

**MATH 281X: ARAKELOV THEORY OF ARITHMETIC
SURFACES
WEEKS 1 AND 2: ARITHMETIC SURFACES**

HECTOR PASTEN

1. REGULAR ARITHMETIC SURFACES

1.1. Arithmetic surfaces. For a field k , a *curve* over k is a 1-dimensional integral k -scheme of finite type.

Let A be a one dimensional Dedekind domain and $S = \text{Spec } A$. An *arithmetic surface* (over S) is a pair (\mathcal{X}, π) where \mathcal{X} is an integral scheme, and $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow S$ is a flat and proper relative curve of finite type. Note that $\dim \mathcal{X} = 2$ and that \mathcal{X}_η is an integral projective curve over the field $K = \text{Frac}(A)$. The arithmetic surface is normal (resp. regular) if \mathcal{X} is.

The arithmetic surface (\mathcal{X}, π) is said to be an *integral model* over A (or S) of the K -curve \mathcal{X}_η . Note that if \mathcal{X} is normal (or regular) then \mathcal{X}_η is smooth.

More generally, an integral model for a smooth projective curve X/K is a regular arithmetic surface (\mathcal{X}, π) over S together with a K -isomorphism $\mathcal{X}_\eta \simeq X$ —the isomorphism is part of the data but it will be omitted from the notation.

Theorem 1.1 (Lichtenbaum). *Let (\mathcal{X}, π) be a regular arithmetic surface. Then π is projective.*

Sketch. Construct an effective (cartier) divisor on \mathcal{X} whose support contains no fibre component and meets every fibre component in the sense of the intersection numbers i_s defined above —here one needs the regularity assumption, as the construction of the required divisor involves some analysis of local rings $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, x}$ at various points x of \mathcal{X} . The associated line sheaf \mathcal{L} is ample on each fibre.

Finally, one shows that this implies that \mathcal{L} is relatively ample for π . In fact, one can show in more generality that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a proper morphism, Y is noetherian, and \mathcal{F} is a line sheaf on X whose restriction to each fibre of f is ample, then \mathcal{F} is ample for f (cf. Corollary 2.6 [9]). \square

Remarks.

- We will be mainly interested in the case when A is the ring of integers of a number field. For technical purposes, one can often reduce to the case when A is a DVR.
- Even if \mathcal{X} is regular, for a closed point $s \in S$ the special fibre \mathcal{X}_s can be singular, reducible, and it can have non-reduced components.

A common assumption in the theory is that for a given smooth projective curve X/K , the field K is algebraically closed in $K(X)$, i.e. $K = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ (when K is a number field this is the same as requiring that X be geometrically irreducible).

Date: February 7, 2018.

This assumption implies, in particular, that all fibres of an integral model for X are connected.

1.2. Intersections. Let C be a smooth projective curve over a field k , $f : C \rightarrow X$ a morphism to a regular scheme X and D a divisor on X . Then D is Cartier and $\deg_{C/k} f^* \mathcal{O}_X(D) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is defined.

Let (\mathcal{X}, π) be a regular arithmetic surface over S , let $s \in S$ and let F be a reduced fibre component over s . Let $\nu : C \rightarrow F$ be the normalization map and let k be a finite sub-extension of the field $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$. Then C is a smooth projective curve over k and for every divisor D on \mathcal{X} we can define the intersection number

$$i_{s,k}(F, D) = \deg_{C/k} f^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(D).$$

For the choice $k = \kappa_s$ we simply write i_s , and observe that this defines a pairing

$$i_s : \text{Div}_s(\mathcal{X}) \times \text{Div}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

where $\text{Div}_s(\mathcal{X})$ is the group of divisors of \mathcal{X} supported on \mathcal{X}_s . The pairing has the expected properties: bilinear, symmetric on $\text{Div}_s(\mathcal{X})$, compatible with linear equivalence, local expression for proper intersections. In addition we have:

Proposition 1.2. *Suppose that \mathcal{X}_s is connected. Then i_s restricted to $\text{Div}_s(\mathcal{X})$ is negative semi-definite. Furthermore, the divisors $D \in \text{Div}_s(\mathcal{X})$ with $i_s(D, D) = 0$ are precisely the (possibly rational) multiples of the fibre \mathcal{X}_s .*

Proof. This is a standard computation due to Mumford.

We may assume that A is DVR with uniformizer ϖ . Write $\mathcal{X}_s = \sum_j m_j C_j$ as divisor, where C_j are the reduced fibre components and m_j the corresponding multiplicities. For simplicity, write $i_s(D_1, D_2) = D_1.D_2$. First we observe $i_s(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{X}_s) = 0$ since $\mathcal{X}_s = (\varpi)$ is principal. Thus, for all j

$$m_j C_j^2 = - \sum_{i \neq j} m_i C_i.C_j.$$

Now let $D \in \text{Div}_s(\mathcal{X})$ be arbitrary and let a_j be rational numbers with $D = \sum_j a_j m_j C_j$. Then expanding D^2 and using the previous expression to substitute C_j^2 we find

$$\begin{aligned} D^2 &= \sum_j a_j^2 m_j^2 C_j^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} a_i a_j m_i m_j C_i.C_j \\ &= - \sum_j a_j^2 m_j \sum_{i \neq j} m_i C_i.C_j + \sum_{i \neq j} a_i a_j m_i m_j C_i.C_j \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} (a_i^2 + a_j^2) m_i m_j C_i.C_j + \sum_{i \neq j} a_i a_j m_i m_j C_i.C_j \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} (a_i - a_j)^2 m_i m_j C_i.C_j \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $D^2 \leq 0$, and since \mathcal{X}_s is connected we see that $D^2 = 0$ if and only if all a_j are equal, that is, D is a rational multiple of \mathcal{X}_s . \square

Another choice of k in the definition of $i_{s,k}$ that will be useful (specially when discussing self-intersections) is $k = H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$. This field is a finite extension of κ_s but they are not necessarily the same.

