

Diffeomorphisms of the 2-Sphere (Lecture 24)

April 6, 2009

The goal of this lecture is to compute the homotopy type of the diffeomorphism group of the 2-sphere S^2 . The idea is to endow the 2-sphere with some additional structure (a conformal structure). We will show that this structure is essentially unique, and it will follow that the diffeomorphism group $\text{Diff}(S^2)$ is homotopy equivalent to the group of automorphisms which respect this additional structure. The latter group is finite dimensional and easy to describe.

Definition 1. Let M be a smooth manifold. A (*Riemannian*) *metric* on M consists of a positive definite inner product on each tangent space $T_{M,x}$ which varies smoothly with the chosen point $x \in M$. We will denote the collection of Riemannian metrics on M by $\text{Met}(M)$.

Given a metric g on M and a smooth function $\lambda : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, the product λg is another metric on M . We will say that two metrics g and g' are *conformally equivalent* if $g = \lambda g'$ for some smooth function $\lambda : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. The relation of conformal equivalence is an equivalence relation on $\text{Met}(M)$; we will denote the set of equivalence classes by $\text{Conf}(M)$.

There is a natural topology on $\text{Met}(M)$ (we can identify $\text{Met}(M)$ with an open subset of the Frechet space of all smooth sections of the bundle $\text{Sym}^2 T_M^\vee$); we endow $\text{Conf}(M)$ with the quotient topology.

Remark 2. The exact topologies that we place on $\text{Met}(M)$ and $\text{Conf}(M)$ are not really important in what follows: for our purposes it will be enough to work with the singular simplicial sets of $\text{Met}(M)$ and $\text{Conf}(M)$.

Lemma 3. *Let M be a smooth manifold. Then the spaces $\text{Met}(M)$ and $\text{Conf}(M)$ are contractible.*

Proof. The contractibility of $\text{Met}(M)$ follows from the fact that it is a convex subset of a topological vector space. More concretely, choose a metric g_0 on M (such a metric can be constructed by choosing standard metrics on Euclidean charts and averaging them using a partition of unity). Then any other metric g on M can be joined to g_0 by a canonical path of metrics: we simply choose a straight line $g_t = (1-t)g_0 + tg$.

Let G denote the collection of smooth maps from M to $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. We regard G as a group with respect to pointwise multiplication. The group G is contractible: again, it is a convex subset of the Frechet space of all smooth real-valued functions on M , so every function $f \in G$ is connected to the constant function 1 by a straight-line homotopy $f_t(x) = (1-t)f(x) + t$. The group G acts freely on $\text{Met}(M)$ with quotient $\text{Conf}(M)$. We therefore have a fibration sequence

$$G \rightarrow \text{Met}(M) \rightarrow \text{Conf}(M)$$

Since G and $\text{Met}(M)$ are contractible (and the map $\text{Met}(M) \rightarrow \text{Conf}(M)$ is surjective), we conclude that $\text{Conf}(M)$ is also contractible. \square

A conformal structure on an n -manifold M can be thought of as a reduction of the structure group of the tangent bundle of M from $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ to $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times O(n)$. If M is an oriented 2-manifold endowed with a conformal structure, then its tangent bundle has structure group reduced to $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times SO(2)$. If we choose an identification $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbf{C}$ (endowing the latter with its standard notion of length), then we can identify $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times SO(2)$ with the group \mathbf{C}^* of nonzero complex numbers, acting on \mathbf{C} by conjugation. In other words, an orientation of M together with a conformal structure on M give us a reduction of the structure group of M from $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ to $GL_1(\mathbf{C})$: that is, they give an almost complex structure on M .

Theorem 4 (Existence of Isothermal Coordinates). *Let M be a 2-manifold equipped with an almost complex structure. Then M is a complex manifold: in other words, near each point $x \in M$ we can choose an open neighborhood U and an open embedding $U \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ of almost complex structures.*

Remark 5. In the situation of Theorem 4, suppose that we think of the almost complex structure on M as being given by an orientation together with a conformal structure, where the latter is given by some metric g on M . The assertion of Theorem 4 is equivalent to the assertion that we can choose local coordinate systems on M in which g is *conformally flat*: that is, it has the form λg_0 where g_0 denotes the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbf{C}$.

