll'})$ over F ;
- $V[\lambda]_t \cong \bar{r}|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F')}$;
- $V[\lambda']_t \cong \bar{r}'|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F')}$;
- $v(t) < 0$ for all places $v|l$ of F' ;
- $v(t) > 0$ for all places $v|l'$ of F' .

(Apply Moret-Bailly's lemma 6.2 to $T_{\bar{r} \times \bar{r}'}$ as in the proof of theorem 3.1 of [HSBT]. We take S_1 to consist of the infinite places of F and S_3 to consist of the divisors of ll' (and $S_2 = \emptyset$). For $v \in S_1$ we take $\Omega_v = T_{\bar{r} \times \bar{r}'}(F_v)$. For $v|l$ we take Ω_v to be the set of points in $T_{\bar{r} \times \bar{r}'}(\bar{F}_v)$ which map to a point $t \in T_0(\bar{F}_v) \subset \bar{F}_v$ with $v(t) < 0$. For $v|l'$ we take Ω_v to be the set of points in $T_{\bar{r} \times \bar{r}'}(\bar{F}_v)$ which map to a point $t \in T_0(\bar{F}_v) \subset \bar{F}_v$ with $v(t) > 0$. Note that by proposition 4.2 $T_{\bar{r} \times \bar{r}'}$ is geometrically connected. If $v|\infty$ then any point in $T_0(F_v)$ lifts to a point in $T_{\bar{r} \times \bar{r}'}(F_v)$ as all involutions in $GS p_n(k)$ with multiplier -1 are conjugate (for any field k of characteristic not 2). Hence for $v|\infty$ we have $\Omega_v \neq \emptyset$.)

From theorem 4.2 of [AC] we know that r' is automorphic over F' of weight 0. Thus $\bar{r}' \cong V[\lambda']_t$ is ordinarily automorphic over F' of level prime to l' . By lemma 5.3 we see that $V_{\lambda',t}$ is ordinary of weight 0. By theorem 2.3 we see that it is automorphic of weight 0. Moreover it follows from lemma 5.1 and theorem 1.1 that it arises from a RAESDC automorphic representation π of weight 0 with π_v a Steinberg representation for all $v|l$. (Because π_v is generic ,

$r_l(\iota^{-1}\pi_v)^\vee(1-n)^{\text{ss}}$ is unramified and $r_l(\iota^{-1}\pi_v)^\vee(1-n)^{\text{ss}}(\text{Frob}_v)$ has eigenvalues of the form $\{\alpha, \alpha(\#k(v)), \dots, \alpha(\#k(v))^{n-1}\}$, it follows that π_v is Steinberg.) Thus $\bar{r} \cong V_t[\lambda]$ is ordinarily Steinberg automorphic over F' . Applying theorem 2.3 again we conclude that r is automorphic over F' of weight a . \square

We now turn to a first potential modularity theorem for the case of ‘niveau two’.

Theorem 6.4 *Suppose that F is a totally real field and that n is an even positive integer. Let S denote a finite set of rational primes which contains 2 and all primes which ramify in F . Suppose that l is a rational prime and write $l+1 = N_1N_2$, where N_1 is divisible only by primes in S and N_2 is divisible by no prime in S . Suppose that $N_2 > n+1$.*

Suppose also that

$$r : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \longrightarrow \text{GSp}_n(\mathbb{Z}_l)$$

is a continuous representation which is unramified outside $S \cup \{l\}$. Let \bar{r} denote the semisimplification of the reduction of $r \bmod l$. Suppose moreover that r enjoys the following properties.

1. \bar{r} has multiplier ϵ^{1-n} .
2. The image $\bar{r}\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F(\zeta_l))$ is big (in $\text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_l)$) and $\zeta_l \notin \bar{F}^{\ker \text{ad } \bar{r}}$.
3.
 - l is unramified in F ;
 - if $v|l$ is a prime of F then r is crystalline at v ;
 - if $v|l$ is a prime of F then

$$\bar{r}|_{I_{F_v}} \sim \omega_2^{1-n} \oplus \omega_2^{-l+2-n} \oplus \omega_2^{-2l+3-n} \oplus \dots \oplus \omega_2^{(1-n)l};$$

- if $v|l$ is a prime of F then

$$\dim_{F_v} \text{gr}^i(r \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v)} = 0$$

unless $0 \leq i < n$, in which case

$$\dim_{F_v} \text{gr}^i(r \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v)} = 1.$$

Then there is a Galois totally real extension F'/F such that $r|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F')}$ is automorphic of weight 0.

Proof: The strategy is very similar to the proof of theorem 6.3. We apply the results of section 4 with $N = N_2$. We will find primes λ, λ' of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{N_2})^+$ (with say $\lambda' | l'$) and $t \in T_0(F')$ such that $V[\lambda]_t \cong \bar{r}|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F')}$ while $V[\lambda']_t \cong \bar{r}'|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F')}$, where $r' : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_{l'})$ is an ordinary weight 0 representation which is induced from a character θ of $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/FM)$ for a suitable CM field M . We first choose M and a character ϕ of \mathbb{A}_M^\times valued in a number field $M' \supset M$. Then we choose l' to be a prime that splits in M' and take θ to be the l' -adic character associated to ϕ . (If we choose l' first it is hard to construct a suitable θ valued in $\mathbb{Z}_{l'}^\times$, as opposed to some extension of $\mathbb{Z}_{l'}$.) Having made these choices we must check that \bar{r}' satisfies the conditions of theorem 2.3. To enable us to check (inter alia) that $\bar{r}'|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)}$ is big we arrange that ϕ and hence θ ramifies in a particular way above an auxiliary prime q . We then argue that as r' is automorphic over F' , so is $\bar{r}' \cong V[\lambda']_t$, and hence by theorem 2.3 so is $V_{\lambda',t}$. Then $\bar{r} \cong V[\lambda]_t$ is automorphic over F' and hence, by theorem 2.1, so is r .

Step 1: Choosing M, q, ϕ, l', θ and r' .

Choose an imaginary CM field M which is cyclic Galois over \mathbb{Q} of degree n in which all primes which ramify in $\bar{F}^{\ker \bar{r}}(\zeta_{N_2 l})$ are unramified. Let τ denote a generator of $\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q})$. Choose an odd rational prime $q \nmid N_2 l$ which splits completely in M and is unramified in F , and a prime \mathfrak{q} of M above q . Choose a finite CM extension M'/M which is Galois over \mathbb{Q} and a character with open kernel

$$\phi : \mathbb{A}_M^\times \longrightarrow (M')^\times$$

such that

- if $\alpha \in M^\times$ then

$$\phi(\alpha) = \prod_{i=0}^{n/2-1} \tau^i(\alpha)^i \tau^i(c\alpha)^{n-1-i};$$

- $\phi(\phi \circ c) = \prod_v \not\mid_{\infty} | \cdot |_v^{1-n}$;
- ϕ is unramified above lN_2 ;
- ϕ is unramified at all primes above q except \mathfrak{q} and \mathfrak{q}^c , but $q \nmid \#\phi(\mathcal{O}_{M,\mathfrak{q}}^\times)$.

(See lemma 2.2 of [HSBT].) Then choose a rational prime l' such that

- l' splits completely in $M'(\zeta_{N_2})$;

- l' unramified in $F(\zeta_{lq})$;
- ϕ unramified at l' ;
- and $l' > n + 1$.

Also choose a prime $\lambda_1 | l'$ of M' . Let

$$\theta : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M', \lambda_1}^\times = \mathbb{Z}_{l'}^\times$$

be the character defined by

$$\theta(\text{Art } \alpha) = \phi(\alpha) \prod_{i=0}^{n/2-1} \tau^i(\alpha_{l'})^{-i} (\tau^i c)(\alpha_{l'})^{i+1-n},$$

and let $\bar{\theta}$ denote the reduction of θ modulo λ_1 . Also let r' denote the induction of θ from $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/M)$ to $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and let \bar{r}' denote the reduction of r' modulo λ_1 . Exactly as in the proof of theorem 6.3 we see that

$$r' : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow GSp_n(\mathbb{Z}_{l'}),$$

that $\bar{r}'(\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_{l'})))$ is big, and that $\zeta_{l'} \notin \overline{F}^{(\ker \text{ad } \bar{r}') \cap \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)}$.

Step 2: Completion of the proof.

Let λ and λ' be primes of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{N_2})$ above l and l' . Let T_0 be the scheme described in section 4 corresponding to N_2 and let V_λ and $V_{\lambda'}$ be the sheaves on $(T_0 \times \text{Spec } F)_{\text{et}}$ corresponding to N_2 and n . We can choose a Galois extension F'/F and a point $t \in T_0(F')$ such that

- $F' \supset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{N_2})^+$.
- F' is totally real and l is unramified in F' ;
- F'/F is linearly disjoint from $\overline{F}^{\ker(\bar{r} \times \bar{r}')}(\zeta_{l'})$ over F ;
- $V[\lambda]_t \cong \bar{r}|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F')}$;
- $V[\lambda']_t \cong \bar{r}'|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F')}$;
- $v(t) > 0$ for all places $v|l$ of F' ;
- $v(t) > 0$ for all places $v|l'$ of F' .

(Apply Moret-Bailly's lemma 6.2 to $T_{\bar{r} \times \bar{r}'}$ as in the proof of theorem 3.1 of [HSBT]. We take S_1 to consist of the infinite places of F and S_2 to consist of places above l and S_3 to consist of places above l' . For $v \in S_1$ we take $\Omega_v = T_{\bar{r} \times \bar{r}'}(F_v)$, which is non-empty as in the proof of theorem 6.3. For $v|l$ we take Ω_v to be the set of points in $T_{\bar{r} \times \bar{r}'}(F_v^{\text{nr}})$ which map to a point $t \in T_0(F_v^{\text{nr}}) \subset F_v^{\text{nr}}$ with $v(t) > 0$. This is non-empty as by lemma 5.3 it contains a point above $0 \in T_0(F_v^{\text{nr}})$. For $v|l'$ we take Ω_v to be the set of points in $T_{\bar{r} \times \bar{r}'}(\bar{F}_v)$ which map to a point $t \in T_0(\bar{F}_v) \subset \bar{F}_v$ with $v(t) > 0$. Note that by proposition 4.2 $T_{\bar{r} \times \bar{r}'}$ is geometrically connected.)