1.3. Existence of models. Let X be a smooth projective curve over K . It is standard to construct *some* arithmetic surface (\mathcal{X}, π) over S which is an integral model for X (idea: Embed X in some projective space \mathbb{P}_K^n and consider the closure of X in \mathbb{P}_S^n .)

If (\mathcal{X}, π) is an integral model for X , the normalization map $\mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ must restrict to an isomorphism on the generic fibre. After checking that flatness and properness over S are preserved, we obtain that there is some normal integral model over S for X .

One can blow-up the singular locus of a normal integral model for X , then normalize again, and repeat. This process will not change the generic fibre. It is a theorem of Lipman [10] that this process is stationary and leads to a regular integral model.

Theorem 1.3 (Lipman). *Let X/K be a smooth projective curve. There is an arithmetic surface (\mathcal{X}, π) over S which is a regular integral model for X . It can be obtained as follows:*

Start with any normal integral model. Then blow up the singular locus (necessarily of dimension 0) and then normalize. Repeat until the singular locus is empty. The process stops.

We mention that when A is the ring of integer of a number field, the results of Abhyankar [1] suffice.

Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be regular integral models for a smooth projective K -curve X . The K -isomorphism $\mathcal{X}_\eta \simeq X \simeq \mathcal{Y}_\eta$ spreads-out to a birational map over S (not necessarily a morphism). Thus, any two regular integral models of X are birational over S . In the next section we will identify a convenient choice.

2. MINIMAL REGULAR MODELS

2.1. Minimality. A regular arithmetic surface (\mathcal{X}, π) is *relatively minimal* if every proper birational S -morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ to a regular arithmetic surface \mathcal{Y} is an isomorphism. It is *minimal* if it is relatively minimal and furthermore, for any relatively minimal (\mathcal{X}', π') , if we have a K -isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{X}'_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\eta$ then ϕ extends to an S -isomorphism $\mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Note that if (\mathcal{X}, π) is minimal, then all relatively minimal integral models for \mathcal{X}_η are in fact minimal and isomorphic to \mathcal{X} over S .

Example.

\mathbb{P}_S^1 is a relatively minimal regular model for \mathbb{P}_K^1 , but it is not minimal. Indeed, pick a closed point P in a special fibre F , blow up P and then contract the strict transform of F —this is possible by Castelnuovo’s criterion (see below). One obtains a new relatively minimal model for \mathbb{P}_K^1 , and considerations on sections (for instance) show that it is not isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_S^1 as integral model.

2.2. Proper birational morphisms. Let (\mathcal{X}, π) be a regular arithmetic surface. A prime divisor E on \mathcal{X} is *exceptional* or *contractible* if there is a regular arithmetic surface (\mathcal{Y}, τ) and a proper birational morphism $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ over S such that ϕ restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{X} \setminus E \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \setminus \phi(E)$ and $\phi(E)$ is a closed point. Such a morphism ϕ is a *blow down*.

One can show that blow downs *are in fact blow ups at closed points*. Furthermore, as in the case of complex surfaces one has the following two crucial results on blow ups:

Theorem 2.1 (Castelnuovo criterion). *Let \mathcal{X} be a regular arithmetic surface over S . A prime divisor E is contractible if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (i) E is fibral, say, contained in \mathcal{X}_s for a closed point $s \in S$;
- (ii) $H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E) = 0$, and
- (iii) $i_{s,k}(E, E) = -1$ where $k = H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$.

If this is the case, then we have a k -isomorphism $E \simeq \mathbb{P}_k^1$.

Theorem 2.2 (Factorization theorem). *Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be regular arithmetic surfaces over S and let $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a proper birational S -morphism. Then ϕ is the composition of a sequence of blow ups at closed points.*

2.3. Lichtenbaum's theorem.

Theorem 2.3 (Lichtenbaum). *Let X be a smooth projective curve over $K = \text{Frac}(A)$. Assume that K is algebraically closed in $K(X)$. Then:*

- (i) *If \mathcal{X}_1/S and \mathcal{X}_2/S are regular integral models of X , then there is a regular integral model \mathcal{X}/S for X with proper birational S -morphisms $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_i$.*
- (ii) *Given any regular integral model for X over S , construct a sequence $\mathcal{X}^{(n)}$ as follows: $\mathcal{X}^{(0)} = \mathcal{X}$, and $\mathcal{X}^{(n+1)}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{X}^{(n)}$ by blowing down an exceptional curve (if any). Then the sequence is stationary.*
- (iii) *X has some relatively minimal regular model over S .*
- (iv) *If X has genus at least 1, then X has a minimal regular model over S .*

Sketch of proof. Spreading out, we may reduce to the case when A is a DVR, so S has a unique closed point s .

The hypothesis that K be algebraically closed in $K(X)$ implies that X is geometrically irreducible, that \mathcal{X}_s is connected, and that the intersection pairing i_s on $\text{Div}_s(\mathcal{X})$ is negative semi-definite and the only divisors with self intersection 0 are those that are (rational) multiples of \mathcal{X}_s . These facts are used at technical steps in the argument outlined below.

Item (i) is proved following ideas similar to those in the theory of complex surfaces, using the versions of the factorization theorem and Castelnuovo's numerical criterion cited above.

Using Castelnuovo's criterion and intersection theory, one checks that by blowing down an exceptional curve, no new ones can appear. The number of exceptional curves on \mathcal{X}_0 is finite, hence (ii).

If the sequence in (ii) stops at some $\mathcal{X}^{(n)}$ this means that no further exceptional curves remain. By the Factorization Theorem, this implies that any proper birational S -morphism $f : \mathcal{X}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ must be an isomorphism, hence $\mathcal{X}^{(n)}$ is relatively minimal. Since regular models exist, item (iii) follows.