Remark 6. Theorem 4 is a consequence of the Newlander-Nirenberg theorem, which asserts that an almost complex structure on a manifold M is a complex structure if and only if a certain obstruction (called the Nijenhuis tensor) vanishes. When M has dimension 2, the vanishing of this tensor is automatic. However, Theorem 4 is much more elementary. Nevertheless, we will not give a proof here.

Now suppose that M is the 2-sphere S^2 , which we regard as an oriented smooth manifold. Every choice of conformal structure $\eta \in \text{Conf}(M)$ endows M with the structure of a complex manifold: that is, a Riemann surface.

Proposition 7. *Up to isomorphism, the 2-sphere S^2 admits a unique complex structure. That is, if X is a Riemann surface which is diffeomorphic to S^2 , then X is biholomorphic to the Riemann sphere \mathbf{CP}^1 .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{O}_X denote the sheaf of holomorphic functions on X . Since X is compact, it has a well-defined holomorphic Euler characteristic

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) - \dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

This Euler characteristic can be computed using the Riemann-Roch theorem: it is given by $1 - g = \frac{\chi(X)}{2} = 1$, since X has genus 0. The space $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ consists of globally defined holomorphic functions on X . By the maximum principle (and the fact that X is compact), every such function must be constant, so that $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \mathbf{C}$. It follows from the Euler characteristic estimate that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ vanishes.

Now choose a point $x \in X$, and consider the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(x)$ of functions on X which are holomorphic except possibly at the point x , and have a pole of order at most 1 at x . We have an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(x) \rightarrow x_*\mathbf{C} \rightarrow 0$$

Since the cohomology group $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ vanishes, we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(x)) \rightarrow H^0(X, x_*\mathbf{C}) \simeq H^0(\{x\}, \mathbf{C}) \simeq \mathbf{C} \rightarrow 0.$$

This proves that $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(x))$ is 2-dimensional. In particular, there exists a nonconstant meromorphic function f on X having at most a simple pole at x . Since f cannot be holomorphic (otherwise it would be constant), it must have a pole of exact order 1 at x .

We can regard f as a holomorphic map $X \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^1$ with $f(x) = \infty$. Since f has unique simple pole at x , this map has degree 1 and is therefore an isomorphism of X with \mathbf{CP}^1 . \square

Proposition 7 implies that the group $\text{Diff}(S^2)$ acts transitively on the collection $\text{Conf}(S^2)$ of conformal structures on S^2 . We have a fiber sequence

$$\text{Diff}_{\text{Conf}}(S^2) \rightarrow \text{Diff}(S^2) \rightarrow \text{Conf}(S^2),$$

where $\text{Diff}_{\text{Conf}}(S^2)$ denotes the subgroup of $\text{Diff}(S^2)$ consisting of diffeomorphisms which preserve the standard conformal structure on $S^2 = \mathbf{CP}^1$. Since $\text{Conf}(S^2)$ is contractible, we conclude that the inclusion $\text{Diff}_{\text{Conf}}(S^2) \subseteq \text{Diff}(S^2)$ is a homotopy equivalence.

The group $\text{Diff}_{\text{Conf}}(S^2)$ can be written as a union $\text{Diff}_{\text{Conf}}^+(S^2) \cup \text{Diff}_{\text{Conf}}^-(S^2)$, where $\text{Diff}_{\text{Conf}}^+(S^2)$ denotes the subgroup of orientation preserving conformal diffeomorphisms of S^2 (that is, holomorphic automorphisms of \mathbf{CP}^1), while $\text{Diff}_{\text{Conf}}^-(S^2)$ consists of orientation reversing conformal diffeomorphisms (antiholomorphic automorphisms).

Theorem 8. *The inclusion $O(3) \hookrightarrow \text{Diff}(S^2)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. It will suffice to show that the inclusion $O(3) \hookrightarrow \text{Diff}_{\text{Conf}}(S^2)$ is a homotopy equivalence. For this, we will show that $SO(3) \hookrightarrow \text{Diff}_{\text{Conf}}^+(S^2)$ is a homotopy equivalence. Both groups act transitively on the sphere S^2 , giving rise to a map of fiber sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} SO(2) & \longrightarrow & SO(3) & \longrightarrow & S^2 \\ \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G & \longrightarrow & \text{Diff}_{\text{Conf}}^+(S^2) & \longrightarrow & S^2, \end{array}$$

where G denotes the group of holomorphic automorphisms of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ that preserve the point ∞ . We will prove that θ is a homotopy equivalence.