From theorem 4.2 of [AC] we know that r' is automorphic over F' of weight 0. Thus $\bar{r}' \cong V[\lambda]_t$ is ordinarily automorphic over F' of level prime to l' . By lemma 5.3 we see that $V_{\lambda', t}$ is ordinary of weight 0. By theorem 2.3 we see that it is automorphic of weight 0. Moreover by lemma 5.1 and theorem 1.1, it arises from a RAESDC automorphic representation π of weight 0 with π_v unramified for all $v|l$. Thus $\bar{r} \cong V_t[\lambda]$ is automorphic over F' of level prime to l . Applying theorem 2.1 we conclude that r is automorphic over F' of weight a . \square

We remark that the condition on l in the first paragraph of the theorem only excludes a set of primes of Dirichlet density zero. (This may be proved just the same way as proposition 11 of [BL1].)

7 Potential Modularity II.

In this section we will use an idea of [H] to improve upon the theorems of the last section. Before turning to the main business of this section, let us recall some facts about infinitesimal characters.

Let $T \subset GL_n$ denote the diagonal maximal torus. Also let \tilde{T} denote the restriction of scalars from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{R} of $T_{\mathbb{C}}$. We will identify

$$X^*(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$$

via

$$(m_1, \dots, m_n) : \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \longmapsto t_1^{m_1} \dots t_n^{m_n}.$$

The Weyl group of T in GL_n is the symmetric group S_n and under the above identification it acts on \mathbb{Z}^n by permuting the co-ordinates. Similarly we may identify

$$X^*(\tilde{T} \times_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \cong X^*(T) \oplus X^*(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

where

$$((m_1, \dots, m_n), (m'_1, \dots, m'_n)) : \text{diag}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \longmapsto t_1^{m_1} (ct_1)^{m'_1} \dots t_n^{m_n} (ct_n)^{m'_n}.$$

The Weyl group of $\tilde{T} \times \mathbb{C}$ in the base change to \mathbb{C} of the restriction of scalars from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{R} of GL_n is $S_n \times S_n$, which acts on $\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{Z}^n$ by each factor of $S_n \times S_n$ permuting the co-ordinates of the corresponding factor of $\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{Z}^n$.

The centre of the universal enveloping algebra of $(\text{Lie } GL_n(\mathbb{R})) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ (resp. $(\text{Lie } GL_n(\mathbb{C})) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$) acts by a character (the infinitesimal character) on any irreducible admissible $((\text{Lie } GL_n(\mathbb{R})) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, O(n))$ -module (resp. $((\text{Lie } GL_n(\mathbb{C})) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, U(n))$ -module) π . Harish-Chandra parametrised such a character by an element

$$z_\pi \in (X^*(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C})/S_n \cong \mathbb{C}^n/S_n$$

(resp.

$$z_\pi \in (X^*(\tilde{T} \times_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C})/(S_n \times S_n) \cong \mathbb{C}^n/S_n \times \mathbb{C}^n/S_n).$$

We call π *regular* if the pre-image of z_π in $X^*(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ (resp. $X^*(\tilde{T} \times_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$) has cardinality $n!$ (resp. $(n!)^2$). We call π *algebraic* if $z_\pi \in \rho + X^*(T)$ (resp. $\tilde{\rho} + X^*(\tilde{T} \times_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$), where

$$\rho = ((n-1)/2, (n-3)/2, \dots, (1-n)/2)$$

and $\tilde{\rho} = (\rho, \rho)$. Then π has the same infinitesimal character as an irreducible algebraic representation of GL_n (resp. the restriction of scalars of GL_n from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{R}) if and only if π is regular and algebraic.

If π is an irreducible admissible $((\text{Lie } GL_n(\mathbb{R})) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, O(n))$ -module and if $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes its base change to \mathbb{C} then

$$z_{\pi_{\mathbb{C}}} = (z_\pi, z_\pi).$$

If π_i is an irreducible admissible $((\text{Lie } GL_{n_i}(\mathbb{R})) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, O(n_i))$ -module (resp. $((\text{Lie } GL_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, U(n_i))$ -module) for $i = 1, 2$ then $z_{\pi_1 \boxplus \pi_2}$ is the image of

$$(z_{\pi_1}, z_{\pi_2}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{n_1}/S_{n_1}) \times (\mathbb{Z}^{n_2}/S_{n_2})$$

(resp. $((\mathbb{Z}^{n_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{n_1})/(S_{n_1} \times S_{n_1})) \times ((\mathbb{Z}^{n_2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{n_2})/(S_{n_2} \times S_{n_2}))$) in $\mathbb{Z}^{n_1+n_2}/S_{n_1+n_2}$ (resp. $((\mathbb{Z}^{n_1+n_2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{n_1+n_2})/(S_{n_1+n_2} \times S_{n_1+n_2}))$).

The following observation will be very important for us.

Lemma 7.1 *Suppose that π is an irreducible, unitary, admissible $((\text{Lie } GL_n(\mathbb{C})) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, U(n))$ -module and that $z_\pi \in (1/2)X^*(\tilde{T} \times_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$, then*

$$\pi^c \cong \pi^\vee.$$

Proof: It follows from the classification of irreducible, unitary, admissible $((\text{Lie } GL_n(\mathbb{C})) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, U(n))$ -modules in [Tad] that π is the full normalized induction of a unitary character of some parabolic subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. The lemma is then immediate. \square

We will also need a slight strengthening of the notion of ‘big’ introduced in [CHT].

Definition 7.2 *Let k/\mathbb{F}_l be algebraic and let m be a positive integer. We will call a subgroup $H \subset GL_n(k)$ to be m -big if the following conditions are satisfied.*

- H has no l -power order quotient.
- $H^0(H, \mathfrak{gl}_n^0(k)) = (0)$.
- $H^1(H, \mathfrak{gl}_n^0(k)) = (0)$.
- For all irreducible $k[H]$ -submodules W of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k)$ we can find $h \in H$ and $\alpha \in k$ with the following properties:
 - the element α is a simple root of the characteristic polynomial of h and if β is another root then $\alpha^m \neq \beta^m$;
 - and $\pi_{h,\alpha} \circ W \circ i_{h,\alpha} \neq (0)$.

The criteria given in section 2.5 of [CHT] for a subgroup $H \subset GL_n(k)$ to be big, easily generalise to criteria for H to be m -big. We state just two examples. The proofs are so similar to those of lemma 2.5.2 and corollary 2.5.3 of [CHT] we don’t give them here.

Lemma 7.3 *Keep the notation of the definition and assume that $l > 2(n - 1)m + 1$. Suppose also that*

- H has no l -power order quotient,
- H contains $\text{Symm}^{n-1} SL_2(\mathbb{F}_l)$,
- and $H^1(H, \mathfrak{gl}_n^0(k)) = (0)$.

Then H is m -big.

Lemma 7.4 *Let m, n and d be positive integers. Then there is a constant C (depending on m, n and d) with the following properties. If $l > C$ is a prime and if k/\mathbb{F}_l is an extension of degree $\leq d$ and if $H \subset GL_n(k)$ is a subgroup satisfying*

- H has no l -power order quotient,
- and H contains $\text{Symm}^{n-1}SL_2(\mathbb{F}_l)$.

Then H is m -big.

We now turn to the main theorems of this section, first the ordinary case.

Theorem 7.5 *Suppose that F is a totally real field and that n is a positive integer. Suppose that $l > 2n$ is a rational prime and that L/\mathbb{Q}_l is a finite extension with ring of integers \mathcal{O} , maximal ideal λ and residue field \mathbb{F} .*

Suppose also that

$$r : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_n(\mathcal{O})$$

is a continuous representation which is unramified at all but finitely many primes. Suppose also that there is a perfect pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : L^n \times L^n \longrightarrow L$$

and a character

$$\mu : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$$

such that

$$\langle gx, gy \rangle = \mu(g)\langle x, y \rangle$$

for all $x, y \in L^n$ and all $g \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$. Let \bar{r} denote the semisimplification of the reduction of r modulo the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O} . Suppose moreover that r enjoys the following properties.

1. *There is an $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that*
 - *for every place $v|\infty$ of F we have $\mu(c_v) = \varepsilon$,*
 - *and for every $x, y \in L^n$ we have $\langle y, x \rangle = \varepsilon\langle x, y \rangle$.*
2. $[\overline{F}^{\ker \text{ad } \bar{r}}(\zeta_l) : \overline{F}^{\ker \text{ad } \bar{r}}] > 2$.
3. *The image $\bar{r}\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))$ is 2-big (in $GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l)$).*
4. *r is ordinary of weight a for some $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\text{Hom}(F, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l), +}$.*

Then there is a Galois totally real extension F'/F such that $r|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F')}$ is automorphic of weight $a_{F'}$.

Proof: The strategy is to tensor r with a representation r' induced from a character θ of MF , where M is a suitable imaginary quadratic field. The character θ is chosen so that there is a perfect pairing on r' with the opposite parity to the given pairing on r , and so that the tensor product $r'' = r \otimes r'$ has multiplier a power of the cyclotomic character. We then apply theorem 6.3 to r'' . Much of the proof will be devoted to checking that $\bar{r}'' \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ is big. Finally we use the theory of base change to deduce the automorphy of r from the automorphy of r'' .

Step 1: Choice of $b, M, q, \phi, \theta, r'$.

As \bar{r} is absolutely irreducible, the dual lattice of \mathcal{O}^n in L^n under $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is $\lambda^m \mathcal{O}^n$ for some integer m . Replacing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ by $\alpha \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, where $\lambda^m = (\alpha)$, we may suppose that it induces a perfect duality on \mathcal{O}^n .