For (iv), we need to show (under the assumption that the genus of X is at least 1) that given any two $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}'$ relatively minimal models for X over S , the isomorphism $\mathcal{X}_\eta \simeq \mathcal{X}'_\eta$ deduced from the fact that they are models for X , extends to an isomorphism $\mathcal{X} \simeq \mathcal{X}'$. Thanks to item (i) and the factorization theorem, it suffices to prove the following: Consider a regular integral model \mathcal{X}/S , then the limit of the sequence $\mathcal{X}^{(n)}$ from item (ii) is independent of choices. This will follow from:

Claim 1. *Under the additional assumption that X has genus at least 1, exceptional curves in \mathcal{X} do not meet each other, and blowing down one of them maps the other to exceptional curves.*

In fact, this claim allows one to rearrange the blow ups in the factorization theorem, thus proving that the limit in (ii) is independent of choices.

Now we prove the claim. Let E be an exceptional curve in \mathcal{X} and let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be the blow down of E . E is in \mathcal{X}_s , it is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_k^1 with $k = H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$, and $i_{s,k}(E, E) = -1$. Let $P = f(E) \in \mathcal{Y}_s$

Let $C \neq E$ be another exceptional curve in \mathcal{X} and let $D \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_s$ be its image under f . If C does not meet E then one can use Castelnuovo's criterion (and the fact that f is an isomorphism away from E) to check that D is exceptional.

For the sake of contradiction, suppose that C meets E , thus $P \in D$. Let $k_1 = H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ and $k_2 = H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D)$, then k_1/k_2 is finite and since $f^*D = C + nE$ for some $n \geq 1$ we get (after a computation)

$$\begin{aligned} i_{s,k_2}(D, D) &= [k_1 : k_2] (i_{s,k_1}(C, C) + ni_{s,k_1}(C, E)) \\ &\geq i_{s,k_1}(C, C) + 1 \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if f restricts to an isomorphism of C and D (thus, $k_1 = k_2$), and $P = f(E)$ is a regular point in D .

Since C is exceptional we also deduce $i_{s,k_2}(D, D) \geq 0$, hence $i_s(D, D) \geq 0$. Since i_s is negative-semidefinite on \mathcal{Y}_s , we deduce $i_s(D, D) = 0$ and thus D is a rational multiple of \mathcal{Y}_s , more precisely, $mD = \mathcal{Y}_s$ for some integer $m \geq 1$. We also deduce $i_{s,k_2}(D, D) = 0$ hence

$$i_{s,k_2}(D, D) = 0 = i_{s,k_1}(C, C) + 1$$

and it follows that P is a regular point in D , so D is regular, f induces an isomorphism $C \simeq D$, thus $k' := k_1 = k_2$ and $\mathbb{P}_{k'}^1 \simeq C \simeq D$.

Let \mathcal{I} be the ideal sheaf of D in \mathcal{Y} and let $\nu : D \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be the closed immersion of D . Note that for all $r \geq 0$

$$H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{I}^r / \mathcal{I}^{r+1}) \simeq H^1(D, (\nu^* \mathcal{I})^{\otimes r}) = 0$$

because $\deg_{D/k'}(\nu^* \mathcal{I}) = -i_{s,k'}(D, D) = 0$ and $D \simeq \mathbb{P}_{k'}^1$.

The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^r / \mathcal{I}^{r+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} / \mathcal{I}^{r+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} / \mathcal{I}^r \rightarrow 0$$

gives the exact sequence

$$0 = H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{I}^r / \mathcal{I}^{r+1}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} / \mathcal{I}^{r+1}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} / \mathcal{I}^r)$$

and by induction on r we get that $H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} / \mathcal{I}^r) = 0$ for all $r \geq 1$. In particular,

$$H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} / \mathcal{I}^m) = 0$$

where we recall $mD = \mathcal{Y}_s$. Let ϖ be a uniformizer for the DVR A , then $\varpi \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{I}^m$ so we get that multiplication by ϖ induces the exact sequence

$$H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}) \rightarrow_{\varpi} H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} / \mathcal{I}^m) = 0.$$

Since ϖ is a uniformizer, we get that the free part of the A -module $H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is trivial. Tensoring with K we get a contradiction, since $H^1(\mathcal{Y}_\eta, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}_\eta}) \simeq H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \neq 0$ by the genus assumption. This contradiction proves the claim. \square

3. SEMI-STABLE MODELS

Assumption. All Dedekind domains considered in this section have perfect residue fields. This technical assumption can be avoided, but it allows us to write “field extension” rather than “separable field extension” at various places. The case of interest for us consists of rings of integers of number fields, so this assumption is not problematic.

3.1. Motivation. So far, we have been concerned with good properties of arithmetic surfaces (\mathcal{X}, π) in the absolute sense: normality and regularity are conditions on \mathcal{X} rather than π . We now consider convenient conditions on fibres. Since bad reduction of an integral model can occur, we will be concerned with the mildest possible kind of bad fibres: normal crossings (to be defined below).

Let A be a complete DRV with and uniformizer ϖ . A completed local model for (the local ring of) the kind of fibre singularities that we want to consider, is given by

$$R = A[[X, Y]]/(XY - \varpi).$$

Note that this completed local ring is two-dimensional, and the completed local ring of the special fibre is $k[[X, Y]]/(XY)$ which corresponds to the transversal crossing of two lines.

Since $XY - \varpi \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ with \mathfrak{m} the maximal ideal of $A[[X, Y]]$, we see that R is regular. Thus, fibre singularities of this sort are so mild that they do not give rise to surface singularities.

However, we will face two key technical obstacles:

- (1) Not every smooth projective curve over $K = \text{Frac}A$ admits an integral model having only singularities of this sort. At least not over the given base $S = \text{Spec} A$.
- (2) Even in the cases when this is possible, in number-theoretical applications it is often necessary to replace the field K by a finite extension L/K . Then surface singularities might occur. For instance, replacing A by $B = A[\lambda]$ with $\lambda^r = \varpi$ we find that

$$B[[X, Y]]/(XY - \varpi) = B[[X, Y]]/(XY - \lambda^r)$$

which is not regular if $r \geq 2$.