Elements of G can be identified with biholomorphic maps $f : \mathbb{C}P^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ carrying ∞ to itself. Such a map can be viewed as a meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ having a pole of order at most 1 at ∞ . The collection of all such meromorphic functions forms a vector space which, by the proof of Proposition 7, has dimension 2. We can write down these meromorphic functions explicitly: they are precisely the maps of the form $z \mapsto az + b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Such a map determines an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ if and only if $a \neq 0$. Consequently, we can identify G with the product $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : a \neq 0\}$. The map θ has image $S^1 = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |a| = 1, b = 0\}$. It is now clear that θ is a homotopy equivalence. \square

Remark 9. The automorphism group $\text{Diff}_{\text{Conf}}^+(S^2)$ can be identified with $PGL_2(\mathbb{C})$, which contains $SO(3)$ as a maximal compact subgroup.

We can use the same methods to compute the diffeomorphism group of a surface of genus 1. Such a surface looks like a torus $T = \mathbb{R}^2 / \mathbb{Z}^2$. This description of T as a quotient makes it evident that two different groups act on T :

- (i) The group T acts on itself by translations.
- (ii) The group $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on T .

These group actions are in fact compatible with one another, and give a rise to a map $G \rightarrow \text{Diff}(T)$, where G denotes the semidirect product of T with $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 10. *The map $G \rightarrow \text{Diff}(T)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

The proof proceeds in several steps.

- (a) The groups G and $\text{Diff}(T)$ both act transitively on T . It will therefore suffice to show that we have a homotopy equivalence $G_0 \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0(T)$, where G_0 and $\text{Diff}_0(T)$ denote the subgroups of G and $\text{Diff}(T)$ consisting of maps which fix the origin $0 \in T$. In other words, we must show that the inclusion $\phi : GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0(T)$ is a homotopy equivalence.
- (b) The map ϕ has an obvious splitting, since $\text{Diff}_0(T)$ maps to $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ via its action on the homology group $H_1(T; \mathbb{Z})$. It will therefore suffice to show that $\text{Diff}_1(T)$ is contractible, where $\text{Diff}_1(T)$ denotes the group of diffeomorphisms of T which fix the origin 0 and act trivially on the homology of T .
- (c) The group $\text{Diff}_1(T)$ does not act transitively on $\text{Conf}(T)$. However, it does act freely: suppose that we fix a point of $\text{Conf}(T)$, which endows T with a complex structure. The fixed point $0 \in T$ endows T with the structure of an *elliptic curve*. In particular, it acquires a canonical group structure. If we let \mathfrak{t} denote the (complex) Lie algebra of T at the origin, then we get an exponential map $\mathfrak{t} \rightarrow T$ which exhibits T as a quotient \mathfrak{t}/Λ . Any element f of $\text{Diff}_1(T)$ which preserves the conformal structure must act by a group automorphism of T (since it is complex analytic and fixed the origin), and is therefore determined by its derivative $df : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}$. Since f is required to act trivially on $H_1(T; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \Lambda$, we deduce that $df = \text{id}$ so that $f = \text{id}$.

(d) We now have a fiber sequence

$$\mathrm{Diff}_1(T) \rightarrow \mathrm{Conf}(T) \rightarrow M,$$

where $M = \mathrm{Conf}(T)/\mathrm{Diff}_1(T)$ can be thought of as a moduli space for genus 1 Riemann surfaces Σ equipped with a marked point and an oriented trivialization $H_1(\Sigma, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}^2$. Again, any such Σ must be an elliptic curve and therefore has the form V/Λ , where V is the tangent space to Σ at the origin (a 1-dimensional complex vector space) and $\Lambda \subseteq V$ is a lattice. Our trivialization $\mathbf{Z}^2 \simeq H_1(\Sigma, \mathbf{Z})$ gives an oriented basis (u, v) for Λ , so a point of M can be identified with an isomorphism class of triples (V, u, v) . Any such triple is uniquely isomorphic to $(\mathbf{C}, 1, \tau)$ (namely, the choice of an element u trivializes the vector space V), where τ is an element of the upper half plane $\{x + iy : y > 0\} \subseteq \mathbf{C}$. It follows that M is contractible. Since $\mathrm{Conf}(T)$ is also contractible, we deduce that $\mathrm{Diff}_1(T)$ is contractible, as desired.

We will give a different proof of Proposition 10 shortly.