Choose an integer b strictly greater than $a_{\tau,1} - a_{\tau,n}$ for all $\tau : F \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. Define $a'' \in (\mathbb{Z}^{2n})^{\text{Hom}(F, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l), +}$ by

$$a''_{\tau} = (a_{\tau,1} + b, \dots, a_{\tau,n} + b, a_{\tau,1}, \dots, a_{\tau,n}).$$

Also choose an imaginary quadratic field M which is linearly disjoint from $\bar{F}^{\ker \bar{r}}(\zeta_l)$ over \mathbb{Q} and in which l splits. Let δ_M denote the quadratic character of $\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/\mathbb{Q})$ corresponding to M/\mathbb{Q} . Choose a rational prime q which splits in M , is unramified in $\bar{F}^{\ker r}(\zeta_{4l})$ and does not divide $\#\bar{r} \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$. Also choose a prime \mathfrak{q} of M above q . Choose a CM field M_0 and a prime λ_0 of M_0 above l and a continuous embedding $L \hookrightarrow M_{0, \lambda_0}$ and a continuous character

$$\psi : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M_0}^{\times}$$

with

$$\psi \equiv \mu^{-1} \epsilon_l^{b+1-2n} \delta_M^{(1+\varepsilon)/2} \pmod{\lambda_0}.$$

Choose a finite CM extension M'/MM_0 and a character with open kernel

$$\phi : \mathbb{A}_{MF}^{\times} \longrightarrow (M')^{\times}$$

such that

- if $\alpha \in (MF)^{\times}$ then

$$\phi(\alpha) = (\mathbf{N}_{MF/M} \alpha)^b;$$

- $\phi|_{\mathbb{A}_F^{\times}} = \left(\prod_{v \neq \infty} \chi_v \mid \cdot \right)_v^{-b} \left(\prod_{v \neq \infty} \text{sgn}_v^b \right) (\psi \circ \text{Art}_F)$;

- $q \mid \#\phi(\mathcal{O}_{FM, \mathfrak{q}}^\times)$.

(See lemma 2.2 of [HSBT].) Choose a prime λ' of M' above λ_0 . Let

$$\theta : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M', \lambda'}^\times$$

be the character defined by

$$\theta(\text{Art}_{FM}\alpha) = \phi(\alpha)(\mathbf{N}_{FM/M}\alpha)_{\lambda'|M}^{-b}.$$

The induced representation

$$r' = \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)}^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM)} \theta$$

has a basis e_1, e_2 such that $r'(c)e_1 = e_2$ and $r'(c)e_2 = e_1$, and for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/M)$

$$r'(\sigma)e_1 = \theta(\sigma)e_1$$

and

$$r'(\sigma)e_2 = \theta(c\sigma c)e_2.$$

Define a perfect pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$ on r' by setting

$$\langle e_i, e_i \rangle' = 0$$

for $i = 1, 2$, and

$$\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle' = 1,$$

and

$$\langle e_2, e_1 \rangle' = -\varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\langle r'(\sigma)x, r'(\sigma)y \rangle' = \epsilon_l(\sigma)^{-b} \psi(\sigma) \delta_M(\sigma)^{(1+\mu(c_v))/2} \langle x, y \rangle,$$

for all $x, y \in (M'_{\lambda'})^2$ and all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/\mathbb{Q})$. Let f_1, f_2 denote the basis of $\text{Hom}(r', \mathcal{O}_{M', \lambda'})$ dual to e_1, e_2 .

Now define

$$r'' = r \otimes r' : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GSp_{2n}(\mathcal{O}_{M', \lambda'}).$$

It has multiplier $\mu \epsilon_l^{-b} \psi \delta_M^{(1+\mu(c_v))/2}$ which is congruent to ϵ_l^{1-2n} modulo λ' . Let \overline{r}' (resp. \overline{r}'') denote the reduction of r' (resp. r'') modulo λ' .

Step 2: Automorphy of $r'' = r \otimes r'$.

As the primes that ramify in $\overline{F}^{\ker \bar{r}}(\zeta_l)/\mathbb{Q}$ and in M/\mathbb{Q} are disjoint sets we may find $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/\overline{F}^{\ker \text{ad } \bar{r}} M)$ so that $\epsilon_l(\sigma) \bmod l$ has order greater than 2. Let

$$\sigma' = \sigma(c_v \sigma c_v).$$

Then $\text{ad } \bar{r}''(\sigma') = 1$ while $\epsilon_l(\sigma') = \epsilon_l(\sigma)^2$ is not equivalent to 1 modulo l . Thus $\zeta_l \notin \overline{F}^{\ker \text{ad } \bar{r}''}$.

Let H (resp. H' , resp. H'') denote the image $(\text{ad } \bar{r})\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))$ (resp. $(\text{ad } \bar{r}')\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))$, resp. $(\text{ad } \bar{r}'')\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))$). Note that the only scalar element of H or H' (thought of as subgroups of $\text{Aut}(\text{ad } \bar{r})$ and $\text{Aut}(\text{ad } \bar{r}')$) is the identity (look at the action of such an element on 1_n) and so

$$H \times H' \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{ad } \bar{r}'').$$

Thus there is a finite group \overline{H} and surjections $\pi : H \twoheadrightarrow \overline{H}$ and $\pi' : H' \twoheadrightarrow \overline{H}$ so that H'' is the set of elements $(h, h') \in H \times H'$ such that $\pi(h) = \pi'(h')$. Let K (resp. K') denote the kernel of π (resp. π'). Note that the image of the inertia group at any prime above q in H' is contained in K' (as \bar{r} is unramified at q). Also note that the restriction of $H' \rightarrow \text{Gal}(MF(\zeta_l)/F(\zeta_l))$ to K' is surjective (as M is linearly disjoint from $\overline{F}^{\ker \bar{r}}(\zeta_l)$ over \mathbb{Q}).

Write

$$\text{ad } \bar{r} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l = V_0^{\oplus m_0} \oplus V_1^{\oplus m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_s^{\oplus m_s},$$

with $1 = V_0, V_1, \dots, V_s$ pairwise non-isomorphic, irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l[\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))]$ -modules, and with $1 = m_0, m_1, \dots, m_s$ positive integers. Then

$$\text{ad } \bar{r}'' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M'/\lambda}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l = \bigoplus_{j=0}^s (V_j^{m_j} \oplus (V_j \otimes \delta_M)^{m_j} \oplus (\text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM(\zeta_l))}^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))} (V_j \otimes \bar{\theta}/\bar{\theta}^c))^{m_j}).$$

Here we identify V_j with $V_j \otimes (e_1 \otimes f_1 + e_2 \otimes f_2)$; and $V_j \otimes \delta_M$ with $V_j \otimes (e_1 \otimes f_1 - e_2 \otimes f_2)$; and $\text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM(\zeta_l))}^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))} (V_j \otimes \bar{\theta}/\bar{\theta}^c)$ with the span of $V_j \otimes (e_1 \otimes f_2)$ and $V_j \otimes (e_2 \otimes f_1)$. These constituents are all irreducible as $V_j|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM(\zeta_l))}$ is irreducible and $V_j \not\cong V_j \otimes (\bar{\theta}/\bar{\theta}^c)^2$ as a $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l[\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM(\zeta_l))]$ -module (as one is ramified above q and the other is not). In particular

$$H^0((\text{ad } \bar{r}'')(\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))), \text{ad } \bar{r}'') = (0)$$

(as no V_j is isomorphic to δ_M as M is linearly disjoint from $\overline{F}^{\ker \bar{r}}$). Moreover

$$(0) = H^1(H, \text{ad } \bar{r}) = H^1(H''/K', (\text{ad } \bar{r}'')^{K'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(H'', \text{ad } \bar{r}'')$$

(as $l \nmid \#K'$).

Fix a copy $V_j \subset \text{ad } \bar{r} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_l$. We can find a $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/MF(\zeta_l))$ and a simple root α of the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{r}(\sigma)$ such that $\pi_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} V_j i_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} \neq (0)$. Altering σ by an elements of inertia subgroups at primes above q we may further suppose that the ratio $(\bar{\theta}/\bar{\theta}^c)(\sigma)$ does not equal α'/α for any root α' (including α) of the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{r}(\sigma)$ (as $q \nmid \#(\bar{\theta}/\bar{\theta}^c)(I_{M_q})$ and $q > n$). Then $\alpha \bar{\theta}(\sigma)$ is a simple root of the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{r}''(\sigma)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_{\bar{r}''(\sigma), \alpha \bar{\theta}(\sigma)} V_j \otimes (e_1 \otimes f_1 \pm e_2 \otimes f_2) i_{\bar{r}''(\sigma), \alpha \bar{\theta}(\sigma)} \\ &= \pi_{\bar{r}''(\sigma), \alpha \bar{\theta}(\sigma)} V_j \otimes (e_1 \otimes f_1) i_{\bar{r}''(\sigma), \alpha \bar{\theta}(\sigma)} \\ &= \pi_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} V_j i_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} \\ &\neq (0) \end{aligned}$$

for both signs \pm .

Continue to fix a copy $V_j \subset \text{ad } \bar{r} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_l$. Choose $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F(\zeta_l))$ and a simple root α of the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{r}(\sigma)$ such that

- σ maps to $c \in \text{Gal}(MF(\zeta_l)/F(\zeta_l))$;
- $\pi_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} V_j i_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} \neq (0)$;
- and $-\alpha$ is not a root of the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{r}(\alpha)$.

(We are using the assumption that $\bar{r}\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F(\zeta_l))$ is 2-big.) Write

$$\bar{r}'(\sigma) e_i = \beta_i e_{i+1}$$

for $i = 0, 1$ (where we take $e_2 = e_0$). The roots of the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{r}'(\sigma)$ are exactly $\pm\beta$ where $\beta^2 = \beta_0\beta_1$ and corresponding eigenvectors are $e_0 \pm \beta_0/\beta e_1$. Then $\alpha\beta$ is a simple root of the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{r}''(\sigma)$ and

$$\pi_{\bar{r}''(\sigma), \alpha\beta} (v \otimes e_0 \otimes f_1) i_{\bar{r}''(\sigma), \alpha\beta} = (\beta/2\beta_1) \pi_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} v i_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha}.$$

For some choice of v this will be non-zero. We conclude that $\bar{r}''\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F(\zeta_l))$ is big.