These issues lead to the study of normal crossings and semi-stable models, their base changes, and their desingularizations. It turns out that (1) is resolved upon a finite base change by results of Deligne and Mumford. The issue described in (2) can occur, but for normal crossings fibres the surface singularities are so mild that one can resolve them quite explicitly and the resulting regular surface again has fibres with only mild fibre singularities.

3.2. Semi-stable arithmetic surfaces. Let k be an algebraically closed field and C a 1-dimensional, connected, projective k -scheme of finite type. We say that C has normal crossings if it is reduced and all its singular points are nodes (aka. ordinary double points; i.e. points with completed local rings of the form $k[[x, y]]/(xy)$). We say that C is semi-stable if it has normal crossings (in particular, it is reduced) and furthermore all non-singular components of C isomorphic to \mathbb{P}_k^1 have at least 2 intersection points with other components.

Let (\mathcal{X}, π) be an arithmetic surface over $S = \text{Spec } A$. Let $s \in S$ be a closed point. We say that the special fibre \mathcal{X}_s has *normal crossings* or that it is *semi-stable* if the corresponding property holds for $\mathcal{X}_s \otimes_k k^{alg}$ where $k = \kappa_s$.

We simply say that the arithmetic surface (\mathcal{X}, π) has normal crossings or that it is semi-stable if the corresponding property holds above each closed point $s \in S$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathcal{X}/S be an arithmetic surface with smooth generic fibre X/K . Assume that $K = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and that \mathcal{X} has normal crossings. Then*

- (i) \mathcal{X} is normal.
- (ii) Let L/K be a finite extension and let $B \subseteq L$ be the integral closure of A in L . Let $T = \text{Spec } B$. Then \mathcal{X}_T/T is an arithmetic surface with normal crossings.

The same holds if “normal crossings” is replaced by “semi-stable”. Furthermore, in the semi-stable case we have that the genus of X is at least 1.

Proof. For item (i) it is enough to consider the normal crossings case. In fact, it suffices to assume that the special fibres are reduced.

Assume A is a DVR with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , uniformizer ϖ , and residue field $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$. Let R be a flat A -algebra. Suppose that R_K is normal and R_k is reduced. By flatness, R injects into R_K so R is an integral domain. Let $F = \text{Frac}(R)$ and take any $f \in F$ integral over R , say, satisfying an integrality relation $f^r + a_{r-1}f^{r-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0$ for some $r \geq 1$ and $a_i \in R$. By normality of R_K we see that $a = \varpi^n f \in R$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can assume that n is chosen so that $\varpi^n f \notin \varpi R$.

Suppose for a moment that $n \geq 1$. Then, from the integrality relation of f , we deduce that $a^n = (\varpi^n f)^r \in \varpi R$ so that $\bar{a}^n = 0$ in $R/\varpi R = R_k$. As R_k is reduced, we obtain that $\bar{a} = 0$, so $a \in \varpi R$ which is not possible by our choice of n . Thus, we see that $n \leq 0$. This proves that $f \in R$. Since f was arbitrary, this proves that R is normal.

These considerations apply when R is the local ring of \mathcal{X} at a closed point, hence item (i) holds.

Item (ii) follows from the definition, since finite extensions are contained in an algebraic closure.

In the semi-stable case, the generic fibre cannot have genus 0, for otherwise any special fibre would fail the condition that all rational lines have at least two intersections with other components. \square

Given X a smooth projective curve over K , it is not always the case that it admits a normal crossings integral model over $S = \text{Spec } A$ (one can check, for instance, that this failure occurs for elliptic curves with additive reduction over a given number field). Nonetheless, semi-stable (hence, normal crossings) models do exist if a finite field extension is allowed.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X/K be a smooth projective geometrically irreducible curve of genus $g \geq 1$. There is a finite extension L/K such that if B is the integral closure of A in L , then X_L admits a semi-stable integral model over $\text{Spec } B$.*

Reference and comments. When $g = 1$ this is reduced to the well-known fact that elliptic curves acquire semi-stable reduction after finite base change.

For $g \geq 2$, it is an important theorem of Deligne and Mumford that such curves acquire *stable* reduction after finite base change (cf. Corollary 2.7 in [3]) —the

definition of “stable” is slightly more restrictive than “semi-stable” as one requires that rational lines meet other components in at least three points. The rough idea of the argument is that stable reduction of integral models of curves can be linked to semi-stable reduction of the Neron model of their Jacobians (in the usual sense for abelian varieties). Then one concludes by a theorem of Grothendieck, which proves that Abelian varieties achieve semi-stable reduction upon finite base change. \square

Thus, after finite base change, normal crossings and semi-stable models exist. Moreover, they are normal. Their desingularizations admit a simple description after a finite extension —with some additional work the finite extension is not necessary for desingularizations, but it is crucially necessary for the existence of semi-stable models. We describe desingularizations in the next paragraph.

3.3. Regular semi-stable models.

Theorem 3.3. *Let \mathcal{X}/S be an arithmetic surface with smooth generic fibre X/K . Assume that $K = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and that \mathcal{X} has normal crossings. There is a finite extension K_0/K such that for all finite extensions L/K_0 if B is the integral closure of A in L and $T = \text{Spec } B$, then the following holds for the normal-crossings T -arithmetic surface $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}_T$:*

Let $s \in T$ be a closed point with a uniformizer $\varpi \in B$. The only singularities of \mathcal{Y} above s (if any) are ordinary double points, at κ_s -rational points located at singularities of \mathcal{Y}_s , and they admit a completed-local description on \mathcal{Y} of the form

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{Y}, y} \simeq \hat{B}[[X, Y]]/(XY - \varpi^n)$$

for some $n \geq 2$. Repeated blow up gives a minimal resolution of such a singularity, replacing it with a chain of $n - 1$ copies of $\mathbb{P}_{\kappa_s}^1$, each with self-intersection -2 , hence, non-exceptional. After resolving all singularities of \mathcal{Y} in this way, we obtain a proper birational T -morphism $\mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with \mathcal{Y}'/T a regular normal crossings arithmetic surface.