It follows from theorem 6.3 that there is a Galois totally real extension F'/F , an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{M}_{\lambda'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ and a RAESDC automorphic representation Π of $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_{F'})$ of weight $\iota_* a''$ and such that $r_{l, \iota}(\Pi) \cong r''$.

Step 3: Automorphy of r .

We see that $\Pi \otimes (\delta_M \circ \text{Art}_{F'}) \cong \Pi$, and hence by theorem 4.2 of [AC] there is a cuspidal automorphic representation π of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_{F'M})$ such that for all places

v of $F'M$ the representation $\pi_v \boxplus (\pi^c)_v$ is the base change of $\Pi_{v|_{F'}} | \cdot |_{v|_{F'}}^{-n/2}$ from $F'_{v|_{F'}}$ to $(F'M)_v$. Note that for $v|\infty$ the representation π_v is regular algebraic.

There is also an algebraic Hecke character χ of $\mathbb{A}_{F'}^\times / (F')^\times$ such that $\Pi^\vee = \Pi \otimes ((| \cdot |^{-n} \chi) \circ \det)$. From the classification of algebraic grossencharacters we know that there is an integer w such that $\chi | \cdot |^{-w}$ has finite image. It follows that $\pi^\vee \cong \pi \otimes (\chi \circ \mathbf{N}_{F'M/F'} \circ \det)$ or $\pi^c(\chi \circ \mathbf{N}_{F'M/F'} \circ \det)$. We wish to exclude the first possibility. If it held then $\pi \otimes (| \cdot |^{w/2} \circ \det)$ would have unitary central character and so would itself be unitary. Also, for $v|\infty$, the infinitesimal character of $\pi_v \otimes (| \cdot |_v^{w/2} \circ \det)$ has Harish-Chandra parameter lying in $(1/2)X^*(\tilde{T} \times_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$. Hence using lemma 7.1 we would see that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_v \boxplus \pi_v^c &\cong \pi_v \boxplus ((\pi_v \otimes (| \cdot |^{w/2} \circ \det))^c \otimes (| \cdot |^{-w/2} \circ \det)) \\ &\cong \pi_v \boxplus (\pi_v^\vee \otimes (| \cdot |^{-w} \circ \det)) \\ &\cong \pi_v \boxplus (\pi_v \otimes ((\chi | \cdot |^{-w}) \circ \mathbf{N}_{MF'/F'} \circ \det)), \end{aligned}$$

which would contradict the regularity of $\Pi_{v|_{F'}}$. We conclude that

$$\pi^\vee \cong \pi^c(\chi \circ \mathbf{N}_{F'M/F'} \circ \det).$$

Thus π is a RAECSDC automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_{F'M})$ and it has an associated Galois representation $r_{l,\lambda}(\pi)$.

We see that

$$r_{l,\lambda}(\pi) \oplus r_{l,\lambda}(\pi)^c \cong r_{l,\lambda}(\Pi)|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F'M)} \cong (\theta \oplus \theta^c) \otimes r|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F'M)}.$$

Writing $\theta = r_{l,\lambda}(\tilde{\theta})$ we see that

$$r|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F'M)} \cong r_{l,\lambda}(\pi \otimes (\tilde{\theta} \circ \det)^{-1}) \quad \text{or} \quad r_{l,\lambda}(\pi^c \otimes (\tilde{\theta} \circ \det)^{-1}).$$

In either case the theorem follows from lemma 1.5. \square

We now turn to the ‘niveau two’ case, where we are only able to prove a much weaker theorem.

Theorem 7.6 *Suppose that F is a totally real field; that n and b are positive integers; that T is a finite set of rational primes and that*

$$\mu : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \longrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$$

is a continuous character. Then we can find a Galois CM extension M'/\mathbb{Q} containing the image of μ and a finite set S of rational primes, containing all primes that ramify in M' , with the following properties.

Suppose that $l \notin S$ is a rational prime with $[\text{Frob}_l] = [c] \in \text{Gal}(M'/\mathbb{Q})$ and that $\lambda'|l$ is a prime of M' (so that $M_{\lambda'} \cong \mathbb{Q}_{l^2}$). Suppose also that

$$r : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_n(\mathcal{O}_{M',\lambda'})$$

is a continuous representation which is unramified outside $T \cup \{l\}$. Suppose also that there is a perfect pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : (M'_{\lambda'})^n \times (M'_{\lambda'})^n \longrightarrow M'_{\lambda'}$$

such that

$$\langle gx, gy \rangle = \epsilon_l(g)^{(1-n)b} \mu(g) \langle x, y \rangle$$

for all $x, y \in L^n$ and all $g \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$. Let \bar{r} denote the semisimplification of the reduction of r modulo the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O} . Suppose moreover that r enjoys the following properties.

1. There is an $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that
 - for every place $v|\infty$ of F we have $\mu(c_v) = \varepsilon(-1)^{(1-n)b}$,
 - and for all $x, y \in L^n$ we have $\langle y, x \rangle = \varepsilon \langle x, y \rangle$.
2. $(c \circ r) \cong (r \otimes \mu^{-1})$.
3. $[\overline{F}^{\ker \text{ad } \bar{r}}(\zeta_l) : \overline{F}^{\ker \text{ad } \bar{r}}] > 2b$.
4. The image $\bar{r}\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))$ is $2b$ -big (in $GL_n(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_l)$).
5.
 - l is unramified in F ;
 - if $v|l$ is a prime of F then r is crystalline at v ;
 - if $v|l$ is a prime of F then

$$\bar{r}|_{I_{F_v}} \sim \omega_2^{(1-n)b} \oplus \omega_2^{(-l+2-n)b} \oplus \omega_2^{(-2l+3-n)b} \oplus \dots \oplus \omega_2^{(1-n)lb};$$

- if $v|l$ is a prime of F and if $\tau : F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{M}'_{\lambda'}$ then

$$\dim_{\overline{M}'_{\lambda'}} \text{gr}^i((r \otimes_{M'_{\lambda'}} \overline{M}'_{\lambda'}) \otimes_{\tau, F_v} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} = 0$$

unless $i = (n - j)b$ for some $j = 1, \dots, n$ in which case

$$\dim_{\overline{M}'_{\lambda'}} \text{gr}^i((r \otimes_{M'_{\lambda'}} \overline{M}'_{\lambda'}) \otimes_{\tau, F_v} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} = 1.$$

Then there is a Galois totally real extension F'/F such that $r|_{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F')}$ is automorphic.

Proof: The strategy is much the same as for the proof of theorem 7.5. We will again tensor r with a representation r' induced from a character θ of MF , where M/\mathbb{Q} is an imaginary cyclic CM extension of degree $2b$. The character θ is chosen so that there is a perfect pairing on r' with the opposite parity to the given pairing on r , and so that the tensor product $r'' = r \otimes r'$ has multiplier a power of the cyclotomic character. In this case the character θ must also be chosen so that r'' has consecutive Hodge-Tate numbers. We then apply theorem 6.4 to r'' . Much of the proof will be devoted to checking that $\bar{r}''\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ is big. Finally we use the theory of base change to deduce the automorphy of r from the automorphy of r'' .

One complication in this case is that we must ensure that r'' is defined over \mathbb{Q}_l , and not some extension. This is the reason for choosing a Galois CM extension M'/\mathbb{Q} at the start of the theorem and requiring that $[\text{Frob}_l] = [c] \subset \text{Gal}(M'/\mathbb{Q})$. We choose M' so that there is a continuous character $\phi : \mathbb{A}_M^\times \rightarrow (M')^\times$. Then for any prime l with $[\text{Frob}_l] = [c] \subset \text{Gal}(M'/\mathbb{Q})$ we obtain (from ϕ) a character $\theta : \text{Gal}(\bar{F}/M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_l^\times$. Thus r'' is at least defined over \mathbb{Q}_{l^2} . In the presence of the other assumption that we will require for ϕ and θ , it turns out that r'' will be defined over \mathbb{Q}_l if $c \circ r \cong r \otimes \mu^{-1}$. We remark that if $c \circ r \cong r \otimes \mu$ then there is a finite extension \tilde{F}/F such that $\text{tr } r\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/\tilde{F}) \subset \mathbb{Q}_l$. We also remark that this is not such a strange condition, for instance it is satisfied for the Galois representations attached to elliptic modular forms and their tensor powers. (More generally suppose that ρ is a semi-simple representation of the Galois group of a number field over the completion N_λ of a CM field N at a prime λ with $c\lambda = \lambda$. Suppose also that ρ is pure of some weight w and essentially self dual, say $\rho \cong \rho^\vee \otimes \psi$. Then $c \circ \rho \cong \rho^\vee \otimes \epsilon^{-w} \cong \rho \otimes (\epsilon^{-w}\psi^{-1})$.)

Another complication is that to apply theorem 6.4 we must arrange that $l \equiv -1 \pmod{N_2}$ for a suitable integer N_2 , in particular N_2 should not be divisible by any primes at which r'' ramifies. Hence we specify at the outset of the theorem the set of primes T where r can ramify (excluding l), and choose a suitable integer N (which will be the N_2 of theorem 6.4) at the outset of this proof. We then choose $M' \supset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ so that the condition $[\text{Frob}_l] = [c] \subset \text{Gal}(M'/\mathbb{Q})$ implies that $l \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$.

Step 1: Choice of $N, M, q, \phi, M', S, \theta, r', r''$.

As \bar{r} is absolutely irreducible, the dual lattice of $\mathbb{Z}_{l^2}^n$ in $\mathbb{Q}_{l^2}^n$ under $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is

$l^m \mathbb{Z}_l^n$ for some integer m . Replacing \langle , \rangle by $l^m \langle , \rangle$ we may suppose that it induces a perfect duality on \mathbb{Z}_l^n . We may also suppose that T contains 2 and all primes which ramify in F .

Choose an odd positive integer $N > 2nb+1$ only divisible by primes outside T . Choose a cyclic CM extension M/\mathbb{Q} of degree $2b$ in which all primes in T are unramified; a generator τ of $\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q})$; and a generator κ of $\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q})^\vee$. Note that M is linearly disjoint from F over \mathbb{Q} as they ramify at disjoint sets of primes. Set $\delta_M = \kappa^b$. Also choose a rational prime q such that

- $q \notin T$,
- q splits completely in M ,
- q is unramified in $\overline{F}^{\ker \bar{r}}$,
- $q-1 > 2n$;

and a prime \mathfrak{q} of FM above q . Choose a finite CM extension $M'/M(\zeta_N)$ and a character with open kernel

$$\phi : \mathbb{A}_{MF}^\times \longrightarrow (M')^\times$$

such that

- M'/\mathbb{Q} is Galois;
- if $\alpha \in (FM)^\times$ then

$$\phi(\alpha) = \prod_{j=0}^{b-1} (\tau^j(\mathbf{N}_{FM/M}\alpha))^j (\tau^{b+j}(\mathbf{N}_{FM/M}\alpha))^{(n+1)b-1-j};$$

- $\phi|_{\mathbb{A}_{FM+}^\times} = (\prod_v \chi_\infty | \cdot|_v^{1-(n+1)b}) (\prod_{v|\infty} \text{sgn}_v^{1-(n+1)b}) ((\mu \delta_M^{(1+\varepsilon)/2})^{-1} \circ \text{Art}_{FM+})$, where we think of μ as valued in M' via some embedding $M' \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$;
- $q \nmid \#\phi(\mathcal{O}_{FM,\mathfrak{q}}^\times)$, but ϕ is unramified at primes above q other than \mathfrak{q} and \mathfrak{q}^c .

(See lemma 2.2 of [HSBT].) Let S denote the union of T , the set of primes $\leq 2bn$, the set of primes which ramify in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ and the set of primes above which ϕ ramifies.

Note that

$$(c \circ \phi) \phi \prod_{v \nmid \infty} | \cdot|_v^{(n+1)b-1} : \mathbb{A}_{FM}^\times / (FM)^\times \longrightarrow ((M')_{>>0}^+)^{\times},$$

where the subscript $\gg 0$ indicates the subset of totally positive elements. As $((M')_{\gg 0}^+)^{\times}$ has no finite subgroups except for $\{1\}$ we deduce that

$$(c \circ \phi) = (\mu \circ \text{Art}_{FM})\phi^c.$$

(In case it has caused confusion we point out that ϕ^c is short for $\phi \circ c$.)

Now suppose $\lambda' | l$ are primes as in the theorem. Let

$$\theta : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M', \lambda'}^{\times}$$

be the character defined by

$$\theta(\text{Art}_{FM}\alpha) = \phi(\alpha) \prod_{j=0}^{b-1} (\tau^j(\mathbf{N}_{FM/M}\alpha_l))^{-j} (\tau^{n/2+j}(\mathbf{N}_{FM/M}\alpha_l))^{1+j-(n+1)b},$$

so that

$$c \circ \theta = \mu\theta^c$$

and

$$\theta\theta^c = \epsilon_l^{1-(n+1)b} \mu^{-1}.$$

Also set

$$r' = \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM)}^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)} \theta$$

and $\bar{r}' = r' \bmod \lambda'$.

Choose a lifting $\tilde{\tau} \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ of $\tau \in \text{Gal}(FM/F)$ such that we have $(\epsilon_l^{1-(n+1)b} \mu^{-1})(\tilde{\tau}) = 1$. (This is possible as M is linearly disjoint from the field $\overline{F}^{\ker \epsilon_l^{1-(n+1)b} \mu^{-1}}$ over \mathbb{Q} , these extensions being ramified at disjoint sets of primes.) Choose a non-zero primitive vector e_0 in r' such that

$$r'(\sigma)e_0 = \theta(\sigma)e_0$$

for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM)$, and set

$$e_i = r'(\tilde{\tau}^i)e_0$$

for $i = 1, \dots, 2b-1$. Then for $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/MF)$ we have

$$r'(\sigma)e_i = \theta(\tilde{\tau}^{-i}\sigma\tilde{\tau}^i)e_i.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} r'(\tilde{\tau})e_{2b-1} &= \theta(\tilde{\tau}^n)e_0 = (\theta \circ \text{Art}_{FM})|_{\mathbb{A}_{FM}^{\times}} (\text{Art}_{FM}^{-1} \tilde{\tau}^b) \\ &= (\epsilon_l^{1-(n+1)b} \mu^{-1} \delta_M^{(1+\varepsilon)/2})(\tilde{\tau}^b)e_0 = -\varepsilon e_0. \end{aligned}$$

We define a perfect pairing on r' by

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle' = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = i + n/2 \\ -\varepsilon & \text{if } i = j + n/2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we see that

$$\langle x, y \rangle' = -\varepsilon \langle y, x \rangle'$$

and

$$\langle r'(\sigma)x, r'(\sigma)y \rangle' = (\epsilon_l^{1-(n+1)b} \mu^{-1})(\sigma) \langle x, y \rangle'$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_{M', \lambda'}^{2b}$ and $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$. We also see that

$$c \circ r' \cong \mu \otimes r'.$$

For $v|l$ the restriction $r'|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)}$ is crystalline and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{r}'|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} \cong & \omega_2^{1-(n+1)b} \oplus \omega_2^{2-(n+1)b-l} \oplus \dots \oplus \omega_2^{-nb-(b-1)l} \\ & \oplus \omega_2^{l-(n+1)bl} \oplus \omega_2^{2l-(n+1)bl-1} \oplus \dots \oplus \omega_2^{-nbl-(b-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover for any $\tau' : F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{M}'_{\lambda'}$ we have

$$\dim_{\overline{M}'_{\lambda'}} \text{gr}^i((r' \otimes_{M'_{\lambda'}} \overline{M}'_{\lambda'}) \otimes_{\tau', F_v} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} = 0$$

unless $0 \leq i \leq b-1$ or $nb \leq i \leq (n+1)b-1$ in which case

$$\dim_{\overline{M}'_{\lambda'}} \text{gr}^i((r' \otimes_{M'_{\lambda'}} \overline{M}'_{\lambda'}) \otimes_{\tau', F_v} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} = 1.$$

Now set $r'' = r \otimes r'$ and $\overline{r}'' = r'' \bmod \lambda'$. Thus

$$r'' : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GSp_{2bn}(\mathcal{O}_{M', \lambda'})$$

and r'' has multiplier ϵ_l^{1-2bn} . Moreover

$$c \circ r'' \cong r''.$$

Because the Brauer group of every finite extension of \mathbb{F}_l is trivial we see that \overline{r}'' is conjugate to a homomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_{2bn}(\mathbb{F}_l).$$

(Recall that $\text{Gal}((\mathcal{O}_{M'}/\lambda')/\mathbb{F}_l) \cong \{1, c\}$.) Then by a lemma of Carayol (see lemma 2.1.10 of [CHT]) we see that r'' is conjugate to a homomorphism

$$r'' : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \longrightarrow GL_{2bn}(\mathbb{Z}_l).$$

Consider the vector subspace V of $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ consisting of anti-symmetric matrices A such that

$$r''(\sigma)^t A r''(\sigma) = \epsilon_l^{1-2bn}(\sigma) A$$

for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$. The polynomial det does not vanish identically on $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_l} M'_{\lambda'}$ and so does not vanish identically on V . Thus we can find a non-degenerate alternating bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle''$ on \mathbb{Q}_l^n with

$$\langle r''(\sigma)x, r''(\sigma)y \rangle'' = \epsilon_l^{1-2bn}(\sigma) \langle x, y \rangle''$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}_l^n$ and all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$.

For $v|l$ the restriction $r''|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)}$ is crystalline and

$$\overline{r}''|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} \cong \omega_2^{1-2nb} \oplus \omega_2^{2-2nb-l} \oplus \dots \oplus \omega_2^{l(1-2nb)}.$$

Moreover

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_v} \text{gr}^i(r'' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} = 0$$

unless $0 \leq i \leq 2bn - 1$ in which case

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_v} \text{gr}^i(r'' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} B_{\text{DR}})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)} = 1.$$

Step 2: Automorphicity of r'' .

As the primes that ramify in $\overline{F}^{\ker \overline{r}}(\zeta_l)/\mathbb{Q}$ and in M/\mathbb{Q} are disjoint sets we may find $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/\overline{F}^{\ker \text{ad } \overline{r}} M)$ so that $\epsilon_l(\sigma) \bmod l$ has order greater than $2b$. Let

$$\sigma' = \sigma(\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau)\dots(\tau^{1-2b}\sigma\tau^{2b-1}).$$

Then $\text{ad } \overline{r}''(\sigma') = 1$ while $\epsilon_l(\sigma') = \epsilon_l(\sigma)^{2b}$ is not equivalent to 1 modulo l .

Write

$$\text{ad } \overline{r} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M'/\lambda'}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l = V_0^{\oplus m_0} \oplus V_1^{\oplus m_1} \dots \oplus V_r^{\oplus m_r}$$

with $1 = V_0, V_1, \dots, V_r$ pairwise non-isomorphic, irreducible $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))$ -modules and $1 = m_0, m_1, \dots, m_r$ positive integers. Write $\overline{\theta}$ for $\theta \bmod \lambda'$. If $i, i' = 1, \dots, 2b - 1$, $i'' = 0, \dots, 2b - 1$ and $\overline{\theta}/\overline{\theta}^{\tau^i} = \overline{\theta}^{\tau^{i''}}/\overline{\theta}^{\tau^{i'+i''}}$ on all inertia groups above q then we see that either $i = i'$ and $i'' = 0$, or $i + i' = 2b$. Let f_0, \dots, f_{2b-1} denote the basis of $\text{Hom}(r', \mathcal{O}_{M',\lambda'})$ dual to e_0, \dots, e_{2b-1} . Then we can decompose

$$\text{ad } r' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{M',\lambda'}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l = \left(\bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}), \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l^\times)} W_\chi \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{2b-1} W_i \right),$$

where

- W_χ is the span of $\sum_{i=0}^{2b-1} \chi(\tau^i) e_i \otimes f_i$,
- and W_i is the span of $\{e_j \otimes f_{i+j}\}_{j=0, \dots, 2b-1}$.