All of this is valid if “normal crossings” is replaced by “semi-stable”.

Idea/reference. This desingularization is standard and in the normal-crossings case can be found in detail in p.514-515 in [11] (note that in [11] the definition of “semi-stable” is our definition of “normal crossings” since the condition “rational lines meet other components in at least two points” is omitted). See also [3].

Suppose that we assume instead that \mathcal{X} is semi-stable, then so is \mathcal{Y} by the previous lemma. By the explicit description of the desingularization, the special fibre \mathcal{Y}_s is obtained from \mathcal{Y}'_s by contracting certain (-2) -curves, each with two intersection points with other components. Thus, \mathcal{Y}'_s is semi-stable for all closed $s \in T$. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let X/K be a smooth projective curve with $K = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Suppose that there is a semi-stable model \mathcal{X}/S for X over $S = \text{Spec } A$. Then repeated blow up of singular points of \mathcal{X} leads to a minimal desingularization \mathcal{X}'/S with a proper birational morphism $p: \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ whose contracted fibres are geometrically chains of (-2) curves as described on the previous theorem. Furthermore, \mathcal{X}' is the minimal regular model of X/K .*

Proof. We can reduce to the case when A is DVR. By the previous result, one has an unramified finite extension K_0/K such that for $B \subseteq K_0$ integral closure of A the base change \mathcal{X}_B admits the claimed desingularization. But then by a Galois

descent argument (since residue fields are perfect) we deduce that the required blow-ups can be done already on \mathcal{X}/S .

Finally, let's check that \mathcal{X}' is the minimal regular model. It suffices to check that it has no exceptional curve, which we can check after base change to B again, where now we assume that K_0/K is an unramified extension so large that for all components C of \mathcal{X}'_B have $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C) = k := \kappa_s$. Now suppose that C is a (-1) -curve, then

$$0 = i_s(C, \mathcal{Y}_s) = i_s(C, \mathcal{Y}_s - C) + i_s(C, C) = i_s(C, \mathcal{Y}_s - C) - 1$$

which gives that C meets the other components only at one point. This is a contradiction since \mathcal{X}' is semi-stable. \square

Therefore, if X/K is smooth, projective and $K = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, then the existence of *some* semi-stable model over A implies that *the minimal regular model is the same thing as a regular semi-stable model*. In particular, the regular semi-stable model is unique.

3.4. Rational singularities. Semi-stable arithmetic surfaces with smooth generic fibre are normal, hence, they only have isolated surface singularities.

Another consequence of the explicit desingularization is some more detailed information of the kind of surface singularities that a semi-stable arithmetic surface can have. It turns out that they are *rational singularities* in the sense of Artin's theory for normal surfaces [2].

Proposition 3.5. *Let \mathcal{X}/S be a semi-stable arithmetic surface such that the generic fibre X/K is smooth and $K = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Let $p : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the minimal desingularization described in the previous proposition. Then*

$$R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'} = 0.$$

(Thus, \mathcal{X} only has rational singularities in the sense of Artin.)

Proof. The problem is of course local on \mathcal{X} near singularities. These are resolved by a chain of (-2) -curves as discussed before. One can use Artin's numerical criterion (cf. Proposition 1 [2]) which simply requires that the arithmetic genus of every effective divisor supported on the contracted fibre is ≤ 0 . Upon replacing A by an unramified finite extension, we may assume that residue fields are large enough (so that the relevant intersection numbers are geometric) without affecting the problem.

Rather than doing the computation, we simply note that our situation is precisely the first example depicted in p.135 of Artin's paper [2]. \square

An important consequence for us is the following.

Proposition 3.6. *We keep the notation and hypothesis of the previous proposition. For every invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on \mathcal{X} and for each $i \geq 0$ we have canonical isomorphisms*

$$H^i(\mathcal{X}', p^* \mathcal{L}) \simeq H^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}).$$

Proof. The canonical map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow p_* p^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an isomorphism because p is proper and \mathcal{X} is normal. Thus, for any invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on \mathcal{X} we have that the canonical map $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow p_* p^* \mathcal{L}$ is an isomorphism, and for each $i \geq 0$ it induces canonical isomorphisms

$$H^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \simeq H^i(\mathcal{X}, p_* p^* \mathcal{L}).$$

For $i = 0$ the required result is now clear, and for $i \geq 2$ there is nothing to prove as the relevant cohomology groups vanish. So we only need to consider $i = 1$.

Since $R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'} = 0$ and $p^* \mathcal{L}$ is invertible, we also have $R^n p_*(p^* \mathcal{L}) = 0$ for every $n \geq 1$. By Exercise 8.1 in p.252 [6], we obtain a natural isomorphism

$$H^1(\mathcal{X}', p^* \mathcal{L}) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{X}, p_* p^* \mathcal{L})$$

which concludes the proof. \square

4. DUALITY

We briefly recall some basic facts about dualizing sheaves that later will be useful in our setting, to construct and study a certain canonical class in the Arakelov divisor class group of arithmetic surfaces.

A concise overview of duality theory can be found in [4]. A standard reference is [5]. We will use instead Kleimain's paper [7], which is presented in a very concrete way in [11]. In this setting, the theory is developed for locally complete intersections, for which an explicit constructions of the relative dualizing sheaf can be given.

Assumption: All schemes considered in this section are noetherian. (Actually, one only needs locally noetherian on the base, but for purposes the noetherian assumption is enough.)

4.1. Relative dualizing sheaves. Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of schemes. Assume that f is proper of pure relative dimension n , by which we mean that for each $y \in Y$ with X_y non-empty one has $\dim X_y = n$.