Thus $W_\chi \cong \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{l'}(\chi)$ and

$$W_i \cong \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/M)}^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/\mathbb{Q})} \overline{\theta}/\overline{\theta}^{\tau^i}.$$

Moreover

$$\text{ad } \overline{r}'' \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l \cong \left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^r \bigoplus_{\chi \in \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}), \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l^\times)} V_j(\chi)^{m_j} \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^r \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2b-1} (V_j \otimes W_i)^{m_j} \right).$$

Each factor $V_j(\chi)$ is irreducible over $F(\zeta_l)$ and (because MF is linearly disjoint from $\overline{F}^{\ker \overline{r}}$ over F) there is no isomorphism $V_j(\chi) \cong V_{j'}(\chi')$ unless $\chi = \chi'$ and $j = j'$. Moreover each factor

$$V_j \otimes W_i \cong \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM(\zeta_l))}^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))} (V_j \otimes \overline{\theta}/\overline{\theta}^{\tau^i})$$

is irreducible, as otherwise

$$V_j \cong V_j((\overline{\theta}\overline{\theta}^{\tau^{i+i'}})/(\overline{\theta}^{\tau^i}\overline{\theta}^{\tau^{i'}}))$$

(which is impossible on inertia above q). Similarly

$$\text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM(\zeta_l))}^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))} (V_j \otimes \overline{\theta}/\overline{\theta}^{\tau^i}) \cong \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM(\zeta_l))}^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))} (V_{j'} \otimes \overline{\theta}/\overline{\theta}^{\tau^{i'}})$$

if and only if $V_j \cong V_{j'}$ and $i = i'$ or $2b - i'$. Moreover looking at inertia above q shows that

$$V_j(\chi) \not\cong \text{Ind}_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM(\zeta_l))}^{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))} (V_{j'} \otimes \overline{\theta}/\overline{\theta}^{\tau^{i'}})$$

for all i, j, j', χ .

Let H (resp. H' , resp. H'') denote the image $(\text{ad } \overline{r})\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))$ (resp. $(\text{ad } \overline{r}')\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))$, resp. $(\text{ad } \overline{r}'')\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))$). We see that

$$H^0(H'', \text{ad } {}^0\overline{r}'') = (0).$$

Note that the only scalar element of H or H' (thought of as subgroups of $\text{Aut}(\overline{r})$ and $\text{Aut}(\overline{r}')$) is the identity and so

$$H \times H' \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{ad } \overline{r}'').$$

Thus there is a finite group \overline{H} and surjections $\pi : H \twoheadrightarrow \overline{H}$ and $\pi' : H' \twoheadrightarrow \overline{H}$ so that H'' is the set of elements $(h, h') \in H \times H'$ such that $\pi(h) = \pi'(h')$. Let K (resp. K') denote the kernel of π (resp. π'). Note that the image of the inertia group at any prime above q in H' is contained in K' (as \bar{r} is unramified above q). Also note that the restriction of $H' \rightarrow \text{Gal}(MF(\zeta_l)/F(\zeta_l))$ to K' is surjective (as M is linearly disjoint from $\overline{F}^{\ker \bar{r}}(\zeta_l)$ over \mathbb{Q} , these fields being ramified at disjoint sets of primes). Thus

$$(\text{ad } \bar{r}'')^{K'} = \text{ad } \bar{r}$$

and we see that

$$(0) = H^1(H, \text{ad } {}^0\bar{r}) = H^1(H''/K', (\text{ad } {}^0\bar{r}'')^{K'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(H'', \text{ad } {}^0\bar{r}'')$$

(as $l \nmid \#K'$).

Fix a copy $V_j \subset \text{ad } \bar{r} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l$. We can find a $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/MF(\zeta_l))$ and a simple root α of the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{r}(\sigma)$ such that $\pi_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} V_j i_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} \neq (0)$. Altering σ by an elements of inertia subgroups at primes above q we may further suppose that for $i = 1, \dots, 2b - 1$ the ratio $(\bar{\theta}/\bar{\theta}^{\tau^i})(\sigma)$ does not equal α'/α for any root α' (including α) of the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{r}(\sigma)$. (Here we use that $q > 2n$.) Then $\alpha\bar{\theta}(\sigma)$ is a simple root of the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{r}''(\sigma)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_{\bar{r}''(\sigma), \alpha\bar{\theta}(\sigma)} V_j(\chi) i_{\bar{r}''(\sigma), \alpha\bar{\theta}(\sigma)} \\ &= (\pi_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} V_j i_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha}) (\pi_{\bar{r}''(\sigma), \bar{\theta}(\sigma)} (\sum_{j=0}^{2b-1} \chi(\tau^j) e_j \otimes f_j) i_{\bar{r}''(\sigma), \bar{\theta}(\sigma)}) \\ &= \pi_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} V_j i_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} \\ &\neq (0) \end{aligned}$$

for every $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(M/\mathbb{Q}), \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l^\times)$.

Now fix indices $j = 0, \dots, r$ and $i = 1, \dots, b$. Also fix $\gamma : W_i \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{i+b}$ (unless $i = b$), such that $\gamma(e_0 \otimes f_i) = e_{b+i} \otimes f_b$. Any submodule of $\text{ad } \bar{r}'' \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l$ which is isomorphic to $V_j \otimes W_i$ is of the form

$$\{\eta_1(v) \otimes w + \eta_2(v) \otimes \gamma(w) : v \in V_j \text{ and } w \in W_i\}$$

where η_1 and η_2 are embeddings $V_j \hookrightarrow \text{ad } \bar{r} \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_l$. (If $i = b$ we suppress the second term.) Choose $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F(\zeta_l))$ and a simple root α of the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{r}(\sigma)$ such that

- σ maps to the generator τ of $\text{Gal}(MF(\zeta_l)/F(\zeta_l))$;
- $\pi_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} \eta_1(V_j) i_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} \neq (0)$;

- and no other root of the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{r}(\alpha)$ has $(2b)^{th}$ -power equal to α^{2b} .

(Here we are using the assumption that $\bar{r}\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F(\zeta_l))$ is $2b$ -big.) Write

$$\bar{r}'(\sigma)e_i = \beta_i e_{i+1}$$

for $i = 0, \dots, 2b - 1$ (where we take $i + 1$ modulo $2b$). The roots of the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{r}'(\sigma)$ are exactly the $(2b)^{th}$ -roots of $\beta_0 \dots \beta_{2b-1}$. If $\beta^{2b} = \beta_0 \dots \beta_{2b-1}$ then a corresponding eigenvector is

$$e_0 + \beta_0/\beta e_1 + \beta_0\beta_1/\beta^2 e_2 + \dots + \beta_0 \dots \beta_{2b-2} e_{2b-1}$$

and

$$\pi_{\bar{r}'(\sigma), \beta} e_j = \beta^j / (2b\beta_0\beta_1 \dots \beta_{i-1}) (e_0 + \beta_0/\beta e_1 + \dots + \beta_0 \dots \beta_{2b-2} e_{2b-1}).$$

Moreover $\alpha\beta$ is a simple root of the characteristic polynomial of $\bar{r}''(\sigma)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_{\bar{r}''(\sigma), \alpha\beta} (\eta_1(v) \otimes e_0 \otimes f_i + \eta_2(v) \otimes e_{n/2+i} \otimes f_{n/2}) i_{\bar{r}''(\sigma), \alpha\beta} \\ &= (\pi_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} \eta_1(v) i_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha}) (\pi_{\bar{r}'(\sigma), \beta} e_0 \otimes f_i i_{\bar{r}'(\sigma), \beta}) \\ & \quad + (\pi_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} \eta_2(v) i_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha}) (i_{\bar{r}'(\sigma), \beta} e_{n/2+i} \otimes f_{n/2} i_{\bar{r}'(\sigma), \beta}) \\ &= 1/(2b\beta^i) (\beta_0 \dots \beta_{i-1} \pi_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} \eta_1(v) i_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} + \beta^{2i} / (\beta_b \dots \beta_{b+i-1}) \pi_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha} \eta_2(v) i_{\bar{r}(\sigma), \alpha}), \end{aligned}$$

where again we drop the second term if $i = b$. For some choice of v and β this will be non-zero.

We conclude that $\bar{r}''(\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F))$ is big. Theorem 6.4 then tells us that there is a Galois totally real extension F'/F , a RAESDC automorphic representation Π of $GL_{2bn}(\mathbb{A}_{F'})$ of weight 0 and an isomorphism $\iota : \overline{M}'_{\lambda'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ such that $r_{l,\iota}(\Pi) \cong r''$. (Note that $l \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$ and that N is not divisible by any primes at which r'' ramifies.)

Step 3: Automorphicity of r .

We see that

$$\Pi^\vee \cong \Pi$$

and that

$$\Pi \otimes (\kappa \circ \text{Art}_F \circ \det) \cong \Pi.$$

We will claim that there is a cuspidal automorphic representation Π_{M^+} of $GL_{2n}(\mathbb{A}_{FM^+})$ such that

$$\Pi_{M^+} \otimes (\delta_M \circ \text{Art}_{FM^+} \circ \det) \cong \Pi_{M^+},$$

such that $\Pi_{M^+,v}$ is regular for all $v|\infty$ and such that the base change from F to FM^+ of Π is equivalent to

$$\Pi_{M^+} \boxplus \Pi_{M^+}^\tau \boxplus \dots \boxplus \Pi_{M^+}^{\tau^{b-1}},$$

in the sense that for all v the base change from $F_{v|F}$ to $(FM^+)_v$ of $\Pi_{v|F}$ is

$$\Pi_{M^+,v} \boxplus (\Pi_{M^+}^\tau)_v \boxplus \dots \boxplus (\Pi_{M^+}^{\tau^{b-1}})_v.$$

This can be proved for all intermediate fields $M^+ \supset M_1 \supset \mathbb{Q}$ by induction on $[M_1 : \mathbb{Q}]$. So suppose that $M^+ \supset M_2 \supset M_1 \supset \mathbb{Q}$ with M_2/M_1 cyclic of prime degree and suppose that we have already found a cuspidal automorphic representation Π_{M_1} of $GL_{2n[M^+:M_1]}(\mathbb{A}_{FM_1})$ with the corresponding properties. Then

$$\Pi_{M_1} \otimes (\kappa \otimes \text{Art}_{FM_1} \otimes \det) \cong \Pi_{M_1}^{\tau^i}$$

for some $i = 0, \dots, [M^+ : M_1] - 1$. If $i > 0$ then for $v|\infty$

$$\Pi_{M_1,v} \boxplus (\Pi_{M_1}^\tau)_v \boxplus \dots \boxplus (\Pi_{M_1}^{\tau^{[M_1:\mathbb{Q}]-1}})_v$$

is not regular, so that $\Pi_{v|F}$ is not regular, a contradiction. Thus

$$\Pi_{M_1} \otimes (\kappa \otimes \text{Art}_{FM_1} \otimes \det) \cong \Pi_{M_1}$$

and the result for M_2 follows from theorem 4.2 of [AC].

We can further conclude (using theorem 4.2 of [AC]) that there is a cuspidal automorphic representation π of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_{FM})$ such that

- the base change of Π to MF is equivalent to

$$\pi \boxplus \pi^\tau \boxplus \dots \boxplus \pi^{\tau^{2b-1}};$$

- the representation π^{τ^i} for $i = 0, \dots, 2b - 1$ are pairwise non-isomorphic;
- $\pi \otimes |\det|^{n(1/2-b)}$ is regular algebraic;
- $\pi^\vee \cong \pi^c$.

For the second assertion we use the regularity of Π_v for $v|\infty$. For the last of these assertions note that $\pi^\vee \cong \pi^{\tau^i}$ for some $i = 0, \dots, 2b - 1$. As $(\pi^\vee)^\vee \cong \pi$ we deduce that either $i = 0$ or $n/2$. So we must rule out the case $\pi^\vee \cong \pi$. If this held then π would have a unitary central character and so would itself be unitary. On the other hand for $v|\infty$ the Harsh-Chandra parameter of π_v lies in $(1/2)X^*(\widetilde{T} \times_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$, and so by lemma 7.1 we have $\pi_v^c \cong \pi_v^\vee \cong \pi_v$. Again this would contradict the regularity of $\pi_v \oplus \pi_v^c$ and $\Pi_{M^+, v|_{FM^+}}$.

Thus $\pi \otimes |\det|^{n(1/2-b)}$ is a RAESDC representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_{FM})$ and we can associate an l -adic representation $r_{l,i}(\pi)$ to it. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & r_{l,i}(\Pi)|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM)} \\ \cong & r_{l,i}(\pi \otimes |\det|^{n(1/2-b)}) \oplus r_{l,i}(\pi \otimes |\det|^{n(1/2-b)}\tau) \oplus \dots \\ & \dots \oplus r_{l,i}(\pi \otimes |\det|^{n(1/2-b)}\tau^{2b-1}) \\ \cong & (r|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM)} \otimes \theta) \oplus (r|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM)} \otimes \theta^\tau) \oplus \dots \oplus (r|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM)} \otimes \theta^{\tau^{2b-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$r|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM)} \cong r_{l,i}(\pi \otimes |\det|^{n(1/2-b)}) \otimes \theta^{-\tau^j}$$

for some j and $r|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/FM)}$ is automorphic, and we conclude that r is automorphic. \square

8 Applications.

Let F be a totally real field. We will call a RAESDC automorphic representation π of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ *CM* if there is a non-trivial character $\chi : \mathbb{A}_F^\times/F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ with $\pi \cong \pi \otimes (\chi \circ \det)$. In this case $\chi^2 = 1$ and π is the automorphic induction of a grossencharacter of the quadratic extension defined by χ .

Theorem 8.1 *Suppose that π is a RAESDC automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and that $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. If π is not CM then there is a Galois totally real number field F/\mathbb{Q} and a RAESDC automorphic representation $S_F^m(\pi)$ of $GL_{m+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that for all primes l and all $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$r_{l,i}(S_F^m(\pi)) = \text{Symm}^m r_{l,i}(\pi)|_{\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)}.$$

Proof: We may suppose that π_∞ has weight $(k-2, 0)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$. Let $N \subset \mathbb{C}$ denote the field of coefficients of π (i.e. the extension of \mathbb{Q} generated

by the eigenvalues of the Hecke operator

$$GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

on $\pi_p^{GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$ for all p for which π_p is unramified). (Strictly speaking: the Hecke operator coming from the product of the characteristic function of this double coset and the Haar measure on $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ giving $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ volume 1.) Then N is a CM field. There is a continuous character

$$\nu : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow N^\times$$

such that for all l and ι we have

$$\det r_{l,\iota} = \epsilon_l^{1-k}(\iota^{-1} \circ \nu).$$

(The character ν is sometimes called the nebentypus character. We have $(c \circ \pi) \cong \pi \otimes (\nu \circ \text{Art}_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ \det)^{-1}$.) Let M' be the CM field which theorem 7.6 asserts exist for $n = m + 1$ and $b = k - 1$ and $\mu = \nu^m$. Let N' denote the normal closure of NM' over \mathbb{Q} .

By a theorem of Ribet (see theorem 2.1 of [R] and the first three lines of its proof) for all but finitely many l the image $\bar{r}_{l,\iota}(\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}))$ contains $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_l)$. Moreover for all but finitely many l either $r_{l,\iota}(\pi)$ is ordinary of weight $(k-2, 0)$ for all ι or

$$\bar{r}_{l,\iota}|_{I_{\mathbb{Q}_l}} \cong \omega_2^{1-k} \oplus \omega_2^{l(1-k)}$$

for all ι . In the former case we call l *ordinary*, in the latter case we call l *supersingular*.

Thus for all but finitely many ordinary primes l theorem 7.5 tells us that $\text{Sym}^m r_{l,\iota}$ is automorphic of weight $(m(k-2), \dots, k-2, 0)$. For all but finitely many supersingular primes for which $[\text{Frob}_l] = [c] \subset \text{Gal}(N'/\mathbb{Q})$ theorem 7.6 tells us that $\text{Sym}^m r_{l,\iota}$ is automorphic of weight $(m(k-2), \dots, (k-2), 0)$. Thus $\text{Sym}^m r_{l,\iota}$ is automorphic of weight $(m(k-2), \dots, (k-2), 0)$ for all but finitely many of the infinitely many primes l with $[\text{Frob}_l] = [c] \subset \text{Gal}(N'/\mathbb{Q})$, and the theorem follows. \square

Corollary 8.2 *Suppose that π is a non-CM RAESDC automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ of weight $(k-2, 0)$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$. Suppose also that $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and that $\psi : \mathbb{A}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^\times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is a continuous character. Then there is a meromorphic function $L(S^m(\pi) \times \psi, s)$ on the whole complex plane such that for any prime l and any isomorphism $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ we have $L(S^m(\pi) \times \psi, s) =$*

$L(i(\text{Symm}^m r_{l,i}(\pi)) \otimes r_{l,i}(\psi), s)$. The expected functional equation holds between $L(S^m(\pi) \times \psi, s)$ and $L(S^m(\pi^\vee \otimes |\det|^{2-k}) \times \psi^{-1}, 1 + m(k-1) - s)$. Moreover if $m > 0$ or $\psi \neq 1$ then $L(S^m(\pi) \times \psi, s)$ holomorphic and non-zero in $\text{Re } s \geq 1 + m(k-1)/2$.

Proof: This follows from the previous theorem as in the proof of 4.2 of [HSBT]. \square

We now formulate the Sato-Tate conjecture for RAESDC automorphic representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ following [Gee]. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we will let $U(2)_a$ denote the subgroup of $U(2)$ consisting of matrices g with $(\det g)^a = 1$. We will let $U(2)_a/\sim$ denote the space of conjugacy classes of $U(2)_a$. By Haar measure on $U(2)_a/\sim$ we will mean the push forward of the Haar measure on $U(2)_a$ with total volume 1.

Corollary 8.3 *Suppose that π is a non-CM RAESDC automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ of weight $(k-2, 0)$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$. Let ψ denote the product of the central character of π with $|\cdot|^{k-2}$. The character ψ has finite order and we denote this order a . For all but finitely many primes p the component π_p will be unramified and we will let $[F_p]$ denote the conjugacy class of the matrix $p^{1-k/2} \text{rec}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\pi_p)(\text{Frob}_p)$, which lies in $U(2)_a$ (and has determinant $\psi_p(p)$).*

The conjugacy classes $[F_p]$ are equidistributed in $U(2)_a/\sim$ with respect to Haar measure.

Proof: This follows from the previous corollary and the corollary to theorem 2 of [Se1], as explained on page I-26 of [Se1]. (We remark that the irreducible representations of $U(2)_a$ are the representations $\det^n \otimes \text{Sym}^m$ for $n = 0, \dots, a-1$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.) \square

This corollary can be reformulated as follows.

Corollary 8.4 *Suppose that π is a non-CM RAESDC automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ of weight $(k-2, 0)$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$. Let ψ denote the product of the central character of π with $|\cdot|^{k-2}$, so that ψ has finite order. Let ζ be a root of unity such that ζ^2 lies in the image of ψ . For all but finitely many p the space $\pi_p^{GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$ is one dimensional. Let t_p denote the eigenvalue of the Hecke operator*

$$\left[GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \right]$$

on $\pi_p^{GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$ (or strictly speaking, of the Hecke operator coming from the product of the characteristic function of this double coset with the Haar measure on

$GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ which gives $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ volume 1). If $\psi_p(p) = \zeta^2$ then $t_p/(2p^{(k-1)/2}\zeta) \in [-1, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$.