An n -relative dualizing sheaf for f is a pair (ω, t) where ω is a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module and

$$t : R^n f_* \omega \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$$

is an \mathcal{O}_Y -module morphism, such that for every quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} the natural \mathcal{O}_Y -bilinear map

$$f_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \omega) \times R^n f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R^n f_* \omega \xrightarrow{t} \mathcal{O}_Y$$

induces an \mathcal{O}_Y -module isomorphism

$$f_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \omega) \simeq \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(R^n f_* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_Y).$$

Remarks.

- If an n -relative dualizing sheaf exists, then it is unique up to isomorphism of the pair (ω, t) . (Hint: apply the definition for $\mathcal{F} = \omega'$ where ω' is another relative dualizing sheaf.)
- The reader might notice that we are only considering duality in the top degree. For us that is enough.

The next result is Propostion (9) (iii) in [7].

Proposition 4.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism of pure relative dimension n admitting an n -relative dualizing sheaf ω_f . Let $Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism and $X' = X \times_Y Y'$. Let $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ and $g : X' \rightarrow X$ be the projections. Then f' admits an n -relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{f'}$ and we have a canonical isomorphism $\omega_{f'} \simeq g^* \omega_f$.*

In particular, if ω_f is invertible, so is $\omega_{f'}$.

A key example when the relative dualizing sheaf exists and is a line sheaf is given by projective spaces. In this case the construction of the relative dualizing sheaf is explicit.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $n \geq 0$ and let Y be a (noetherian) scheme. Let $f : \mathbb{P}_Y^n \rightarrow Y$ be the structure map. Then f has a relative dualizing sheaf ω_f and in fact one can take*

$$\omega_f = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_Y^n/Y}^n.$$

Let us specialize the discussion to the case when, furthermore, we assume that the relative dimension of f is 1 and Y is affine, say $Y = \text{Spec } A$ for some (noetherian) ring A . In this case, the condition on the pair (ω, t) becomes the following:

ω is a quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module and

$$t : H^1(X, \omega) \rightarrow A$$

is an A -module map, such that for every quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{F} the natural A -bilinear map

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \omega) \times H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \omega) \rightarrow_t A$$

induces an A -module isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \omega) \simeq H^1(X, \mathcal{F})^\vee.$$

Remark. For quasi-coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} , the canonical map $\mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is an isomorphism. Thus, the last condition is equivalent to requiring that t induces the (perhaps more familiar-looking) isomorphism

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \omega) \simeq H^1(X, \mathcal{F})^\vee.$$

4.2. Locally complete intersections. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of finite type is a *locally complete intersection* (l.c.i.) if for all $x \in X$ there is a neighborhood U of x , a smooth Y -scheme $p : Z \rightarrow Y$ and a regular immersion $i : U \rightarrow Z$ such that $f|_U = p \circ i$.

Remarks.

- If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is projective with both X and Y regular then f is a l.c.i., which can be seen by taking $Z = \mathbb{P}_Y^n$ for n large enough.
- More generally, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is of finite type and X, Y are regular then f is l.c.i. since locally we can take \mathbb{A}_Y^n for suitable n .
- Consider the affine curve $X = \text{Spec } k[t^3, t^4, t^5]$ and the structure morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y = \text{Spec } k$. This is a standard example of a non-Gorenstein curve (its singular point is non-Gorenstein) hence, it is not l.c.i. Thus, a reduced curve over a field can fail to be l.c.i. due to singularities.
- If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is l.c.i, then for every $y \in Y$ the fibre $X_y \rightarrow \text{Spec } \kappa_y$ is l.c.i.

4.3. Existence and adjunction. We will be interested on the case when the relative dualizing sheaf exists and is a line sheaf.

Theorem 4.3 (Existence). *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a flat, projective morphism of pure relative dimension n .*

- *If the fibres of f are Cohen-Macaulay, then the relative n -dualizing sheaf ω_f exists.*
- *If the fibres of f are Gorenstein, then ω_f is an invertible sheaf.*

In particular, if f is a projective l.c.i. then ω_f exists and it is invertible.

Reference. See p. 55 and 58 in [7]. Note the assumptions made in p. 52 *loc. cit.* \square

We now recall the adjunction theorem. It gives a practical way of computing relative dualizing sheaves, and in fact, it is at the heart of most discussions on existence of relative dualizing sheaves, by reducing the problem to projective spaces (this explains the projectivity hypothesis in the existence theorem stated above). For our purposes, adjunction together with Proposition 4.2 are enough.

Theorem 4.4 (Adjunction). *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a flat, projective, l.c.i. morphism of pure relative dimension n , so that the relative dualizing sheaf ω_f exists and is invertible.*

Let $h : Z \rightarrow X$ be a regular closed immersion with ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} (thus, locally, Z is a complete intersection in X). Suppose that $g = f \circ h : Z \rightarrow Y$ is flat of pure relative dimension $m \leq n$. Then g admits a relative dualizing sheaf ω_g , it is invertible and we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\omega_g \simeq \det(h^* \mathcal{I})^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} h^* \omega_f.$$

Reference. See Corollary (19) in [7]. Note that the proof requires “full duality” for f which we don’t discuss, but our hypothesis on f together with Theorem (21) in *loc. cit.* ensures that this is satisfied. In fact, we can replace the “l.c.i.” condition on f by “Gorenstein fibres”.

Invertibility of ω_g follows because ω_f is invertible and $h^* \mathcal{I}$ is locally free, as h is a regular closed immersion. \square

4.4. Consequences of adjunction.

Proposition 4.5. *Let (\mathcal{X}, π) be a regular arithmetic surface. Then the relative dualizing sheaf ω_π for π exists and it is an invertible sheaf. Furthermore, it can be computed as follows:*

Since \mathcal{X} is regular, π is projective. Choose $n \geq 1$ and a regular closed immersion $h : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$ with $\pi = f \circ h$ where $f : \mathbb{P}_S^n \rightarrow S$ is the structure map. Let \mathcal{I} be the ideal sheaf of h on \mathbb{P}_S^n . Then we can take

$$\omega_\pi = \det(h^* \mathcal{I})^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} h^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_S^n/S}^n.$$

Proof. Except for the notation, this is precisely a setting in which we can use the adjunction theorem (with $g = \pi$, which is flat of pure relative dimension 2) since $f : \mathbb{P}_S^n \rightarrow S$ is flat, projective and l.c.i. (with the factorization $\mathbb{P}_S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n \rightarrow_f S$). So we can take as relative dualizing sheaf

$$\omega_\pi = \det(h^* \mathcal{I})^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} h^* \omega_f.$$

Finally, by Proposition 4.2 we have that $\omega_f = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_S^n/S}^n$. \square

Proposition 4.6 (Adjunction on fibres). *Let (\mathcal{X}, π) be a normal crossings regular arithmetic surface with relative dualizing sheaf ω_π . Let $s \in S$ be a closed point, let $k = \kappa_s$, and let C be a fibre component at s . Let $i : C \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the corresponding closed immersion. Then a relative dualizing sheaf $\omega_{C/k}$ for $C/\text{Spec } k$ exists, it is invertible, and we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$\omega_{C/k} \simeq i^* (\omega_\pi \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(C)).$$

Proof. By regularity, ω_π exists and is a line sheaf. First we base-change to the fibre over a closed point $s \in S$ and the pull-back of ω_π gives a relative dualizing sheaf there. In fact, $\mathcal{X}_s/\text{Spec } \kappa_s$ is flat, projective and l.c.i. (thanks to semi-stability)

and we can apply adjunction for the closed immersion of $C \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_s$ which is regular (again, by semi-stability).

The ideal sheaf of C in \mathcal{X}_s is the restriction of the ideal sheaf of C in \mathcal{X} . The result now follows. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism, $h : Z \rightarrow X$ a closed immersion with ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} , and $g = f \circ h : Z \rightarrow Y$. Then we have the fundamental exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_Z -modules*

$$h^* \mathcal{I} \rightarrow h^* \Omega_{X/Y}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{Z/Y}^1.$$

Furthermore, if f is smooth, then the first map is injective on the smooth locus of g .

Proof. The exactness is standard. Injectivity in the smooth case is a rank computation on stalks, which can be found in p.230-231 [11]. \square

Proposition 4.8 (Description on smooth locus). *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a flat, projective, l.c.i. morphism of pure relative dimension n , so that the relative dualizing sheaf ω_f exists and is invertible. Suppose that X and Y are regular. Let $U \subseteq X$ be the smooth locus of f . We have a canonical isomorphism*

$$\omega_f|_U \simeq \Omega_{U/Y}^n.$$

Proof. See Proposition (22) in [7] for a more general statement. In our case, under the regularity assumptions, we can use the same ideas to give simple deduction from the adjunction theorem.

For suitable n , write $f = u \circ v$ with $u : \mathbb{P}_Y^n \rightarrow Y$ the structure map and $v : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_Y^n$ a closed immersion. By regularity, v is a regular closed immersion with ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} . Then adjunction gives a canonical isomorphism

$$\omega_f \simeq \det(v^* \mathcal{I})^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} v^* \omega_u = \det(v^* \mathcal{I})^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} v^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_Y^n/Y}^n.$$

On the other hand, on the smooth locus $U \subseteq X$ of f , the determinant applied to the exact sequence in the previous lemma gives a canonical isomorphism

$$\Omega_{U/Y}^1 \simeq \left(\det(v^* \mathcal{I})^\vee \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} v^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}_Y^n/Y}^n \right)|_U.$$

Hence the result. \square

Corollary 4.9. *Let (\mathcal{X}, π) be a regular semi-stable arithmetic surface with smooth generic fibre. The non-smooth locus of π has codimension 2 and consists exactly of the closed points supporting fibre singularities. In particular, away from those points, the relative dualizing sheaf ω_π is given by the restriction of $\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1$ and this condition determines ω_π .*

Proof. By regularity, π is projective and the previous result applies.

Smoothness away from points supporting fibre singularities follows by a direct local computation. At points supporting fibre singularities the morphism π cannot be smooth since after base change (say, to the residue field of the closed point on the base) it is not.

The final claim is a consequence of the previous proposition (π is projective since \mathcal{X}/S is semi-stable) and Hartogs lemma which is applicable since semi-stable arithmetic surfaces are normal. \square

5. THE BASE CHANGE TRICK

In this brief section we review a trick often (and implicitly) used in the literature with no explanation. Some details can be found in section V.4 in [8], although a couple of technicalities seem to have been left for the reader.

5.1. Geometric setup. Let (\mathcal{X}, π) be an arithmetic surface over $S = \text{Spec } A$ with A a Dedekind domain of dimension 1, with all residue fields perfect. We assume that the generic fibre $X = \mathcal{X}_\eta$ is a smooth projective curve over $K = \text{Frac}(A)$ with $K = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and that the genus of X is at least 1.

Suppose that (\mathcal{X}, π) is regular and semi-stable; in particular, it is the minimal regular model of X . Let L/K be a finite extension, let $B \subseteq L$ be the integral closure of A , and write $T = \text{Spec } B$. Let $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}_T$, then \mathcal{Y} is a semi-stable arithmetic surface over T and it is a model for X_L , although it does not need to be regular (the extension L/K might be ramified). Nonetheless, \mathcal{Y} is normal and has rational singularities. Write $q : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ for the projection.

Consider the minimal desingularization $p : \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ described in Proposition 3.4. Then \mathcal{Y}'/T is a regular semi-stable model for X_L and in fact it is the minimal regular model. Write $f = q \circ p : \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

The considerations of paragraph 3.4 apply in this setting.