As p varies over primes with $\psi_p(p) = \zeta^2$, the numbers $t_p/(2p^{(k-1)/2}\zeta)$ are equidistributed in $[-1, 1]$ with respect to the measure $(2/\pi)\sqrt{1-t^2}dt$.

As special cases we mention the following corollaries, though many similar examples are also available.

Corollary 8.5 *Write*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)q^n = q \prod_n (1 - q^n)^{24},$$

i.e. $\tau(n)$ denotes Ramanujam's τ function. Then the numbers $\tau(p)/2p^{11/2}$ are equidistributed in $[-1, 1]$ with respect to the measure $(2/\pi)\sqrt{1-t^2}dt$.

Corollary 8.6 *Let $N_{12}(n)$ denote the number of elements of \mathbb{Z}^{12} with Euclidean norm \sqrt{n} , i.e. the number of ways n can be written as the sum of 12 perfect squares (where the order matters). As p runs over prime numbers*

$$(N_{12}(p) - 8(p^5 + 1))/(32p^{5/2})$$

lies in $[-1, 1]$ and these numbers are equidistributed in $[-1, 1]$ with respect to the measure $(2/\pi)\sqrt{1-t^2}dt$.

Proof: For an odd prime p we have

$$N_{12}(p) = 8(p^5 + 1) + 16a_p,$$

where a_p is the coefficient of $e^{2\pi ipz}$ in the cuspidal newform

$$q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{2n})^{12},$$

of weight 6 on $\Gamma_0(4)$. (See [Gl].) \square

The following results do not depend on the innovations of this paper (as far as there are any innovations in this paper). However the results of [Sh] and [BP] have made them accessible, so we are taking the opportunity to record them in print. We will call an elliptic curve E over a number field F CM if $\text{End}(E/\bar{F}) \neq \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 8.7 *Suppose that F is a totally real number field, that E/F is an elliptic curve and that $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. If E is not CM then there is a Galois totally real number field F'/\mathbb{F} and a RAESDC automorphic form $S_{F'}^m(E)$ of $GL_{m+1}(\mathbb{A}_{F'})$ such that for all primes l and all $\iota : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$r_{l,\iota}(S_{F'}^m(E)) = \text{Symm}^m H_{\text{et}}^1(E \times \text{Spec } \overline{F}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l).$$

Proof: Let $r_{E,l}$ denote the representation of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ which occurs on $H_{\text{et}}^1(E \times \text{Spec } \overline{F}, \mathbb{Z}_l)$. As E is not CM the image of $r_{E,l}$ is $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ for all but finitely many primes l . (See [Se2].) Thus there is a set S of primes of F with Dirichlet density zero, such that for $v \notin S$ the elliptic curve E has good ordinary reduction at v and $F_v \cong \mathbb{Q}_{v|\mathbb{Q}}$. (Take S to consist of the set of primes of F where E has bad reduction, where $F_v \not\cong \mathbb{Q}_{v|\mathbb{Q}}$ or where $\#E(k(v)) = 1 + \#k(v)$. Note that for $v \nmid l$ a prime of good reduction of E the latter is equivalent to $\text{tr } r_{E,l}(\text{Frob}_v) = 0$ and the set of matrices of trace zero in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_l)$ has Haar measure 0.) Choose a rational prime l so that no place of F above l lies in S and so that $r_{E,l}(\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)) = GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_l)$. Then apply theorem 6.3 to $\text{Symm}^m r_{E,l}$ and the theorem follows. \square

Corollary 8.8 *Suppose that F is a totally real number field, that E/F is an elliptic curve and that $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. The L -function $L(\text{Symm}^m E, s)$ has meromorphic continuation to \mathbb{C} and satisfies the expected functional equation relating the values at s and $m+1-s$. If E is not CM then $L(\text{Symm}^m E, s)$ is holomorphic and non-zero in $\text{Re } s \geq 1 + m/2$.*

Proof: If E is CM then the result is well known. If E is not CM it follows from the previous theorem as in the proof of 4.2 of [HSBT]. \square

Corollary 8.9 *If F is a totally real field and if E/F is a non-CM elliptic curve then the numbers*

$$(1 + \#k(v) - \#E(k(v))) / 2\sqrt{\#k(v)}$$

are equidistributed in $[-1, 1]$ with respect to the measure $(2/\pi)\sqrt{1-t^2} dt$.

Proof: This follows from the previous corollary and the corollary to theorem 2 of [Se1], as explained on page I-26 of [Se1]. \square

References

- [AC] J.Arthur and L.Clozel, *Simple algebras, base change and the advanced theory of the trace formula*, Annals of Math. Studies 120, PUP 1989.
- [BH] F.Beukers and G.Heckman, *Monodromy for the hypergeometric function ${}_nF_{n-1}$* , Invent. Math. 95 (1989), 325-354.
- [BL1] T. Barnet-Lamb, *Potential automorphy for certain Galois representations to GL_{2n}* , preprint arXiv:0811.158.
- [BL2] T. Barnet-Lamb, *On the potential automorphy of certain odd-dimensional Galois representations*, preprint arXiv:0901.2514.
- [BP] *Stabilisation de la formule des traces, varités de Shimura, et applications arithmtiques*, ed. M. Harris, preprints available at <http://fa.institut.math.jussieu.fr/node/29>
- [C] G. Chenevier, *Une application des variétés de Hecke des groupes unitaires*, preprint available at <http://www.math.polytechnique.fr/~chenevier/pub.html>
- [CH] G. Chenevier and M. Harris, *Construction of automorphic Galois representations II*, preprint available at <http://fa.institut.math.jussieu.fr/node/45>
- [CHT] L. Clozel, M. Harris, and R. Taylor, *Automorphy for some ℓ -adic lifts of automorphic mod ℓ Galois representations*, Pub. Math. IHES 108 (2008), 1-181.
- [DMOS] P. Deligne, J. S. Milne, A. Ogus, K.-Y. Shih, *Hodge cycles, motives and Shimura varieties*, LNM 900, Springer 1982.
- [Gee] T. Gee, *The Sato-Tate conjecture for modular forms of weight 3*, preprint available at <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~tgee/>
- [Ger] D. Geraghty, *Modularity lifting theorems for ordinary Galois representations*, preprint available at <http://www.math.harvard.edu/~geraghty/>
- [GHK] R. Guralnick, M. Harris and N. Katz, *Automorphic realizations of residual Galois representations*, preprint available at <http://people.math.jussieu.fr/~harris/resarticles.html>.

- [Gl] J. Glaisher, *On the numbers of representations of a number as a sum of $2r$ squares, where $2r$ does not exceed eighteen*, Proc. LMS 5 (1907), 479-490.
- [GPR] B. Green, F. Pop, and P. Roquette, *On Rumely's local-global principle*, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 97 (1995), 43–74.
- [Gu] L. Guerberoff, *Modularity lifting theorems for Galois representations of unitary type*, preprint available at <http://www.math.jussieu.fr/~lguer>
- [H] M. Harris, *Potential automorphy of odd-dimensional symmetric powers of elliptic curves, and applications*, to appear in “Algebra, Arithmetic, and Geometry: Manin Festschrift”, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser (preprint available at <http://people.math.jussieu.fr/~harris/resarticles.html>).
- [HT] M.Harris and R.Taylor, *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*, Annals of Math. Studies 151, PUP 2001.
- [HSBT] M.Harris, N.Shepherd-Barron and R.Taylor, *A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy*, to appear Annals of Math. (available at <http://pjm.math.berkeley.edu/scripts/coming.php?jpath=annals>).
- [Ka1] N. Katz, *Exponential sums and differential equations*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 124, PUP 1990.
- [Ka2] N. Katz, *Another look at the Dwork family*, to appear in “Algebra, Arithmetic and Geometry - Manin Festschrift”, Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser (available at <http://www.math.princeton.edu/~nmk/>).
- [Ki] M. Kisin, *Moduli of finite flat group schemes, and modularity*, to appear Annals of Math. (available at <http://pjm.math.berkeley.edu/scripts/coming.php?jpath=annals>).
- [La] J.-P. Labesse, *Changement de base CM et séries discrètes*, preprint available at <http://people.math.jussieu.fr/~harris/bookproject.html>
- [Le] A. Levelt, *Hypergeometric functions*, thesis at University of Amsterdam, 1961.

- [LN] G. Laumon and B.-C. Ngo, *Le lemme fondamental pour les groupes unitaires*, preprint, arXiv:math/0404454.
- [M] H. Matsumura, *Commutative algebra*, 2nd edition, Benjamin 1980.
- [MB] L. Moret-Bailly, *Groupes de Picard et problèmes de Skolem II*, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. 22 (1989), 181–194.
- [R] K. Ribet, *On l -adic representations attached to modular forms II*, Glasgow Math. J. 27 (1985), 185-194.
- [Se1] J.-P. Serre, *Abelian l -adic Representations and Elliptic Curves*, W. A. Benjamin (1968).
- [Se2] J.-P. Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Invent. Math. 15 (1972), 259-331.
- [Sh] S.-W. Shin, *Galois representations arising from some compact Shimura varieties*, preprint available at <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~swshin/>
- [SGA7] P. Deligne and N. Katz, *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique II*, LNM 340, Springer 1973.
- [SZ] V. Serezkin and A. Zalesskii, *Linear groups generated by transvections*, Math. USSR Izvestija 10 (1976), 25-46.
- [Tad] M. Tadic, *$GL(n, \mathbb{C})^\wedge$ and $GL(n, \mathbb{R})^\wedge$* , to appear in “Automorphic Forms and L-functions II: Local Aspects”, eds. Ginzburg, Lapid and Soudry, AMS and Bar-Ilan University 2009 (available at <http://www.hazu.hr/~tadic/>).
- [Tay] R. Taylor, *Automorphy of some l -adic lifts of automorphic mod l representations II*, Pub. Math. IHES 108 (2008), 183-239.
- [W] J.-L. Waldspurger, *Endoscopie et changement de caractéristique*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, (2006), 423-525.