Proposition 5.1. *For every line sheaf \mathcal{L} on \mathcal{X} and for each $i \geq 0$ (specially for $i = 0, 1$) we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$H^i(\mathcal{Y}, f^* \mathcal{L}) \simeq H^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \otimes_A B.$$

Proof. B is a flat A -algebra so we have

$$H^i(\mathcal{Y}', q^* \mathcal{L}) \simeq H^i(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \otimes_A B.$$

The result now follows from Proposition 3.6. \square

5.2. Relative dualizing sheaves. We keep the previous notation. Let $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ and $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}'/T}$ be the corresponding relative dualizing sheaves, which are invertible in this case. Let $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}/T} := q^* \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}$, then $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}/T}$ is the relative dualizing sheaf of \mathcal{Y}/T by the base change property, and it is invertible.

We have the two invertible sheaves $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}'/T}$ and $f^* \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S} = p^* \omega_{\mathcal{Y}/T}$ on \mathcal{Y}' and we aim to compare them.

Proposition 5.2. *We have an isomorphism $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}'/T} \simeq f^* \omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}$.*

Proof. Let $U \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be the smooth locus of \mathcal{X}/S , $V \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$ the smooth locus of \mathcal{Y}/T and $V' \subseteq \mathcal{Y}'$ the smooth locus of \mathcal{Y}'/T .

Since we have a canonical isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}'}$ -modules $q^* \Omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}^1 \simeq \Omega_{\mathcal{X}'/T}^1$ (by the base change property of relative differentials) and since smoothness of a morphism is detected by locally-freeness of relative differentials, we see that $V = q^{-1}U$. Since \mathcal{X}/S is projective we have $\omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}|_U \simeq \Omega_{U/S}^1$, and pulling back we deduce $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}/T}|_V \simeq \Omega_{V/T}^1$.

On the other hand, note that we only have the inclusion $p^{-1}V \subseteq V'$ and that the difference of these two open sets is (up to codimension 2 points in \mathcal{Y}') given by the contracted chains of (-2) -curves of the desingularization morphism p .

Since \mathcal{Y}'/T is projective we have $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}'/T}|_{V'} \simeq \Omega_{V'/T}^1$. Thus we get canonical isomorphisms

$$\omega_{\mathcal{Y}'/T}|_{p^{-1}V} \simeq \Omega_{p^{-1}V/T}^1 \simeq p^* \Omega_{V/T}^1 \simeq p^*(\omega_{\mathcal{Y}/T}|_V) \simeq (p^* \omega_{\mathcal{Y}/T})|_{p^{-1}V}$$

which in fact are equalities as sub-sheaves of $\Omega_{X_L/L}^1$. Extending to finitely many points of codimension 2 if necessary (\mathcal{Y}' is regular) we have that the line sheaves $\omega_{\mathcal{Y}'/T}$ and $p^*\omega_{\mathcal{Y}/T} = f^*\omega_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ are isomorphic on the open set of \mathcal{Y}' obtained by removing the contracted chains of (-2) -curves for p . It follows that

$$\omega_{\mathcal{Y}'/T} \simeq p^*\omega_{\mathcal{Y}/T} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}'}(D)$$

for certain divisor D supported on the components of those chains.

Now it suffices to show that $D = 0$. This problem is local on the base T so at this point we can assume $T = \text{Spec } B$ with B a DVR. Note that D is not a multiple of \mathcal{Y}'_s since its support is contracted to closed points on \mathcal{Y}_s under p . Since \mathcal{Y}' is regular we can use intersection theory on \mathcal{Y}'_s (cf. Proposition ??) and it now suffices to prove $i_s(D, D) = 0$. For it is enough to show that for all (-2) -curves C that are contracted by p , we have $i_s(D, C) = 0$.

Let $\nu : C \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'$ be such a curve and recall that $C \simeq \mathbb{P}_k^1$ where $k = \kappa_s$ is large enough (possible up to finite unramified extension of B). Then since $p(C)$ is a point we get

$$\deg_{C/k} \nu^* \omega_{\mathcal{Y}'/T} = \deg_{C/k} \nu^* (p^* \omega_{\mathcal{Y}/T} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}'}(D)) = \deg_{C/k} \nu^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}'}(D) = i_s(C, D).$$

On the other hand, by adjunction on fibres we have

$$\deg_{C/k} \nu^* \omega_{\mathcal{Y}'/T} = -i_s(C, C) + 2g_C - 2 = 2 + 0 - 2 = 0$$

and we are done. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abhyankar, *Resolution of singularities of arithmetical surfaces*. 1965 Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry (Proc. Conf. Purdue Univ., 1963) pp. 111-152 Harper & Row, New York.
- [2] M. Artin, *On isolated rational singularities of surfaces*. Amer. J. Math. 88 1966 129-136.
- [3] P. Deligne, D. Mumford, *The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus*. IHES Publ. Math. No. 36 1969 75-109.
- [4] P. Deligne, M. Rapoport, *Les schémas de modules de courbes elliptiques*. Modular functions of one variable, II (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), pp. 143-316.
- [5] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*. With an appendix by P. Deligne. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 20 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1966 vii+423 pp.
- [6] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM 62, Springer.
- [7] S. Kleiman, *Relative duality for quasi-coherent sheaves*. Compositio Math. 40 (1980) 39-60.
- [8] S. Lang, *Introduction to Arakelov theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988. x+187 pp. ISBN: 0-387-96793-1
- [9] S. Lichtenbaum, *Curves over discrete valuation rings*. Amer. J. Math. 90 1968 380-405.
- [10] J. Lipman, *Desingularization of two-dimensional schemes*. Ann. Math. (2) 107 (1978), no. 1, 151-207.
- [11] Q. Liu, *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*. Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 6.
- [12] Faltings, *Calculus on arithmetic surfaces*. Ann. of Math. (2) 119 (1984), no. 2, 387-424.